STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

2020

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM

ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION

GAVIN C. NEWSOM
Governor

DAVID S. KIM, Secretary
California State Transportation Agency

STEVEN P. GORDON
Director

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

1. REPORT DATE *(DD-MM-YYYY)*	2. REPORT TYPE	3. DATES COVERED *(From - To)*
July 2021	Final Report	

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
2020 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
5b. GRANT NUMBER
5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER

6. AUTHOR(S)
Sladjana Oulad Daoud

5d. PROJECT NUMBER
5e. TASK NUMBER
5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)
California Department of Motor Vehicles
Research and Development Branch
P.O. Box 932382
Sacramento, CA 94232-3820

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
CAL-DMV-RSS-21-261

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)

11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES - Corresponding author: Sladjana.OuladDaoud@dmv.ca.gov

14. ABSTRACT

In this twenty-ninth annual legislatively-mandated report, 2017 and 2018 driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs (DUI) data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data reference and monitoring system. This report presents cross-tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, postconviction sanctions, driver license suspension/revocation actions, and on drivers in alcohol- or drug-involved crashes. In addition, this report provides 1-year proportions of DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested in each year over a time period of 28 years. Also, the long-term recidivism curves of the cumulative proportions of DUI reoffenses are shown for all DUI offenders arrested in 2005. An analysis was conducted to evaluate if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent DUI incidents and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The proportions of convicted first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2017, who were referred to, enrolled in, and completed DUI programs are also presented. Additionally, the numbers and percentages of DUI offenders who installed ignition interlock devices are presented by county and DUI offender status.

15. SUBJECT TERMS
Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, DUI Program, license suspension/revocation, drugged driving, ignition interlock

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
Unclassified					Karin Oakley
A. REPORT	B. ABSTRACT	C. THIS PAGE			19b. TELEPONE NUMBER (*Include area code*)
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	None	175	916-657-5768

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2008 - 2018

DUI measures	YEAR										
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
DUI arrest rate (per 100,000 licensed drivers)	906	880	823	752	712	651	619	546	491	458	470
Total DUI arrests[a]	214811	208531	195879	180212[b]	172893	160388	154743	141372	130054	123548	127437
Felony DUI arrests[a]	5966	5577	4902	4655	5047	4789	4835	4899	5186	4944	4919
Misdemeanor DUI arrests[a]	208845	202954	190977	175557	167846	155599	149908	136473	124868	118604	122518
Total DUI convictions[c]	169035	161074	148042	142121	133525	121304	116190	106627	98430	93606	N/A
DUI conviction rates[c]	78.7%	77.2%	73.1%[d]	73.3%[d]	73.7%[d]	72.5%[d]	72.7%[d]	72.6%[d]	73.6%[d]	72.9%[d]	N/A
Alcohol- or drug-involved reckless driving convictions[c]	17887	19802	19552	19204	17568	16494	14563	12887	11803	11303	N/A
Percent convicted of alcohol or drug reckless driving[c]	8.3%	9.5%	8.1%[d]	7.9%[d]	8.1%[d]	8.1%[d]	7.3%[d]	7.0%[d]	7.1%[d]	7.0%[d]	N/A
Alcohol-involved crash fatalities[e]	1355	1263	1072	1089	1169	1197	1155	1144	1223	1294	1221
% of total crash fatalities	39.8	41.1	39.1	38.5	39.0	38.6	36.9	33.3	31.7	33.1	32.1
Alcohol-involved crash injuries[e]	28463	26058	24343	23853	23905	23178	23993	25152	27394	26967	27425
% of total crash injuries	11.8	11.2	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.4	10.4	9.9	9.8	9.7	10.0
Drug-involved crash fatalities[f]	726	713	696	709	818	892	864	831	733	829	742
% of total crash fatalities	21.3	23.2	25.4	25.0	27.3	28.7	27.6	24.2	19.0	21.2	19.5
Drug-involved crash injuries[f]	2227	2309	2384	2289	2622	2489	2867	3031	3233	2982	2976
% of total crash injuries	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1

Note: N/A indicates that this information is not available yet for 2018.

[a]These totals do not include duplicate cases as originally reported in the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center data.

[b]Due to the underreporting of DUI arrest data by CHP for the month of April 2011, the total for 2011 is undercounted by approximately 6,500 DUI arrests.

[c]These figures show the total counts of convictions and conviction rates, by year of violation, as typically reported in Section 2 of this report.

[d]The 2010 and later DUI conviction rates and percent convicted of alcohol-reckless driving are derived using different data extraction procedures than those used in years prior to 2010 and are not comparable to figures in those prior years. These rates are computed only on "matchable DUI cases", and not by using total DUI arrests divided by total DUI convictions presented in this table. See Section 2 for more details.

[e]For some fatalities and injuries in these figures, drugs were also involved. These figures were provided by CHP on August 17, 2020.

[f]For some fatalities and injuries in these figures, alcohol was also involved. These figures were provided by CHP on August 17, 2020.

DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 2008– 2018 (CONTINUED)

DUI license actions	YEAR										
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Total mandatory suspension/ revocation (S/R) actions	392319	382111	351802	337700	313870	286981	264833	255357	239491	227595	227719
PRECONVICTION											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	204332	198851	183743	177231	163522	150337	139405	130468	120339	115374	117535
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	22180	20861	18684	17463	14835	11750	10213	9074	8184	7227	6561
.08 First-offender suspensions	132266	127933	117884	114858	106562	99475	93014	86933	80371	77689	79776
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	46388	46747	44101	42127	39563	35646	32823	31093	28439	27032	27409
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426	3789
Commercial driver actions	4355[g]	3964[g]	3614[g]	3108[g]	2983[g]	2782[g]	2498	2322	2087	1988	1818
Chemical test refusal actions	9390	8737	8275	7520	7069	9214	9089	9257	9262	9489	10647
.01 Test refusal suspensions	433	372	354	279	280	300	286	293	269	248	223
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5459	5055	4847	4458	4227	5448	5448	5596	5648	6118	6998
.08 Test refusal revocations	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426	3789
POSTCONVICTION[h]											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	917	482	538	351	312	311	253	246	234	249	159
First-offender suspensions	136480	132709	120254	113749	107035	93897	81845	82570	78933	74400	72833
Misdemeanor	133987	130462	118168	111760	105013	91809	79955	80492	76712	72116	70539
Felony	2493	2247	2086	1989	2022	2088	1890	2078	2221	2284	2294
Second-offender S/R actions	38266	37836	35565	34519	32156	32408	32935	31587	29948	28029	28051
Misdemeanor	37568	37155	34928	33878	31533	31771	32275	30896	29183	27271	27217
Felony	658	681	637	641	623	637	660	691	765	758	834
Third-offender revocations	9164	9187	8905	8918	8083	7665	8239	8160	7699	7358	7008
Misdemeanor	8933	8945	8707	8662	7852	7446	8019	7938	7453	7111	6732
Felony	231	242	198	256	231	219	220	222	246	247	276
Fourth-or-more-offender revocations	3200	3046	2797	2932	2762	2363	2156	2326	2338	2185	2133
Total postconviction S/R actions	187987	183260	168059	160469	150348	136644	125428	124889	119152	112221	110184

[g] Previous counts have been adjusted to include commercial driver APS actions not previously identified as such.

[h] These totals might include multiple license action activities associated with the same event.

HIGHLIGHTS OF YEAR 2020 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

Background

The California Driving Under the Influence Management Information System (DUI-MIS) was developed in California in 1989 as a result of the legislative mandate that required the development of a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI in California.

The annual report of the California DUI-MIS provides current and comprehensive statistics on the processing of DUI offenders from the point of arrest through adjudication to treatment and license control actions. The report presents cross-tabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, postconviction sanctions, administrative license actions, and on drivers in crashes involving alcohol and drugs. In compliance with provisions of the law, the efficacy of specific sanctions and their results are also included in the report. Specifically, a separate analysis was conducted to evaluate if referrals to the 9-month DUI program were associated with reductions in 1-year subsequent DUI incidents and crashes when compared to referrals to the 3-month DUI program among first DUI offenders. The report is divided into six sections with each section covering specific topics. The following are highlights from each section of the 2020 report reflecting on the current state of DUI in California.

DUI Summary Statistics

- Alcohol-involved crash fatalities decreased by 5.6% in 2018, following an increase of 5.8% in 2017 (see DUI Summary Statistics).

- Drug-involved crash fatalities decreased by 10.5% in 2018, after an increase of 13.1% in 2017.

- Of the total number of crash fatalities in 2018, 32.1% were alcohol-involved, which is lower than the 33.1% in 2017. The percentage of drug-involved fatalities decreased from the prior year's 21.2% to 19.5% in 2018.

- In 2018, 10.0% of total crash injuries were alcohol-involved; slightly higher than 9.7% reported for 2017.

- The DUI arrest rate per 100,000 licensed drivers increased by 2.6% in 2018, following a decline of 6.7% in 2017.

Section 1: DUI Arrests

- DUI arrests increased by 3.1% in 2018, after decreasing by 5.0% in 2017. DUI arrests have increased for the first time since 2008 and after nine years of a continuous decline (see DUI Summary Statistics and Table 1).

- The median (midpoint) age of a DUI arrestee in 2018 was 31 years and almost three-quarters (72.7%) of arrestees were age 40 or younger. Less than one percent (0.4%) of all DUI arrestees were juveniles (under age 18) and 4.3% were drivers over age 60. This is shown in Table 3a.

- Males comprised 77.3% of all 2018 DUI arrests, the same as in 2017 (see Table 3a). The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen from 10.6% in 1989 to 22.7% in 2018.

- Based on data from the Department of Justice (DOJ), Hispanics (50.2%) were the largest racial/ethnic group among 2018 DUI arrestees, as has been the case each year for over a decade. Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated percentage of California's adult population (36.5% in 2018). This is shown in Figure 3.

Section 2: Convictions

- 72.9% of 2017 DUI arrests resulted in convictions for DUI offenses (see Table 6).

- 5.7% of DUI convictions among those arrested in 2017 were driving under the influence of drugs (DUID) convictions. This represents a slight increase from 5.5% among DUI offenders arrested in 2016 which was higher than the 4.7% among DUI offenders arrested in 2015.

- Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2017, 73.0% were first offenders and 27.0% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 10 years), unchanged from 2016. This is shown in Table 8. The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased considerably since 1989, when it stood at 37%, even though prior DUI convictions are currently retained on record and thus counted longer than in the past (10 years compared to 7 years in 1989).

- The median blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on Administrative Per Se (APS) forms, was 0.16% in 2017, which is double the California illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 7a).

- In 2017, 17.6% of DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) records, higher than the percentage in 2016 (16.6%; see Table 6).

Section 3: Postconviction Sanctions

- The most frequent court sanction for all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.0%), while the least frequently imposed court sanction was ignition interlock (7.3%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 75.0% of the cases (see Table 9a).

- Among first DUI offenders arrested in 2017, 67.4% were sentenced to jail, compared to 95.7% of all repeat offenders (see Table 9a).

- The majority of DUI offenders arrested in 2017 who installed an IID subsequent to their arrest were first offenders from the AB 91 pilot counties; between 40% and 51% of first DUI offenders in each pilot county (Alameda, Sacramento, Los Angeles, and Tulare) installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date (see Table 10b).

Section 4: Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness

- The 1-year DUI reoffense rate for first DUI offenders arrested in 2017 was 4.1% compared to 7.6% in 1990. The 1-year reoffense rate for second DUI offenders was 5.3% compared to 9.7% in 1990. Each of these represents approximately 45% fewer reoffenses compared to that of 1990 arrestees (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).

- Long-term reoffense rates, those occurring over years following an initial DUI conviction, are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers (see Figures 8b, 8c, and 8d).

- Of the DUI offenders arrested in 2017 who, by court order, enrolled in a DUI program, 87.3% of first offenders and 47.5% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 13).

Section 5: License Suspension/Revocation Actions

- The total number of both DMV APS preconviction and DUI postconviction suspension or revocation actions in 2018 was relatively unchanged from 2017, following a declining trend of the prior 8 consecutive years (see Table 15).

- In 2018, 117,535 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 73.5% were first-offender actions (including "zero tolerance" actions taken for drivers under age 21) and 26.5% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 15).

Section 6: Drivers in Crashes Involving Alcohol and Drugs

- While the number of alcohol-involved fatalities declined by about 9% over the past 23 years, the number of drug-involved fatalities increased by about 179% over the same time period. Some of the increase in the number of fatalities reported as drug-involved over this time period may be, in part, associated with an increase in training and ability of California law enforcement to detect and report drug involvement in fatal crashes in recent years (see Figure 11).

- Of all 2017 DUI arrests, 21.0% were associated with a reported traffic crash, like in 2016. Of 2017 DUI arrests, 8.3% were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, relatively unchanged from 8.2% in 2016 (see Table 17).

- In 2017, over three-fourths (77.6%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a). In contrast, the majority (59.9%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, the author acknowledges the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, for providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation, as well as the California Highway Patrol, Information Management Division, for providing annual alcohol- and drug-involved crash data and documentation. The contributions of Karin Oakley, Associate Governmental Program Analyst, in transforming computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production and publication of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. Helen N. Tashima, Retired Annuitant, was the first author and the principal investigator of this report throughout the years and a great mentor to the author. Her essential role in the project and her dedication over the years were invaluable. Further, the author acknowledges, with great appreciation, the contributions of Rebekah S. Landbeck, Research Data Specialist I, for information presented in Section 5 of this report. Finally, the author greatly appreciates the contributions of Dario Sacchi, Research Data Supervisor II, and Bayliss J. Camp, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

Report Author:
Sladjana Oulad Daoud, Research Data Specialist II, Principal Investigator.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF TABLES (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

LIST OF FIGURES (continued)

NUMBER PAGE

INTRODUCTION

This report is the twenty-ninth *Annual Report of the California Driving Under the Influence Management Information System (DUI-MIS)*, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session, adding Section 1821 to the California Vehicle Code (see Appendix A). This bill requires the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for crash data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and crash reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI-MIS was developed by Helander (1989) and is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. In the earlier years of this report, these evaluations were accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related crashes and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions within offender group. In recent years as the sanctions became increasingly homogenous within each offender group, the evaluations (as mandated by law) became focused on available sanctions within selected groups. These evaluations are detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."



Figure 1. DUI management information system.

It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system. The publication of these data may assist others in making policy decisions, formulating improvements, and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report have led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small Central Valley court, to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract for the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the DOJ, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates, or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994.[1] In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's MACR system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the annual number of DUI arrests.

DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy or through direct electronic access from the courts), they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the Automated Name Index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X"-numbered record is created to store the abstract information. Conviction data are subject to change since abstracts of conviction can be amended, corrected, or dismissed after the initial abstract of conviction is reported to DMV. Also, reporting, and non- reporting errors can occur as with DUI arrest data.

Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Crash Data:

Crash data are reported to the CHP by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on crashes involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only crashes varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and published in their annual report.

[1] Similarly, there was an undercount of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests for April 2011 by CHP.

SECTION 1:

DUI ARRESTS

SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information on driving under the influence (DUI) arrests presented below is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Criminal Justice Statistics Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 1: DUI Arrests by County, 2016–2018 and Annual Percentage Change, 2017-2018. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 2016-2018 and the percentage change from 2017 to 2018 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 2018 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 2018 DUI arrests by arrest type (i.e., felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor arrests), by county. The table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a and 3b: 2018 DUI Arrests by Age, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a cross tabulates age by gender and age by race/ethnicity of 2018 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Also, Table 3a shows the median age for 2018 arrestees. Table 3b shows the same data cross-tabulated by gender and age within race/ethnicity.

Table 3c: DUI Arrests Under Age 21, 2008-2018. Table 3c shows a breakdown of DUI arrests under 21, by age, from 2008 to 2018. It also shows the proportion of total DUI arrests under 21 for the state over the same time period.

Figure 2: DUI Arrests, 2008-2018. Figure 2 displays the trend in DUI arrests from 2008 to 2018.

Figure 3: Percentage of 2018 DUI Arrests and 2018 Projected Population (Age 15 and Over, based on the 2010 Census) by Race/Ethnicity. Figure 3 shows the percentages of 2018 DUI arrests and 2018 projected population by race/ethnicity.



Note. Due to the non-reporting of DUI arrest data by CHP for the month of April 2011, an undercount is present in the figures for 2011 (with approximately 6,500 fewer total DUI arrests).

Figure 2. DUI arrests, 2008-2018.

Based on the data shown in Figures 2 and 3 and previously listed tables, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters

- DUI arrests increased by 3.1% in 2018, after decreasing by 5.0% in 2017. DUI arrests have increased for the first time since 2008 and after nine years of a continuous decline (see DUI Summary Statistics and Table 1).

- Table 2 shows that the DUI arrest rate per 100 licensed drivers was 0.5 in 2018, unchanged from 0.5 in 2017, 2016, and 2015. The 2018 rate represents a 72% reduction from the 1.8 rate in 1990.

- The percentage of felony DUI arrests in 2018 was 3.8%, slightly less than 4.0% in 2017. This type of DUI arrest constitutes a relatively small percentage of all DUI arrests (see Table 2).

County Variation

- Of all 2018 California DUI arrests, 19.3% occurred in Los Angeles County. Three counties (Los Angeles, San Diego, and Orange) had over 9,400 DUI arrests each, together accounting for 35.3% of all arrests (see Table 2).

- The 2018 county DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.2 to 1.8 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers (the statewide average rate was 0.5). Nineteen counties had arrest rates of 0.5 or below in 2018, just like in 2017. Eleven counties had rates of 0.4 or below: Calaveras (0.4), Los Angeles (0.4), Placer (0.4), Sacramento (0.4), San Diego (0.4), San Joaquin (0.4), San Mateo (0.4), Yolo (0.4), Contra Costa (0.3), Santa Clara (0.3), and San Francisco (0.2). This is shown in Table 2.

- The majority of counties had more DUI arrests in 2018 than in 2017. Among larger and medium-sized counties, the greatest percentage increases occurred in Fresno (42.7%), Marin (31.8%), Sacramento (16.2%), and Kern (10.4%), while there was a decrease in DUI arrests in San Diego (-4.6%), and Riverside (-4.6%). Among smaller counties, the largest percentage increases in DUI arrests occurred in Del Norte (70.8%), San Benito (50.5%), Lassen (43.0%), Plumas (31.4%), and Imperial (28.5%). Small counties showing the largest percentage decrease in DUI arrests were Calaveras (-29.1%), Mariposa (-24.8%), and Yuba (-24.0%). These are shown in Table 1.

Demographic Characteristics

- The median age of a DUI arrestee in 2018 was 31 years. Almost half (48.1%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and almost three-quarters (72.7%) were age 40 or younger. Less than one percent (0.4%) of all DUI arrestees were juveniles (under age 18). 4.3% of all arrestees were over age 60 (see Table 3a).

- Among all DUI arrestees in a year, the percentage of DUI arrests under age 18 has declined from 0.7 in 2008 to 0.4 in 2018, a 42.9% relative decrease. The percentage of DUI arrests under age 21 decreased from 8.9 in 2008 to 5.4 in 2018, a 39.3% relative decline. This is shown in Table 3c.

- Males comprised 77.3% of all 2018 DUI arrests (see Table 3a), same as 77.3% in 2017. The proportion of females among DUI arrests has risen from 10.6% in 1989 to 22.7% in 2018.

- In 2018, Hispanics (50.2%) again represented the largest ethnic group among DUI arrestees, as they have each year for over a decade. Hispanics continued to be arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 2018 population parity of 36.5% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). Blacks were also overrepresented among DUI arrestees (9.2% of arrests, 6.1% of the population), while other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 2018 population parity.

These underrepresented groups were Whites (32.1% of arrests, 39.1% of the population) and "Other" (8.4% of arrests, 18.3% of the population). This is shown in Table 3a and Figure 3.

- Among male 2018 DUI arrestees, 53.8% were Hispanic, 28.9% were White, 8.9% were Black, and 8.4% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 43.2% were White, 38.0% were Hispanic, 10.3% were Black, and 8.5% were "Other" (see Table 3b).

- In 24 out of 58 counties, Hispanics comprised the majority of those arrested for DUI during 2018. In particular, the following were the ten counties with the highest percentage of Hispanic DUI arrestees: Imperial (80.3%), Tulare (75.3%), Monterey (73.0%), San Benito (69.2%), Madera (68.3%), Merced (67.0%), Kings (65.9%), Fresno (64.3%), Los Angeles (62.1%) and Santa Barbara (61.4%). In the remaining 34 counties the majority of arrestees were White (see Appendix Table B1).

- The median age of a DUI arrestee varied by race: Whites and Blacks were the oldest with a median age of 34.0 and 33.0 years, respectively, while "Other" and Hispanics had a median age of 30.0 and 29.0 years, respectively (see Table 3a).



Figure 3. Percentage of 2018 DUI arrests and 2018 projected population (age 15 and over, based on the 2010 census) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS[a] BY COUNTY, 2016–2018 AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE, 2017–2018

COUNTY	2016	2017	2018	% CHANGE 2017-2018
STATEWIDE	130054	123548	127437	3.1
ALAMEDA	5478	4820	5172	7.3
ALPINE	20	17	19	11.8
AMADOR	178	176	175	-0.6
BUTTE	1285	1126	1062	-5.7
CALAVERAS	246	237	168	-29.1
COLUSA	174	136	148	8.8
CONTRA COSTA	2910	2639	2725	3.3
DEL NORTE	152	185	316	70.8
EL DORADO	817	720	762	5.8
FRESNO	3307	3414	4873	42.7
GLENN	180	168	165	-1.8
HUMBOLDT	1131	1088	1113	2.3
IMPERIAL	769	674	866	28.5
INYO	72	100	99	-1.0
KERN	4211	3945	4355	10.4
KINGS	830	690	838	21.4
LAKE	361	411	471	14.6
LASSEN	158	100	143	43.0
LOS ANGELES	26877	25087	24642	-1.8
MADERA	972	1080	1086	0.6
MARIN	1157	991	1306	31.8
MARIPOSA	60	105	79	-24.8
MENDOCINO	578	560	590	5.4
MERCED	1248	1313	1233	-6.1
MODOC	43	47	52	10.6
MONO	86	123	141	14.6
MONTEREY	2197	2022	2114	4.5
NAPA	740	688	812	18.0
NEVADA	358	426	465	9.2
ORANGE	10824	10009	10934	9.2
PLACER	1305	1178	1139	-3.3
PLUMAS	135	121	159	31.4
RIVERSIDE	8318	8075	7706	-4.6
SACRAMENTO	4574	3445	4002	16.2
SAN BENITO	216	283	426	50.5
SAN BERNARDINO	8141	7785	7599	-2.4
SAN DIEGO	9639	9866	9413	-4.6
SAN FRANCISCO	1056	841	909	8.1
SAN JOAQUIN	2213	2154	2087	-3.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1687	1775	1799	1.4
SAN MATEO	2511	2281	2364	3.6
SANTA BARBARA	2007	1848	1822	-1.4
SANTA CLARA	4444	4176	4303	3.0
SANTA CRUZ	1255	1416	1508	6.5
SHASTA	719	794	770	-3.0
SIERRA	23	28	23	-17.9
SISKIYOU	222	300	291	-3.0
SOLANO	1584	1759	1806	2.7
SONOMA	2469	2380	2322	-2.4
STANISLAUS	1939	1941	1984	2.2
SUTTER	516	438	511	16.7
TEHAMA	350	326	391	19.9
TRINITY	205	144	146	1.4
TULARE	2465	2514	2511	-0.1
TUOLUMNE	308	304	335	10.2
VENTURA	3162	3264	3333	2.1
YOLO	700	586	528	-9.9
YUBA	472	429	326	-24.0

[a]DOJ DUI arrest totals with boat DUI (N = 153) removed.

TABLE 2: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL		TYPE OF DUI ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
			FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	
STATEWIDE	127437	100.0	4888	3.8	479	0.4	122070	95.8	0.5
ALAMEDA	5172	4.1	90	1.7	10	0.2	5072	98.1	0.5
ALPINE	19	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.3	18	94.7	1.8
AMADOR	175	0.1	6	3.4	0	0.0	169	96.6	0.6
BUTTE	1062	0.8	40	3.8	4	0.4	1018	95.9	0.7
CALAVERAS	168	0.1	3	1.8	0	0.0	165	98.2	0.4
COLUSA	148	0.1	3	2.0	0	0.0	145	98.0	1.0
CONTRA COSTA	2725	2.1	101	3.7	12	0.4	2612	95.9	0.3
DEL NORTE	316	0.2	1	0.3	4	1.3	311	98.4	1.8
EL DORADO	762	0.6	25	3.3	6	0.8	731	95.9	0.5
FRESNO	4873	3.8	98	2.0	22	0.5	4753	97.5	0.8
GLENN	165	0.1	3	1.8	0	0.0	162	98.2	0.8
HUMBOLDT	1113	0.9	14	1.3	3	0.3	1096	98.5	1.1
IMPERIAL	866	0.7	15	1.7	11	1.3	840	97.0	0.7
INYO	99	0.1	6	6.1	3	3.0	90	90.9	0.7
KERN	4355	3.4	151	3.5	17	0.4	4187	96.1	0.8
KINGS	838	0.7	27	3.2	6	0.7	805	96.1	1.1
LAKE	471	0.4	14	3.0	1	0.2	456	96.8	1.0
LASSEN	143	0.1	3	2.1	0	0.0	140	97.9	0.8
LOS ANGELES	24642	19.3	1191	4.8	51	0.2	23400	95.0	0.4
MADERA	1086	0.9	31	2.9	9	0.8	1046	96.3	1.2
MARIN	1306	1.0	22	1.7	5	0.4	1279	97.9	0.7
MARIPOSA	79	0.1	2	2.5	0	0.0	77	97.5	0.5
MENDOCINO	590	0.5	17	2.9	1	0.2	572	96.9	0.9
MERCED	1233	1.0	53	4.3	8	0.6	1172	95.1	0.8
MODOC	52	0.0	7	13.5	0	0.0	45	86.5	0.8
MONO	141	0.1	1	0.7	1	0.7	139	98.6	1.5
MONTEREY	2114	1.7	104	4.9	14	0.7	1996	94.4	0.8
NAPA	812	0.6	27	3.3	2	0.2	783	96.4	0.8
NEVADA	465	0.4	12	2.6	3	0.6	450	96.8	0.5
ORANGE	10934	8.6	306	2.8	38	0.3	10590	96.9	0.5
PLACER	1139	0.9	58	5.1	9	0.8	1072	94.1	0.4
PLUMAS	159	0.1	12	7.5	1	0.6	146	91.8	1.0
RIVERSIDE	7706	6.0	204	2.6	37	0.5	7465	96.9	0.5
SACRAMENTO	4002	3.1	281	7.0	17	0.4	3704	92.6	0.4
SAN BENITO	426	0.3	8	1.9	2	0.5	416	97.7	1.0
SAN BERNARDINO	7599	6.0	332	4.4	17	0.2	7250	95.4	0.5
SAN DIEGO	9413	7.4	435	4.6	30	0.3	8948	95.1	0.4
SAN FRANCISCO	909	0.7	80	8.8	0	0.0	829	91.2	0.2
SAN JOAQUIN	2087	1.6	99	4.7	10	0.5	1978	94.8	0.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1799	1.4	41	2.3	7	0.4	1751	97.3	0.9
SAN MATEO	2364	1.9	72	3.0	5	0.2	2287	96.7	0.4
SANTA BARBARA	1822	1.4	76	4.2	19	1.0	1727	94.8	0.6
SANTA CLARA	4303	3.4	215	5.0	10	0.2	4078	94.8	0.3
SANTA CRUZ	1508	1.2	52	3.4	4	0.3	1452	96.3	0.8
SHASTA	770	0.6	29	3.8	1	0.1	740	96.1	0.6
SIERRA	23	0.0	1	4.3	0	0.0	22	95.7	0.9
SISKIYOU	291	0.2	6	2.1	1	0.3	284	97.6	0.8
SOLANO	1806	1.4	40	2.2	8	0.4	1758	97.3	0.6
SONOMA	2322	1.8	58	2.5	17	0.7	2247	96.8	0.6
STANISLAUS	1984	1.6	103	5.2	8	0.4	1873	94.4	0.6
SUTTER	511	0.4	23	4.5	7	1.4	481	94.1	0.8
TEHAMA	391	0.3	8	2.0	2	0.5	381	97.4	0.9
TRINITY	146	0.1	2	1.4	0	0.0	144	98.6	1.5
TULARE	2511	2.0	102	4.1	11	0.4	2398	95.5	0.9
TUOLUMNE	335	0.3	5	1.5	1	0.3	329	98.2	0.8
VENTURA	3333	2.6	143	4.3	14	0.4	3176	95.3	0.5
YOLO	528	0.4	21	4.0	4	0.8	503	95.3	0.4
YUBA	326	0.3	9	2.8	5	1.5	312	95.7	0.7

TABLE 3a: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL		GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	127437	100.0	98458	77.3	28979	22.7	40957	32.1	64037	50.2	11706	9.2	10737	8.4
UNDER 18	526	0.4	402	76.4	124	23.6	150	28.5	308	58.6	22	4.2	46	8.7
18-20	6345	5.0	5055	79.7	1290	20.3	1580	24.9	3992	62.9	305	4.8	468	7.4
21-30	54452	42.7	41466	76.2	12986	23.8	14563	26.7	30475	56.0	4518	8.3	4896	9.0
31-40	31292	24.6	24559	78.5	6733	21.5	9385	30.0	16054	51.3	3109	9.9	2744	8.8
41-50	17576	13.8	13635	77.6	3941	22.4	6182	35.2	8133	46.3	1861	10.6	1400	8.0
51-60	11778	9.2	9104	77.3	2674	22.7	5753	48.8	3813	32.4	1379	11.7	833	7.1
61-70	4549	3.6	3518	77.3	1031	22.7	2697	59.3	1125	24.7	437	9.6	290	6.4
71 & ABOVE	919	0.7	719	78.2	200	21.8	647	70.4	137	14.9	75	8.2	60	6.5
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	31.0		31.0		31.0		34.0		29.0		33.0		30.0	

TABLE 3b: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY GENDER, AGE, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

GENDER	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		127437	100.0	40957	32.1	64037	50.2	11706	9.2	10737	8.4
MALE	UNDER 18	402	0.4	107	26.6	247	61.4	11	2.7	37	9.2
	18-20	5055	5.1	1137	22.5	3339	66.1	219	4.3	360	7.1
	21-30	41466	42.1	10132	24.4	24519	59.1	3167	7.6	3648	8.8
	31-40	24559	24.9	6590	26.8	13499	55.0	2323	9.5	2147	8.7
	41-50	13635	13.8	4169	30.6	6942	50.9	1434	10.5	1090	8.0
	51-60	9104	9.2	3946	43.3	3331	36.6	1138	12.5	689	7.6
	61-70	3518	3.6	1892	53.8	1012	28.8	357	10.1	257	7.3
	71 & ABOVE	719	0.7	475	66.1	127	17.7	65	9.0	52	7.2
	TOTAL	98458	100.0	28448	28.9	53016	53.8	8714	8.9	8280	8.4
FEMALE	UNDER 18	124	0.4	43	34.7	61	49.2	11	8.9	9	7.3
	18-20	1290	4.5	443	34.3	653	50.6	86	6.7	108	8.4
	21-30	12986	44.8	4431	34.1	5956	45.9	1351	10.4	1248	9.6
	31-40	6733	23.2	2795	41.5	2555	37.9	786	11.7	597	8.9
	41-50	3941	13.6	2013	51.1	1191	30.2	427	10.8	310	7.9
	51-60	2674	9.2	1807	67.6	482	18.0	241	9.0	144	5.4
	61-70	1031	3.6	805	78.1	113	11.0	80	7.8	33	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	200	0.7	172	86.0	10	5.0	10	5.0	8	4.0
	TOTAL	28979	100.0	12509	43.2	11021	38.0	2992	10.3	2457	8.5

TABLE 3c: DUI ARRESTS UNDER AGE 21, 2008-2018

AGE		2008	2009	2010	2011[a]	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
TOTAL (ALL AGES)	*N*	214811	208531	195879	180212	172893	160388	154743	141372	130054	123548	127437
UNDER 18	*N*	1494	1262	1085	891	746	600	529	517	496	539	526
	%	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
18-20	*N*	17558	16382	14859	13073	11767	9846	9048	8084	7627	6624	6345
	%	8.2	7.9	7.6	7.3	6.8	6.1	5.8	5.7	5.9	5.4	5.0
UNDER 21	*N*	19052	17644	15944	13964	12513	10446	9577	8601	8123	7163	6871
	%	8.9	8.5	8.1	7.8	7.2	6.5	6.1	6.1	6.2	5.8	5.4

[a]The non-reporting of approximately 6,500 DUI arrests by CHP for the month of April 2011 is reflected in this table's 2011 figures.

SECTION 2:

CONVICTIONS

SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of driving under the influence (DUI) arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. Although the DUI arrest data reported earlier are based on arrests that occurred in 2018, the DUI conviction data are based on convictions of DUI offenders arrested in 2017 in order to allow sufficient time for courts to report convictions to DMV. Tables in this section presents combined and cross-tabulated DUI conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories. In what follows, expressions like "2017 convictions" refer to DUI offenders arrested in 2017 and subsequently convicted. Starting with the 2013 DUI Management Information System (DUI-MIS) report, the data source, placement, and type of information provided in Figure 4 and Tables 5 and 6 have changed. In particular, since some DUI arrest data from the Department of Justice (DOJ) Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system could not be matched to the driver records on the DMV database, the information in Table 6 is estimated based only on DUI cases whose arrest and/or conviction were found on the DMV database ("matchable DUI cases"). Starting with the 2019 DUI-MIS report, separate information on drug-specific DUI conviction (DUID) is presented in this section in addition to existing overall DUI conviction information. This section contains the following tables and figures:

Table 4a: DUI Convictions by Age and Gender for 2017 DUI Arrests. This table cross tabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and gender. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 4b: DUID Convictions by Age and Gender for 2017 DUI Arrests. This table cross tabulates statewide DUID conviction information by age and gender.

Table 5a: DUI and DUID Convictions by County among DUI Offenders, 2015-2017. This table shows the total numbers of DUI and DUID convictions statewide and by county among DUI offenders arrested in the years 2015-2017. It also shows the percentages of DUID convictions of the total DUI convictions for those years.

Table 5b: DUI Conviction Data for 2017 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows county and statewide DUI-related conviction data (felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions as well as alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions) as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. For DUI convictions, it also shows the median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, both statewide and by county.

Table 5c: DUID Conviction Data for 2017 DUI Arrests By County. This table shows county and statewide DUID conviction data (violations of CVC 23152 and CVC 23153 involving either drugs alone or the combined influence of alcohol and drugs) as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. The table also shows the median adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to DUID conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, both statewide and by county.

Table 6: Adjudication Status of 2017 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows information on DUI conviction rates and adjudication status (court disposition) of 2017 DUI arrests statewide and by county. It includes the estimated percentages of arrests that resulted in DUI convictions (DUI conviction rates), misdemeanor and felony DUI convictions, reckless driving convictions (alcohol/drug and non-alcohol/drug related), other convictions, and the percentage of DUI arrests with no record of any conviction. Starting with the 2013 DUI-MIS report, these estimates are limited to DUI arrests or individual cases from the MACR file for which a matching arrest and/or conviction was found in the DMV database. These arrest cases were tracked individually to determine their final adjudication status. In early DUI-MIS reports, the information on DUI conviction rates and adjudication status in this table was obtained by dividing the total number of convictions by the total number of arrests, either statewide or by county, without matching individual cases. Starting with 2010 DUI conviction rates, this information is estimated by tracking matched individual DUI arrest cases and by calculating percentages of those that resulted in conviction of DUI, of some other type of violation, and those that resulted in no conviction.

Table 7a: Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI and Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Convictions for 2017 DUI Arrests and Table 7b: Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Convicted DUI Offenders Under Age 21 Arrested in 2017. Table 7a shows the frequency of reported BAC levels for DUI and alcohol- or drug-reckless convictions, whereas Table 7b shows the BAC distribution for convicted arrestees under age 21. Administrative Per Se (APS) forms, submitted following most DUI arrests, are used here to calculate statewide BAC levels because they report this information more completely than do abstracts of conviction.

Table 8: DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Reported BAC Level for 2017 DUI Arrests. This table displays the percentages of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in 10 years), with the average (mean) and median BAC level from APS reporting forms for each offense level.

Figure 4: DUI Convictions and Conviction Rates Based on Arrest Year, 2008-2017. Figure 4 shows, for the years 2008 to 2017, the total number of DUI convictions and DUI conviction rates based on the violation year.



DUI conviction rate (percent convicted)	78.7%	77.2%	73.1%[a]	73.3%[a]	73.7%[a]	72.5%[a]	72.7%[a]	72.6%	73.6%	72.9%

[a]DUI conviction rates for 2010 and later are based on different data extraction procedures than those used in the past and are not comparable to prior years.

Figure 4. DUI convictions and conviction rates based on arrest year, 2008-2017.

Based on this report's DUI conviction data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters

- In 2017, 72.9% of DUI arrests resulted in convictions for DUI offenses (see Table 6).

- Based on the DUI conviction data for arrests within 10 years (2008-2017), 3.8% of all California drivers (including those who do not have a permanent driving record) have one or more DUI convictions on their record.

- The percentage of DUID convictions of the total DUI convictions in California increased slightly from 5.5% among DUI offenders arrested in 2016 to 5.7% among those arrested in 2017, which is higher than the 4.7% among DUI offenders arrested in 2015. Although, the number of DUID convictions decreased by 1.4%, from 5,414 in 2016 to 5,339 in 2017, the total number of DUI convictions declined by 4.9% in the same time period (see Table 5a).

- Among 2017 DUI arrests, 7.0% resulted in alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions and 1.5% resulted in reckless driving convictions not alcohol- or drug-related (see Table 6).

- Among 2017 DUI arrests, 1.1% resulted in convictions for offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, such as speed contest or driving with a suspended or revoked license (see Table 6).

- Among 2017 DUI arrests, 17.6% have not yet resulted in any conviction that could be found on DMV's database (see Table 6).

- The average (mean) reported non-zero BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2017, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.169%, relatively unchanged from 0.168% in 2016. The midpoint (median) BAC level reported was 0.16%. Both measures are at least double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08% (see Table 7a).

- The average (mean) and median non-zero BAC levels increased as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions. The average BAC level increased from 0.165% BAC for first offenders to 0.191% BAC for fourth-or-subsequent offenders (the median BAC level increased from 0.16% BAC for first offenders to 0.18% BAC for fourth-or-subsequent offenders). This is shown in Table 8.

- Among 2017 DUI arrestees subsequently convicted, 73.0% were first offenders, 20.3% were second offenders, 5.1% were third offenders, and 1.6% were fourth-or-more offenders. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors for DUI in California is 10 years.) The proportion of all convicted DUI offenders that are repeat offenders (27.0%), shown in Table 8, has increased ever since the counting period for priors changed from 7 to 10 years (by SB 1694, Torlakson, effective 1/1/2005). For example, in the last year before the change in criteria for counting prior convictions (2004), the percentage of repeat offenders was 23.5% versus 27.0% in 2017.

- The median adjudication time lags were 126 days from DUI arrest to DUI conviction and 6 days from conviction to update on the DMV database, totaling over 4 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record (see Table 5b). However, the median adjudication time from DUI arrest to conviction is almost twice as long for DUID convictions (217 days) when compared to the same adjudication time lag for overall DUI convictions (see Table 5c).

Demographic Characteristics

- The median age of convicted DUI offenders in 2017 was 32 years (see Table 4a).

- Among 2017 DUI convictees, 46.3% were 30 years of age or younger and 71.6% were 40 years or younger (see Table 4a).

- Females comprised 23.5% of convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2017 (see Table 4a), an increase from 22.8% last year. In general, the proportion of females among convicted DUI offenders has risen slightly each year since 1994.

- The median age of convicted DUID offenders in 2017 was 31 years. However, females convicted of DUID are older than males, which is reflected in their median age, 35.0, compared to 30.0 median age of males (see Table 4b).

TABLE 4a: DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS[a]

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	93606	100.0	71589	76.5	22017	23.5
UNDER 18	88	0.1	75	85.2	13	14.8
18-20	2972	3.2	2354	79.2	618	20.8
21-30	40289	43.0	30301	75.2	9988	24.8
31-40	23649	25.3	18472	78.1	5177	21.9
41-50	13103	14.0	10111	77.2	2992	22.8
51-60	9303	9.9	7044	75.7	2259	24.3
61-70	3529	3.8	2709	76.8	820	23.2
71 & ABOVE	673	0.7	523	77.7	150	22.3
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	35.2		35.3		35.1	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	32.0		31.0		32.0	

[a]County-specific tabulations of 2017 DUI convictions by age and gender are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 4b: DUID CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND GENDER FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS[a]

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	5339	100.0	4149	77.7	1190	22.3
UNDER 18	11	0.2	9	81.8	2	18.2
18-20	408	7.6	352	86.3	56	13.7
21-30	2195	41.1	1823	83.1	372	16.9
31-40	1331	24.9	1002	75.3	329	24.7
41-50	669	12.5	466	69.7	203	30.3
51-60	534	10.0	369	69.1	165	30.9
61-70	168	3.1	110	65.5	58	34.5
71 & ABOVE	23	0.4	18	78.3	5	21.7
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	34.2		33.2		37.5	
MEDIAN AGE (YEARS)	31.0		30.0		35.0	

[a]These figures are a subset of the counts in the Table 4a. Percents may not add to 100% due to rounding.

TABLE 5a: DUI AND DUID CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY AMONG DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2015-2017

	2015			2016			2017		
		DUID			DUID			DUID	
COUNTY	DUI	*N*	*%*	DUI	*N*	*%*	DUI	*N*	*%*
STATEWIDE	106627	5021	4.7	98430	5414	5.5	93606	5339	5.7
ALAMEDA	2927	69	2.4	2481	43	1.7	2209	40	1.8
ALPINE	16	0	0.0	20	0	0.0	12	0	0.0
AMADOR	136	8	5.9	135	7	5.2	134	2	1.5
BUTTE	950	53	5.6	968	43	4.4	904	35	3.9
CALAVERAS	214	31	14.5	210	20	9.5	178	15	8.4
COLUSA	124	13	10.5	131	13	9.9	99	12	12.1
CONTRA COSTA	2016	12	0.6	1681	12	0.7	1649	36	2.2
DEL NORTE	74	2	2.7	110	2	1.8	109	2	1.8
EL DORADO	654	42	6.4	640	48	7.5	641	44	6.9
FRESNO	4043	246	6.1	3555	197	5.5	3183	137	4.3
GLENN	126	12	9.5	128	14	10.9	125	7	5.6
HUMBOLDT	713	57	8.0	787	48	6.1	722	32	4.4
IMPERIAL	457	5	1.1	426	6	1.4	420	4	1.0
INYO	125	11	8.8	104	0	0.0	105	9	8.6
KERN	2948	215	7.3	2799	177	6.3	2733	208	7.6
KINGS	473	42	8.9	411	33	8.0	462	29	6.3
LAKE	303	24	7.9	293	36	12.3	310	20	6.5
LASSEN	108	5	4.6	94	3	3.2	57	3	5.3
LOS ANGELES	22040	907	4.1	19673	968	4.9	17984	749	4.2
MADERA	479	28	5.8	576	57	9.9	609	47	7.7
MARIN	1139	53	4.7	1022	32	3.1	863	37	4.3
MARIPOSA	84	2	2.4	47	2	4.3	80	2	2.5
MENDOCINO	401	9	2.2	476	22	4.6	451	38	8.4
MERCED	774	3	0.4	771	11	1.4	899	14	1.6
MODOC	37	1	2.7	21	2	9.5	28	4	14.3
MONO	101	5	5.0	77	2	2.6	89	4	4.5
MONTEREY	1743	103	5.9	1726	63	3.7	1474	63	4.3
NAPA	783	15	1.9	654	11	1.7	586	21	3.6
NEVADA	408	9	2.2	358	6	1.7	418	18	4.3
ORANGE	10428	1100	10.5	9779	1173	12.0	9100	1236	13.6
PLACER	1227	151	12.3	1176	130	11.1	1080	110	10.2
PLUMAS	90	3	3.3	103	7	6.8	82	4	4.9
RIVERSIDE	6494	90	1.4	6010	157	2.6	6179	491	7.9
SACRAMENTO	4517	332	7.4	4363	489	11.2	4395	409	9.3
SAN BENITO	203	15	7.4	195	9	4.6	234	12	5.1
SAN BERNARDINO	5302	91	1.7	5233	166	3.2	5050	91	1.8
SAN DIEGO	8731	375	4.3	8047	527	6.5	7866	478	6.1
SAN FRANCISCO	692	24	3.5	448	12	2.7	419	3	0.7
SAN JOAQUIN	2085	57	2.7	1914	85	4.4	1668	93	5.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1441	61	4.2	1355	98	7.2	1494	89	6.0
SAN MATEO	1871	17	0.9	1774	32	1.8	1609	24	1.5
SANTA BARBARA	1702	19	1.1	1629	26	1.6	1493	49	3.3
SANTA CLARA	4266	48	1.1	3638	25	0.7	3457	48	1.4
SANTA CRUZ	1009	29	2.9	914	41	4.5	1017	27	2.7
SHASTA	627	33	5.3	600	24	4.0	611	32	5.2
SIERRA	13	1	7.7	9	0	0.0	10	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	162	4	2.5	126	0	0.0	152	1	0.7
SOLANO	985	13	1.3	1004	9	0.9	1038	20	1.9
SONOMA	2276	96	4.2	2086	74	3.5	1491	31	2.1
STANISLAUS	1589	67	4.2	1587	61	3.8	1609	81	5.0
SUTTER	326	26	8.0	311	25	8.0	275	27	9.8
TEHAMA	232	3	1.3	180	8	4.4	180	9	5.0
TRINITY	59	3	5.1	75	4	5.3	59	1	1.7
TULARE	2083	124	6.0	1813	121	6.7	1880	124	6.6
TUOLUMNE	270	27	10.0	239	22	9.2	236	10	4.2
VENTURA	2713	176	6.5	2601	176	6.8	2685	184	6.9
YOLO	541	18	3.3	580	20	3.4	455	12	2.6
YUBA	297	36	12.1	267	15	5.6	249	11	4.4

TABLE 5b: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY[a]

COUNTY	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[b]	UNDER 21 DUI[c]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
					VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	89247	4041	318	11303	126	6
ALAMEDA	2123	81	5	1156	200	14
ALPINE	12	0	0	2	44	7
AMADOR	118	16	0	14	101	10
BUTTE	868	32	4	113	120	6
CALAVERAS	172	6	0	16	104	3
COLUSA	96	3	0	24	72	8
CONTRA COSTA	1608	39	2	3	250	4
DEL NORTE	104	4	1	28	83	73
EL DORADO	594	46	1	64	169	7
FRESNO	2949	209	25	517	140	15
GLENN	118	6	1	23	192	10
HUMBOLDT	704	15	3	145	170	10
IMPERIAL	394	17	9	124	262	8
INYO	102	3	0	19	106	2
KERN	2656	65	12	541	61	8
KINGS	440	21	1	34	258	2
LAKE	297	11	2	28	104	7
LASSEN	57	0	0	4	332	3
LOS ANGELES	17382	572	30	1800	115	6
MADERA	584	23	2	112	383	12
MARIN	820	33	10	87	109	21
MARIPOSA	79	1	0	9	100	3
MENDOCINO	418	32	1	45	95	47
MERCED	824	70	5	103	177	3
MODOC	27	0	1	3	76	13
MONO	85	4	0	18	162	8
MONTEREY	1416	52	6	194	78	23
NAPA	567	16	3	86	101	2
NEVADA	394	22	2	47	116	11
ORANGE	8742	344	14	378	195	0
PLACER	1000	74	6	130	126	39
PLUMAS	75	5	2	4	81	6
RIVERSIDE	5915	264	0	278	145	3
SACRAMENTO	4013	359	23	237	89	6
SAN BENITO	216	16	2	21	136	7
SAN BERNARDINO	4753	286	11	812	203	2
SAN DIEGO	7482	360	24	1354	78	12
SAN FRANCISCO	402	16	1	102	193	8
SAN JOAQUIN	1595	68	5	110	66	3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1438	51	5	232	87	0
SAN MATEO	1544	62	3	450	167	19
SANTA BARBARA	1398	85	10	188	104	10
SANTA CLARA	3292	149	16	542	113	10
SANTA CRUZ	985	20	12	160	77	1
SHASTA	567	37	7	106	113	4
SIERRA	7	3	0	5	116	143
SISKIYOU	143	9	0	45	133	9
SOLANO	1011	23	4	237	189	19
SONOMA	1434	50	7	112	82	20
STANISLAUS	1497	107	5	102	106	25
SUTTER	257	17	1	63	107	16
TEHAMA	169	10	1	30	77	172
TRINITY	55	3	1	4	93	5
TULARE	1766	105	9	140	119	10
TUOLUMNE	223	11	2	2	84	15
VENTURA	2586	82	17	0	135	0
YOLO	432	20	3	62	128	6
YUBA	242	6	1	38	112	22

[a]Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.
[b]Violations of CVC 23153 and CVC 23152 with a felony disposition code. 4th offenses of CVC 23152 (in 10 years), which are statutorily defined as violations of CVC 23153, are not included.
[c]Violations of CVC 23140.

TABLE 5c: DUID CONVICTION DATA FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY[a]

COUNTY	MISD DUID	FELONY DUID[b]	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
			VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	4929	410	217	7
ALAMEDA	40	0	248	13
ALPINE	0	0	0	0
AMADOR	2	0	263	7
BUTTE	33	2	193	11
CALAVERAS	13	2	251	4
COLUSA	12	0	138	12
CONTRA COSTA	35	1	328	17
DEL NORTE	2	0	276	31
EL DORADO	41	3	273	6
FRESNO	131	6	199	14
GLENN	7	0	262	8
HUMBOLDT	30	2	285	11
IMPERIAL	4	0	402	5
INYO	9	0	160	3
KERN	206	2	112	9
KINGS	25	4	245	13
LAKE	19	1	245	7
LASSEN	3	0	382	1
LOS ANGELES	718	31	171	6
MADERA	45	2	368	21
MARIN	33	4	364	6
MARIPOSA	2	0	583	11
MENDOCINO	36	2	204	55
MERCED	13	1	275	6
MODOC	4	0	266	26
MONO	4	0	217	38
MONTEREY	61	2	349	35
NAPA	19	2	301	6
NEVADA	16	2	208	10
ORANGE	1086	150	292	0
PLACER	104	6	200	46
PLUMAS	3	1	71	3
RIVERSIDE	456	35	196	217
SACRAMENTO	359	50	195	8
SAN BENITO	11	1	387	14
SAN BERNARDINO	81	10	224	68
SAN DIEGO	449	29	107	10
SAN FRANCISCO	3	0	269	12
SAN JOAQUIN	86	7	178	3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	85	4	117	1
SAN MATEO	19	5	233	30
SANTA BARBARA	44	5	252	31
SANTA CLARA	43	5	120	19
SANTA CRUZ	26	1	280	2
SHASTA	31	1	423	12
SIERRA	0	0	0	0
SISKIYOU	0	0	0	0
SOLANO	16	4	309	75
SONOMA	26	5	419	169
STANISLAUS	74	7	322	20
SUTTER	25	2	214	14
TEHAMA	4	5	249	46
TRINITY	1	0	232	0
TULARE	123	1	217	8
TUOLUMNE	10	0	468	33
VENTURA	178	6	316	13
YOLO	12	0	174	19
YUBA	11	0	190	115

[a]These figures are a subset of the counts in Table 5b.
[b]Violations of CVC 23153 and CVC 23152 with a felony disposition code. 4th offenses of CVC 23152 (in 10 years), which are statutorily defined as violations of CVC 23153, are not included.

TABLE 6: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY[a]

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION[b]
		% MIS-DEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL OR DRUG	% NONALCOHOL NOR DRUG		
STATEWIDE	72.9	70.6	2.3	7.0	1.5	1.1	17.6
ALAMEDA	45.0	44.0	1.0	19.8	2.3	0.9	31.9
ALPINE	70.6	70.6	0.0	11.8	5.9	0.0	11.8
AMADOR	77.0	70.7	6.3	8.1	2.3	0.6	12.1
BUTTE	80.6	79.3	1.3	7.4	1.1	0.3	10.7
CALAVERAS	76.7	73.7	3.0	4.7	0.9	2.2	15.5
COLUSA	75.0	72.7	2.3	11.7	2.3	0.0	10.9
CONTRA COSTA	65.3	63.7	1.6	0.1	9.3	1.3	24.0
DEL NORTE	55.9	55.4	0.5	11.4	5.4	1.1	26.1
EL DORADO	81.2	77.7	3.5	4.7	0.7	0.9	12.6
FRESNO	73.8	71.4	2.4	7.8	0.1	0.4	17.9
GLENN	73.0	71.8	1.2	12.3	0.0	0.6	14.1
HUMBOLDT	65.6	64.2	1.4	11.3	2.0	1.1	20.0
IMPERIAL	58.7	56.5	2.2	12.8	2.9	0.9	24.8
INYO	75.2	74.4	0.8	9.0	2.3	2.3	11.3
KERN	67.7	66.4	1.3	12.0	2.3	1.1	17.1
KINGS	71.0	68.0	3.0	4.5	0.3	0.3	23.9
LAKE	69.6	67.1	2.5	5.5	2.5	2.3	20.1
LASSEN	56.6	56.6	0.0	4.0	1.0	3.0	35.4
LOS ANGELES	73.5	71.3	2.2	5.6	2.0	2.4	16.6
MADERA	58.8	56.7	2.1	8.8	2.1	0.3	29.9
MARIN	78.8	77.0	1.8	6.5	0.9	0.9	12.8
MARIPOSA	77.9	76.9	1.0	4.8	4.8	1.0	11.5
MENDOCINO	75.5	73.7	1.8	6.0	1.2	0.9	16.5
MERCED	68.4	65.7	2.7	5.5	0.2	0.6	25.3
MODOC	54.0	54.0	0.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	38.0
MONO	68.5	67.7	0.8	11.3	0.0	0.8	19.4
MONTEREY	70.4	68.1	2.3	7.9	1.1	0.9	19.8
NAPA	78.7	76.8	1.9	9.5	1.9	0.6	9.4
NEVADA	80.8	79.2	1.6	5.2	1.0	0.6	12.3
ORANGE	84.4	82.4	2.0	3.0	0.4	0.4	12.0
PLACER	80.5	76.6	3.9	7.8	0.2	0.5	11.1
PLUMAS	66.7	62.5	4.2	2.5	0.8	0.8	29.2
RIVERSIDE	74.7	71.8	2.9	2.6	0.8	0.5	21.4
SACRAMENTO	87.1	82.9	4.2	2.6	0.2	1.1	9.0
SAN BENITO	76.5	74.1	2.4	6.1	0.3	0.3	16.8
SAN BERNARDINO	67.0	64.7	2.3	8.3	2.3	0.9	21.5
SAN DIEGO	75.1	72.5	2.6	10.7	1.1	0.6	12.5
SAN FRANCISCO	50.1	48.4	1.7	11.5	2.7	1.3	34.5
SAN JOAQUIN	69.9	67.3	2.6	3.3	0.2	0.5	26.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	77.8	75.8	2.0	9.5	1.7	1.3	9.7
SAN MATEO	66.7	65.0	1.7	16.6	0.2	1.5	15.0
SANTA BARBARA	74.1	72.0	2.1	7.3	0.6	0.6	17.4
SANTA CLARA	75.0	72.7	2.3	9.6	1.1	0.9	13.4
SANTA CRUZ	70.6	68.9	1.7	10.3	0.8	1.0	17.3
SHASTA	75.3	73.9	1.4	9.1	0.6	0.6	14.4
SIERRA	47.6	38.1	9.5	9.5	4.8	0.0	38.1
SISKIYOU	54.3	53.9	0.4	12.5	2.9	1.1	29.3
SOLANO	58.8	57.7	1.1	11.5	6.5	0.3	23.0
SONOMA	61.5	60.3	1.2	4.0	0.6	0.7	33.1
STANISLAUS	78.0	73.9	4.1	3.7	0.6	0.9	16.9
SUTTER	70.8	67.0	3.8	12.3	2.2	0.5	14.2
TEHAMA	57.4	55.5	1.9	5.6	3.8	1.3	32.0
TRINITY	57.2	54.3	2.9	1.0	3.8	1.0	37.1
TULARE	78.4	76.4	2.0	4.9	0.1	0.9	15.7
TUOLUMNE	78.2	76.2	2.0	0.7	4.7	1.0	15.4
VENTURA	84.3	81.8	2.5	0.0	0.0	1.4	14.2
YOLO	74.8	72.6	2.2	7.8	1.9	0.9	14.6
YUBA	72.3	70.8	1.5	7.3	1.2	0.0	19.3

[a]Table 6 estimates are based only on DUI arrest cases from the MACR system whose arrests or convictions were found on the DMV database.
[b]These include dismissals and DUI failures-to-appear (FTA); the statewide DUI FTA average for 2017 DUI arrests was 2.2%.

TABLE 7a: REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI AND ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS[a]

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	1334	1.7	.00	362	4.0
.01	65	0.1	.01	14	0.2
.02	62	0.1	.02	22	0.2
.03	53	0.1	.03	27	0.3
.04	94	0.1	.04	40	0.4
.05	179	0.2	.05	95	1.1
.06	318	0.4	.06	238	2.6
.07	493	0.6	.07	677	7.5
.08	1401	1.8	.08	1730	19.2
.09	2375	3.0	.09	1891	21.0
.10	3550	4.5	.10	1386	15.4
.11	4504	5.8	.11	758	8.4
.12	5211	6.7	.12	478	5.3
.13	5442	6.9	.13	293	3.3
.14	5538	7.1	.14	251	2.8
.15	5700	7.3	.15	153	1.7
.16	5547	7.1	.16	131	1.5
.17	5190	6.6	.17	107	1.2
.18	4959	6.3	.18	81	0.9
.19	4382	5.6	.19	62	0.7
.20	3988	5.1	.20	38	0.4
.21	3305	4.2	.21	27	0.3
.22	2887	3.7	.22	30	0.3
.23	2253	2.9	.23	28	0.3
.24	1940	2.5	.24	22	0.2
.25	1593	2.0	.25	14	0.2
.26	1229	1.6	.26	8	0.1
.27	951	1.2	.27	8	0.1
.28	826	1.1	.28	9	0.1
.29	658	0.8	.29	6	0.1
.30	529	0.7	.30	6	0.1
.31	389	0.5	.31	1	0.0
.32	339	0.4	.32	1	0.0
.33	252	0.3	.33	3	0.0
.34	204	0.3	.35	1	0.0
.35	161	0.2	.36	2	0.0
.36	112	0.1	.37	2	0.0
.37	91	0.1	.38	1	0.0
.38	79	0.1	.39	1	0.0
.39	58	0.1	.40	2	0.0
.40	52	0.1	.41	1	0.0
.41	35	0.0			
.42	12	0.0			
.43	11	0.0			
.44	14	0.0			
.45	6	0.0			
.46	7	0.0			
.47	2	0.0			
.48	2	0.0			
.50	1	0.0			
TOTAL	78383	100.0	TOTAL	9007	100.0
	MEAN[b] BAC .169			MEAN[b] BAC .10	
	MEDIAN[b] BAC .16			MEDIAN[b] BAC .09	

[a]The BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with convictions presented in this table. The percentage of DUI convictees with BAC levels reported is 83.7%.

[b]The calculation of the mean and the median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug DUI convictions.

TABLE 7b: REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF CONVICTED DUI OFFENDERS UNDER AGE 21 ARRESTED IN 2017[a]

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	140	4.8	.23	40	1.4
.01	16	0.6	.24	31	1.1
.02	19	0.7	.25	22	0.8
.03	13	0.5	.26	13	0.5
.04	19	0.7	.27	14	0.5
.05	66	2.3	.28	14	0.5
.06	86	3.0	.29	5	0.2
.07	92	3.2	.30	7	0.2
.08	95	3.3	.31	3	0.1
.09	127	4.4	.32	5	0.2
.10	180	6.2	.33	1	0.0
.11	185	6.4	.34	1	0.0
.12	216	7.5	.35	1	0.0
.13	194	6.7	.38	1	0.0
.14	231	8.0	.39	2	0.1
.15	211	7.3			
.16	186	6.4			
.17	176	6.1			
.18	124	4.3			
.19	142	4.9	TOTAL	2901	100.0
.20	76	2.6			
.21	79	2.7	MEAN[b] BAC .14		
.22	68	2.3	MEDIAN[b] BAC .14		

[a]The BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with convictions presented in the table. The percentage of DUI convictees under age 21 with BAC levels found is 94.8%.
[b]The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to DUI drug convictions.

TABLE 8: DUI CONVICTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS AND REPORTED BAC LEVEL FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS[a]

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	PERCENT	AVERAGE BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)[b]	MEDIAN BAC LEVEL FROM APS REPORTING FORM (%)[b]
STATEWIDE	100.0	.169	.16
1ST DUI	73.0	.165	.16
2ND DUI	20.3	.178	.17
3RD DUI	5.1	.187	.18
4TH+ DUI	1.6	.191	.18

[a]The BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with DUI convictions presented in the table.
[b]The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug DUI convictions.

SECTION 3:

POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted driving under the influence (DUI) offenders were obtained from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 2017. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 9a: Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2017. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of DUI offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock. Cross tabulations of sanctions by court (within each county) and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 9b: Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status for DUID Offenders Arrested in 2017. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of driving under the influence of drugs (DUID) offenders sentenced to probation, jail, DUI programs (first-offender, 18-month, and 30-month DUI programs), and ignition interlock.

Table 9c: Ignition Interlock Device (IID) Installations by DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2017. This table shows the statewide frequency of DUI offenders arrested in 2017 who installed an IID subsequent to their DUI arrest by number of prior DUI convictions in 10 years. For each DUI offender level, the table also shows the number and percentage of DUI offenders who installed an IID.

Table 10a: Court Sanctions by County and DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2017. This table displays the distribution of court sanctions by county for all DUI offenders.

Table 10b: Ignition Interlock Device (IID) Installations by County and DUI Offender Status for DUI Offenders Arrested in 2017. Table 10b displays the number of DUI offenders arrested in 2017 who installed an IID subsequent to their DUI arrest by county and by DUI offender status. For each county and DUI offender level, the table also shows the number and percentage of DUI offenders who installed an IID relative to the number of DUI convictions.

Figure 5: Percentage Representation of Court-Ordered DUI Sanctions (for 2017 DUI arrests). Figure 5 shows the percentage representation of court-ordered postconviction sanctions for DUI offenders arrested in 2017.

TABLE 9a: COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017[a]

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
STATEWIDE	93606	96.0	75.0	67.9	22.5	0.3	7.3
1ST	68359	97.3	67.4	89.8	2.6	0.0	2.6
REPEAT	25247	92.6	95.7	8.7	76.3	0.9	20.3
2ND	18974	95.8	95.3	10.4	79.5	0.3	18.9
3RD	4774	89.1	97.2	3.6	74.9	2.6	26.4
4TH+	1499	63.2	96.1	3.3	41.4	2.7	19.3

[a]Entries represent percentages of DUI offenders arrested in 2017 receiving each sanction, by offender status. Sanctions for each offender status group (row) are not exclusive; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.



Figure 5. Percentage representation of court-ordered DUI sanctions (for 2017 DUI arrests).
[a]This percentage does not include ignition interlock requirements administered by DMV (i.e., ignition interlock requirements under AB 91 law).

TABLE 9b: COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUID OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	5339	89.0	77.5	60.9	18.2	0.1	3.8
1ST	3885	90.7	72.0	79.0	2.7	0.0	0.9
REPEAT	1454	84.6	92.3	12.3	59.7	0.4	11.5
2ND	1052	87.6	91.0	15.5	60.5	0.6	10.5
3RD	295	81.7	96.9	3.7	63.1	0.0	14.9
4TH+	107	62.6	92.5	4.7	43.0	0.0	12.1

TABLE 9c: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS[a]	
	N	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	93606	16604	17.7
1ST	68359	10796	15.8
REPEAT	25247	5808	23.0
2ND	18974	4787	25.2
3RD	4774	916	19.2
4TH+	1499	105	7.0

[a]Entries represent numbers and percentages of DUI offenders arrested in 2017 who installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date, which may be related to different IID requirements, including those administered by DMV (i.e., under AB 91 law), and may not be initiated by IID court sanctions (presented in Table 9a) or associated with DUI convictions resulting from arrests in 2017.

From the data in these tables and those in Appendix B4, it is evident that the use of sanctions prescribed for offenders arrested in 2017 continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status. For example:

Statewide Sanctions

- The most frequent court sanction for all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.0%), while the least frequently used court sanction was ignition interlock (7.3%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 75.0% of the cases. This is shown in Table 9a, and graphically in Figure 5.

In many jurisdictions, however, all or a portion of the jail sentence is often served as community service or home confinement rather than actual jail time, particularly for first offenders (Guenzburger & Atkinson, 2012). Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to more than 100%.

- The most frequent sanction imposed on 2017 DUID offenders was probation (89.0%), although it was not imposed as frequently as it was among 2017 DUI offenders (96.0%). Similar to patterns observed for DUI offenders, a higher percentage of repeat DUID offenders were given jail time than first DUID offenders. However, 79.2% of DUID offenders were sentenced to DUI program, which is lower than 90.7% of all DUI offenders who received this sanction (see Tables 9a and 9b).

- Among convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2017, 7.3% were ordered by courts to install an IID, whereas 17.7% of them actually installed a device subsequent to their arrest date (see Tables 9a and 9c). The higher percentage of offenders installing an IID than those who were ordered to install one is likely related to specific ignition interlock laws that were implemented starting in 2010 or later. On July 1, 2010, two ignition interlock laws took effect. The first law (SB 598 – Huff) allows second and third DUI offenders, convicted for driving under the influence of alcohol only, to reinstate licensure after 3 months and 6 months of license suspension/revocation, respectively, if they install an IID. The second law (AB 91 – Feuer) created a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires first and repeat DUI offenders to install an ignition interlock device in all vehicles they own or operate for a specific time period based on their number of prior DUI convictions. More recently, on January 1, 2017, SB 1046 (Hill) was implemented which extended the AB 91 pilot program until January 1, 2019. Also, effective January 1, 2019 until January 1, 2026, this bill specifies new IID requirements for all persons convicted of an alcohol-related DUI offense (relative to specific DUI offense and number of prior DUI violations) and allows DUI offenders who are willing to install an IID to apply for a restricted driver license without serving any period of license suspension or revocation.

<u>County Variation</u>

- The referral to first-offender DUI programs (mostly from 3 to 9 months long) among first DUI offenders varies by county, from 90% or more in 34 counties to only 15.5% in San Benito County (see Table 10a).

- In 2017, 0.9% of arrested repeat DUI offenders were assigned to 30-month DUI programs (see Table 9a). Assignment of DUI offenders (mostly third-or-more) to 30-month DUI programs was low, as there are very few counties that have 30-month DUI programs (see Table 10a).

- The highest percentage of DUI offenders installing an IID subsequent to their arrest in 2017 is among DUI offenders who were subject to the AB 91 pilot program, in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare counties; the installations ranged from 47.4% (Los Angeles) to 33.5% (Tulare). This is shown in Table 10b.

- Among the larger counties not included in the AB 91 pilot program, the percentage of DUI offenders who installed an IID ranged from 5.4% (Riverside) to 7.7% (Orange). At the same time, among the smaller counties, the percentage of DUI offenders installing an IID ranged from 0.0% in Modoc and Sierra to 25% in Alpine (see Table 10b).

Court Variation

- Statewide, courts vary significantly in how they prescribe available sanctions for DUI offenders, even when they are in the same county and are processing similar number of DUI offenders. For example, in Los Angeles County alone, one court (West Covina) assigned jail to 72.3% of all convicted DUI offenders (n = 1,211), while another court (Bellflower) in the same county assigned jail to only 37.2% of all convicted DUI offenders (n = 1,160). This is shown in Table B4 in the Appendix.

- Courts in seven counties did not require any of the convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2017 to install an ignition interlock device (see Table 10a and Table B4 in the Appendix).

Variation by Offender Status

- Among first DUI offenders arrested in 2017 and subsequently convicted, 67.4% were sentenced to jail, compared to 95.7% of all repeat offenders (see Table 9a).

- Among first DUI offenders, 92.4% were assigned by courts to attend DUI programs, as were 90.2% of second offenders, 81.1% of third offenders, and 47.4% of fourth-or-more DUI offenders. This is shown in Table 9a. (By statute, however, all DUI offenders must eventually complete specified DUI programs to be eligible for license reinstatement.)

- In 2017, 20.3% of repeat DUI offenders were required by the courts to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles (see Table 9a), compared to 21.7% of those arrested in 2016. Judges routinely did not require interlocks for repeat offenders despite the ignition interlock law (AB 762 - Torlakson), enacted in 1999, which provides incentives for repeat offenders to

reinstate after 12 months of license suspension/revocation with interlocks and establishes mandatory interlock law for DUI suspension/revocation violators.

- The majority of DUI offenders arrested in 2017 who installed an IID subsequent to their arrest were first offenders from the AB 91 pilot counties; more than 40.0% of first DUI offenders in Alameda, Sacramento, Los Angeles, and Tulare counties installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date (see Table 10b).

- Among repeat DUI offenders, IID installation rates are higher among second DUI offenders (see Table 9c). The percentage of second DUI offenders from the AB 91 pilot counties who installed an IID ranged from 23.4% (Tulare) to 37.1% (Los Angeles). Among those from the counties not included in the pilot program, 30.0% or more second DUI offenders installed an IID subsequent to their 2017 arrest in the following counties: Colusa (45.5%), Plumas (44.4%), Placer (39.1%), Napa (38.5%), Sonoma (38.5%), Sutter (30.9%), Ventura (30.8%), and Siskiyou (30.3%). This is shown in Table 10b.

TABLE 10a: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
STATEWIDE		93606	96.0	75.0	67.9	22.5	0.3	7.3
ALAMEDA	1ST	1502	99.1	99.1	89.8	3.9	0.0	1.3
	2ND	501	99.4	100.0	22.4	72.3	0.0	5.4
	3RD	148	97.3	98.6	10.1	81.1	1.4	9.5
	4TH+	58	98.3	100.0	10.3	84.5	0.0	41.4
	TOTAL	2209	99.0	99.3	67.1	26.7	0.1	3.8
ALPINE	1ST	10	100.0	90.0	90.0	10.0	0.0	30.0
	2ND	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	12	100.0	83.3	75.0	25.0	0.0	25.0
AMADOR	1ST	97	97.9	97.9	92.8	2.1	0.0	14.4
	2ND	30	90.0	96.7	10.0	63.3	0.0	63.3
	3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	4TH+	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
	TOTAL	134	93.3	97.8	69.4	17.9	0.0	26.9
BUTTE	1ST	635	96.4	96.2	93.7	1.7	0.3	2.0
	2ND	196	90.8	96.4	9.2	75.0	5.6	9.7
	3RD	53	79.2	92.5	3.8	22.6	52.8	22.6
	4TH+	20	55.0	95.0	5.0	0.0	50.0	45.0
	TOTAL	904	93.3	96.0	68.1	18.8	5.6	5.9
CALAVERAS	1ST	133	100.0	100.0	98.5	1.5	0.0	3.8
	2ND	36	97.2	100.0	13.9	66.7	0.0	61.1
	3RD	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	75.0
	4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	178	99.4	100.0	76.4	19.1	0.0	19.1
COLUSA	1ST	81	98.8	100.0	91.4	4.9	0.0	0.0
	2ND	11	90.9	100.0	45.5	27.3	0.0	0.0
	3RD	6	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	99	98.0	100.0	82.8	11.1	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	1ST	1207	98.5	95.6	90.5	2.8	0.0	0.9
	2ND	286	98.6	99.0	13.3	81.8	0.0	10.5
	3RD	109	92.7	96.3	2.8	81.7	0.0	39.4
	4TH+	47	78.7	100.0	2.1	55.3	0.0	34.0
	TOTAL	1649	97.6	96.4	68.8	23.2	0.0	6.1
DEL NORTE	1ST	87	88.5	94.3	78.2	2.3	0.0	5.7
	2ND	20	90.0	100.0	10.0	70.0	0.0	55.0
	3RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	109	87.2	94.5	64.2	14.7	0.0	14.7
EL DORADO	1ST	445	97.1	98.0	88.1	2.0	0.0	28.3
	2ND	141	96.5	97.9	7.1	78.7	0.0	72.3
	3RD	39	87.2	97.4	0.0	76.9	0.0	61.5
	4TH+	16	43.8	100.0	0.0	43.8	0.0	43.8
	TOTAL	641	95.0	98.0	62.7	24.5	0.0	40.4

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
FRESNO	1ST	2101	96.3	96.7	90.6	2.3	0.0	0.6
	2ND	737	94.3	99.3	10.3	79.1	0.0	21.2
	3RD	232	82.8	98.3	3.9	64.7	0.4	41.4
	4TH+	113	57.5	99.1	6.2	31.9	0.9	8.8
	TOTAL	3183	93.5	97.5	62.7	25.7	0.1	8.6
GLENN	1ST	81	96.3	39.5	81.5	2.5	0.0	0.0
	2ND	34	97.1	82.4	8.8	67.6	5.9	2.9
	3RD	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	16.7
	4TH+	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0
	TOTAL	125	96.8	55.2	55.2	21.6	5.6	2.4
HUMBOLDT	1ST	522	98.7	94.1	95.2	1.0	0.0	1.0
	2ND	145	98.6	98.6	25.5	69.0	0.0	59.3
	3RD	39	97.4	100.0	2.6	87.2	5.1	92.3
	4TH+	16	62.5	93.8	0.0	37.5	0.0	18.8
	TOTAL	722	97.8	95.3	74.1	20.1	0.3	18.0
IMPERIAL	1ST	340	81.2	37.4	69.7	2.1	0.0	0.0
	2ND	63	73.0	84.1	7.9	71.4	0.0	0.0
	3RD	14	57.1	57.1	7.1	50.0	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	420	79.3	45.2	57.9	14.3	0.0	0.0
INYO	1ST	70	95.7	27.1	80.0	2.9	0.0	1.4
	2ND	30	93.3	86.7	16.7	73.3	0.0	16.7
	3RD	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	3	100.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	105	95.2	46.7	61.0	23.8	0.0	6.7
KERN	1ST	1904	97.7	97.8	79.5	2.5	0.1	1.3
	2ND	609	96.9	99.3	17.1	59.4	0.0	21.2
	3RD	177	91.0	98.3	12.4	57.6	0.6	38.4
	4TH+	43	74.4	100.0	11.6	2.3	4.7	4.7
	TOTAL	2733	96.7	98.2	60.2	18.8	0.1	8.2
KINGS	1ST	317	96.2	99.1	88.6	4.1	0.0	0.0
	2ND	104	93.3	99.0	16.3	72.1	0.0	0.0
	3RD	33	84.8	100.0	3.0	87.9	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	462	93.9	99.1	64.7	26.0	0.0	0.0
LAKE	1ST	218	95.9	91.3	85.3	0.5	0.0	0.5
	2ND	66	97.0	95.5	16.7	66.7	0.0	34.8
	3RD	19	94.7	94.7	5.3	52.6	10.5	42.1
	4TH+	7	85.7	85.7	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3
	TOTAL	310	95.8	92.3	63.9	18.4	0.6	10.6
LASSEN	1ST	44	100.0	95.5	97.7	2.3	0.0	0.0
	2ND	11	100.0	100.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	0.0
	3RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	57	98.2	96.5	78.9	19.3	0.0	0.0

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES	1ST	14001	97.2	30.5	88.7	3.1	0.1	0.0
	2ND	3163	95.0	92.2	6.9	81.8	0.6	0.1
	3RD	643	83.8	97.2	2.3	69.7	5.6	0.0
	4TH+	177	36.7	97.7	1.7	14.7	4.0	0.6
	TOTAL	17984	95.8	44.4	70.4	19.4	0.4	0.0
MADERA	1ST	389	95.6	96.1	90.7	3.3	0.0	0.0
	2ND	143	94.4	97.9	26.6	63.6	0.0	0.0
	3RD	48	81.3	100.0	14.6	60.4	2.1	0.0
	4TH+	29	65.5	100.0	0.0	48.3	10.3	13.8
	TOTAL	609	92.8	97.0	65.4	24.1	0.7	0.7
MARIN	1ST	625	97.3	95.2	93.0	3.0	0.0	3.7
	2ND	182	97.8	97.8	6.0	89.6	0.0	29.1
	3RD	40	97.5	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	82.5
	4TH+	16	75.0	93.8	6.3	62.5	0.0	68.8
	TOTAL	863	97.0	95.9	68.7	26.3	0.0	13.9
MARIPOSA	1ST	58	100.0	94.8	87.9	1.7	0.0	5.2
	2ND	15	93.3	100.0	6.7	86.7	0.0	33.3
	3RD	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0
	4TH+	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	80	97.5	96.3	65.0	21.2	0.0	13.8
MENDOCINO	1ST	315	97.8	98.7	91.7	1.6	0.0	4.4
	2ND	101	96.0	98.0	12.9	71.3	0.0	37.6
	3RD	22	90.9	100.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	40.9
	4TH+	13	61.5	100.0	0.0	69.2	0.0	15.4
	TOTAL	451	96.0	98.7	67.0	23.1	0.0	14.0
MERCED	1ST	644	97.5	98.0	46.0	5.6	0.0	0.8
	2ND	196	97.4	98.0	8.2	80.1	0.0	13.8
	3RD	45	95.6	95.6	2.2	86.7	0.0	26.7
	4TH+	14	85.7	92.9	0.0	50.0	0.0	35.7
	TOTAL	899	97.2	97.8	34.8	26.6	0.0	5.5
MODOC	1ST	22	95.5	90.9	54.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2ND	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
	3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	28	96.4	89.3	42.9	3.6	0.0	0.0
MONO	1ST	71	98.6	71.8	88.7	4.2	0.0	0.0
	2ND	15	93.3	100.0	0.0	93.3	0.0	6.7
	3RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	89	97.8	77.5	70.8	22.5	0.0	1.1
MONTEREY	1ST	1072	98.5	98.7	90.9	3.3	0.0	5.2
	2ND	311	96.5	99.4	10.0	78.5	0.0	39.2
	3RD	72	90.3	97.2	4.2	73.6	0.0	56.9
	4TH+	19	63.2	100.0	0.0	47.4	0.0	15.8
	TOTAL	1474	97.2	98.8	68.4	23.1	0.0	15.1

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
NAPA	1ST	426	97.7	96.2	82.6	2.8	0.0	37.3
	2ND	117	94.9	94.9	7.7	77.8	0.0	75.2
	3RD	34	88.2	91.2	2.9	82.4	0.0	82.4
	4TH+	9	66.7	88.9	0.0	55.6	0.0	33.3
	TOTAL	586	96.1	95.6	61.8	23.2	0.0	47.4
NEVADA	1ST	295	98.3	99.3	96.9	1.7	0.0	3.1
	2ND	97	96.9	99.0	32.0	66.0	0.0	52.6
	3RD	17	82.4	100.0	11.8	70.6	0.0	52.9
	4TH+	9	77.8	88.9	33.3	33.3	0.0	22.2
	TOTAL	418	96.9	99.0	77.0	20.1	0.0	17.0
ORANGE	1ST	6713	98.1	38.5	92.9	2.0	0.0	0.2
	2ND	1880	95.9	91.4	7.2	84.6	0.0	5.6
	3RD	416	89.4	96.9	0.7	81.5	0.0	7.5
	4TH+	91	61.5	97.8	0.0	54.9	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	9100	96.9	52.7	70.0	23.2	0.0	1.6
PLACER	1ST	767	92.0	97.1	90.5	2.1	0.0	4.6
	2ND	238	83.2	98.3	7.6	57.6	0.0	61.3
	3RD	59	74.6	98.3	0.0	71.2	0.0	61.0
	4TH+	16	62.5	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	56.3
	TOTAL	1080	88.7	97.5	65.9	19.0	0.0	20.9
PLUMAS	1ST	61	96.7	95.1	86.9	3.3	1.6	0.0
	2ND	18	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	3RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	82	97.6	96.3	64.6	28.0	1.2	0.0
RIVERSIDE	1ST	4612	97.7	95.1	94.4	2.9	0.0	0.6
	2ND	1192	95.3	96.0	7.1	87.1	0.0	7.6
	3RD	286	84.6	95.5	1.7	82.9	0.0	15.7
	4TH+	89	69.7	92.1	1.1	65.2	0.0	7.9
	TOTAL	6179	96.2	95.3	71.9	23.7	0.0	2.7
SACRAMENTO	1ST	3137	97.9	97.4	93.3	1.3	0.0	1.3
	2ND	911	95.9	99.6	9.7	81.1	0.0	4.2
	3RD	262	92.7	98.9	1.1	80.9	0.0	4.6
	4TH+	85	67.1	97.6	1.2	45.9	0.0	42.4
	TOTAL	4395	96.6	97.9	68.7	23.5	0.0	2.9
SAN BENITO	1ST	155	92.9	98.1	15.5	0.0	0.0	1.3
	2ND	57	98.2	98.2	0.0	1.8	0.0	26.3
	3RD	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4
	4TH+	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	234	93.2	98.3	10.3	0.4	0.0	11.5
SAN BERNARDINO	1ST	3575	96.4	71.8	89.8	3.8	0.0	0.7
	2ND	1101	93.5	93.9	13.1	77.7	0.0	8.1
	3RD	271	87.5	95.9	5.5	70.1	0.0	16.6
	4TH+	103	62.1	96.1	2.9	44.7	1.0	9.7
	TOTAL	5050	94.6	78.4	66.8	24.3	0.0	3.4

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN DIEGO	1ST	5895	96.2	14.1	91.1	2.0	0.0	8.9
	2ND	1528	95.8	88.7	9.0	82.4	0.0	16.7
	3RD	357	90.8	96.9	1.7	83.5	0.0	13.2
	4TH+	86	46.5	97.7	1.2	19.8	0.0	1.2
	TOTAL	7866	95.3	33.3	70.1	21.5	0.0	10.5
SAN FRANCISCO	1ST	316	99.4	99.4	98.4	0.9	0.0	1.3
	2ND	85	97.6	100.0	9.4	87.1	0.0	80.0
	3RD	13	92.3	100.0	7.7	76.9	0.0	76.9
	4TH+	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	80.0
	TOTAL	419	98.6	99.5	76.4	21.7	0.0	20.5
SAN JOAQUIN	1ST	1133	98.2	99.1	92.3	3.4	0.4	1.4
	2ND	394	97.7	99.2	12.4	81.7	1.8	28.7
	3RD	109	97.2	100.0	2.8	71.6	20.2	38.5
	4TH+	32	62.5	96.9	3.1	28.1	31.3	28.1
	TOTAL	1668	97.4	99.2	65.9	26.8	2.6	10.8
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST	1036	98.3	96.4	92.7	1.4	0.0	0.0
	2ND	326	97.9	98.2	8.9	83.7	0.0	1.8
	3RD	104	96.2	99.0	1.9	81.7	0.0	2.9
	4TH+	28	92.9	100.0	10.7	17.9	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1494	97.9	97.1	66.5	25.2	0.0	0.6
SAN MATEO	1ST	1182	98.1	98.6	91.5	3.8	0.0	1.7
	2ND	312	96.5	98.1	6.1	86.9	0.0	39.1
	3RD	93	90.3	98.9	1.1	84.9	0.0	38.7
	4TH+	22	63.6	95.5	0.0	59.1	0.0	13.6
	TOTAL	1609	96.8	98.4	68.4	25.4	0.0	11.2
SANTA BARBARA	1ST	1102	97.6	87.0	91.2	2.9	0.0	1.5
	2ND	287	94.4	98.6	9.1	81.2	0.0	28.9
	3RD	87	88.5	98.9	4.6	80.5	0.0	43.7
	4TH+	17	64.7	94.1	5.9	23.5	0.0	5.9
	TOTAL	1493	96.1	90.0	69.4	22.7	0.0	9.2
SANTA CLARA	1ST	2531	99.0	97.7	95.2	2.7	0.0	4.2
	2ND	703	98.7	99.6	13.7	83.6	0.0	59.3
	3RD	174	97.7	99.4	4.0	90.8	0.0	82.8
	4TH+	49	77.6	100.0	4.1	75.5	0.0	67.3
	TOTAL	3457	98.6	98.2	72.7	24.6	0.0	20.2
SANTA CRUZ	1ST	714	98.2	96.9	56.7	1.3	0.0	0.1
	2ND	223	98.7	100.0	20.6	39.5	0.0	0.0
	3RD	69	89.9	94.2	24.6	31.9	0.0	2.9
	4TH+	11	90.9	90.9	36.4	27.3	0.0	9.1
	TOTAL	1017	97.6	97.3	46.4	12.0	0.0	0.4
SHASTA	1ST	436	95.6	97.0	88.5	2.8	0.0	36.5
	2ND	122	95.1	98.4	7.4	75.4	3.3	73.8
	3RD	38	89.5	94.7	0.0	68.4	0.0	63.2
	4TH+	15	86.7	100.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	26.7
	TOTAL	611	94.9	97.2	64.6	21.9	0.7	45.3

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
SIERRA	1ST	7	100.0	100.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2ND	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	10	100.0	100.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1ST	109	91.7	94.5	69.7	3.7	0.0	0.9
	2ND	33	84.8	84.8	9.1	72.7	0.0	42.4
	3RD	8	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	75.0
	4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	152	90.8	92.8	53.3	23.7	0.0	15.1
SOLANO	1ST	671	97.6	98.5	93.4	2.8	0.0	1.9
	2ND	258	98.4	100.0	12.0	84.1	0.0	14.7
	3RD	75	90.7	100.0	1.3	89.3	0.0	46.7
	4TH+	34	76.5	100.0	2.9	73.5	0.0	47.1
	TOTAL	1038	96.6	99.0	63.6	31.6	0.0	9.8
SONOMA	1ST	1030	98.3	96.6	93.8	2.5	0.0	10.4
	2ND	369	98.6	99.5	6.2	91.1	0.0	81.6
	3RD	74	95.9	97.3	4.1	90.5	0.0	90.5
	4TH+	18	77.8	88.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	50.0
	TOTAL	1491	98.1	97.3	66.5	29.6	0.0	32.5
STANISLAUS	1ST	1126	98.2	99.4	94.1	2.8	0.2	2.0
	2ND	330	97.0	99.4	14.5	76.1	6.4	18.8
	3RD	118	89.0	100.0	4.2	62.7	20.3	45.8
	4TH+	35	48.6	100.0	0.0	25.7	17.1	17.1
	TOTAL	1609	96.2	99.4	69.2	22.7	3.3	8.9
SUTTER	1ST	191	95.8	98.4	91.1	2.6	0.0	4.2
	2ND	68	95.6	100.0	10.3	80.9	0.0	66.2
	3RD	14	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	71.4
	4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	275	95.3	98.9	65.8	26.9	0.0	23.6
TEHAMA	1ST	117	94.9	98.3	85.5	7.7	0.0	0.9
	2ND	49	93.9	100.0	12.2	81.6	0.0	8.2
	3RD	14	85.7	100.0	7.1	92.9	0.0	14.3
	TOTAL	180	93.9	98.9	59.4	34.4	0.0	3.9
TRINITY	1ST	49	93.9	95.9	93.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	2ND	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	14.3
	3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
	4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	TOTAL	59	91.5	96.6	78.0	6.8	1.7	5.1
TULARE	1ST	1261	95.5	48.9	91.0	2.5	0.1	1.1
	2ND	431	96.8	89.8	16.0	76.3	0.0	2.3
	3RD	127	90.6	92.9	3.9	81.1	0.0	5.5
	4TH+	61	63.9	70.5	0.0	44.3	0.0	9.8
	TOTAL	1880	94.4	61.9	64.9	26.1	0.1	2.0

TABLE 10a: DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 - continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		N	%	%	%	%	%	%
TUOLUMNE	1ST	170	98.8	10.6	60.6	1.8	0.0	0.0
	2ND	41	100.0	80.5	9.8	73.2	0.0	4.9
	3RD	17	94.1	88.2	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0
	4TH+	8	50.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	236	97.0	30.5	45.3	15.3	0.0	0.8
VENTURA	1ST	2048	97.9	97.2	93.4	2.1	0.1	3.6
	2ND	481	97.5	96.0	8.9	85.9	0.0	84.4
	3RD	115	90.4	95.7	0.9	80.0	0.0	86.1
	4TH+	41	58.5	97.6	0.0	48.8	0.0	53.7
	TOTAL	2685	96.9	96.9	72.8	21.2	0.1	22.3
YOLO	1ST	324	98.1	96.6	90.4	1.5	0.0	1.9
	2ND	102	99.0	99.0	7.8	84.3	0.0	36.3
	3RD	26	84.6	96.2	3.8	65.4	0.0	34.6
	4TH+	3	33.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	455	97.1	97.1	66.6	23.7	0.0	11.4
YUBA	1ST	179	99.4	57.5	90.5	2.8	0.0	0.0
	2ND	59	98.3	71.2	25.4	61.0	0.0	0.0
	3RD	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	10.0
	4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	249	99.2	62.7	71.1	20.9	0.0	0.4

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS[a]	
			N	%
STATEWIDE		93606	16604	17.7
ALAMEDA	1ST	1502	712	47.4
	2ND	501	151	30.1
	3RD	148	26	17.6
	4TH+	58	5	8.6
	TOTAL	2209	894	40.5
ALPINE	1ST	10	3	30.0
	2ND	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	12	3	25.0
AMADOR	1ST	97	0	0.0
	2ND	30	7	23.3
	3RD	2	0	0.0
	4TH+	5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	134	7	5.2
BUTTE	1ST	635	5	0.8
	2ND	196	38	19.4
	3RD	53	11	20.8
	4TH+	20	1	5.0
	TOTAL	904	55	6.1
CALAVERAS	1ST	133	0	0.0
	2ND	36	8	22.2
	3RD	8	1	12.5
	4TH+	1	1	100.0
	TOTAL	178	10	5.6
COLUSA	1ST	81	3	3.7
	2ND	11	5	45.5
	3RD	6	3	50.0
	4TH+	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	99	11	11.1
CONTRA COSTA	1ST	1207	8	0.7
	2ND	286	58	20.3
	3RD	109	18	16.5
	4TH+	47	1	2.1
	TOTAL	1649	85	5.2
DEL NORTE	1ST	87	1	1.1
	2ND	20	4	20.0
	3RD	1	0	0.0
	4TH+	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	109	5	4.6
EL DORADO	1ST	445	23	5.2
	2ND	141	37	26.2
	3RD	39	13	33.3
	4TH+	16	0	0.0
	TOTAL	641	73	11.4

[a]Entries represent numbers and percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2017 that installed an IID subsequent to their arrest date, which may be related to different IID requirements, including those administered by DMV (i.e., under AB 91 law), and may not be initiated by IID court sanctions (presented in Table 10a) or associated with DUI convictions resulting from arrests in 2017.

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
FRESNO	1ST	2101	23	1.1
	2ND	737	101	13.7
	3RD	232	40	17.2
	4TH+	113	8	7.1
	TOTAL	3183	172	5.4
GLENN	1ST	81	0	0.0
	2ND	34	5	14.7
	3RD	6	1	16.7
	4TH+	4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	125	6	4.8
HUMBOLDT	1ST	522	8	1.5
	2ND	145	38	26.2
	3RD	39	3	7.7
	4TH+	16	1	6.3
	TOTAL	722	50	6.9
IMPERIAL	1ST	340	1	0.3
	2ND	63	8	12.7
	3RD	14	3	21.4
	4TH+	3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	420	12	2.9
INYO	1ST	70	0	0.0
	2ND	30	5	16.7
	3RD	2	1	50.0
	4TH+	3	1	33.3
	TOTAL	105	7	6.7
KERN	1ST	1904	14	0.7
	2ND	609	77	12.6
	3RD	177	22	12.4
	4TH+	43	4	9.3
	TOTAL	2733	117	4.3
KINGS	1ST	317	6	1.9
	2ND	104	13	12.5
	3RD	33	4	12.1
	4TH+	8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	462	23	5.0
LAKE	1ST	218	2	0.9
	2ND	66	16	24.2
	3RD	19	5	26.3
	4TH+	7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	310	23	7.4
LASSEN	1ST	44	1	2.3
	2ND	11	1	9.1
	3RD	1	0	0.0
	4TH+	1	1	100.0
	TOTAL	57	3	5.3

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017
- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
LOS ANGELES	1ST	14001	7200	51.4
	2ND	3163	1173	37.1
	3RD	643	138	21.5
	4TH+	177	11	6.2
	TOTAL	17984	8522	47.4
MADERA	1ST	389	2	0.5
	2ND	143	14	9.8
	3RD	48	6	12.5
	4TH+	29	3	10.3
	TOTAL	609	25	4.1
MARIN	1ST	625	10	1.6
	2ND	182	37	20.3
	3RD	40	11	27.5
	4TH+	16	2	12.5
	TOTAL	863	60	7.0
MARIPOSA	1ST	58	2	3.4
	2ND	15	1	6.7
	3RD	5	1	20.0
	4TH+	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	80	4	5.0
MENDOCINO	1ST	315	5	1.6
	2ND	101	15	14.9
	3RD	22	5	22.7
	4TH+	13	1	7.7
	TOTAL	451	26	5.8
MERCED	1ST	644	7	1.1
	2ND	196	24	12.2
	3RD	45	7	15.6
	4TH+	14	3	21.4
	TOTAL	899	41	4.6
MODOC	1ST	22	0	0.0
	2ND	5	0	0.0
	3RD	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	28	0	0.0
MONO	1ST	71	2	2.8
	2ND	15	2	13.3
	3RD	3	1	33.3
	TOTAL	89	5	5.6
MONTEREY	1ST	1072	31	2.9
	2ND	311	90	28.9
	3RD	72	13	18.1
	4TH+	19	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1474	134	9.1

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017

- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
NAPA	1ST	426	19	4.5
	2ND	117	45	38.5
	3RD	34	13	38.2
	4TH+	9	1	11.1
	TOTAL	586	78	13.3
NEVADA	1ST	295	4	1.4
	2ND	97	29	29.9
	3RD	17	5	29.4
	4TH+	9	1	11.1
	TOTAL	418	39	9.3
ORANGE	1ST	6713	95	1.4
	2ND	1880	503	26.8
	3RD	416	99	23.8
	4TH+	91	6	6.6
	TOTAL	9100	703	7.7
PLACER	1ST	767	19	2.5
	2ND	238	93	39.1
	3RD	59	20	33.9
	4TH+	16	2	12.5
	TOTAL	1080	134	12.4
PLUMAS	1ST	61	2	3.3
	2ND	18	8	44.4
	3RD	3	1	33.3
	TOTAL	82	11	13.4
RIVERSIDE	1ST	4612	67	1.5
	2ND	1192	208	17.4
	3RD	286	50	17.5
	4TH+	89	9	10.1
	TOTAL	6179	334	5.4
SACRAMENTO	1ST	3137	1595	50.8
	2ND	911	299	32.8
	3RD	262	35	13.4
	4TH+	85	4	4.7
	TOTAL	4395	1933	44.0
SAN BENITO	1ST	155	2	1.3
	2ND	57	10	17.5
	3RD	14	3	21.4
	4TH+	8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	234	15	6.4
SAN BERNARDINO	1ST	3575	59	1.7
	2ND	1101	172	15.6
	3RD	271	47	17.3
	4TH+	103	4	3.9
	TOTAL	5050	282	5.6

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017
- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
SAN DIEGO	1ST	5895	96	1.6
	2ND	1528	311	20.4
	3RD	357	65	18.2
	4TH+	86	8	9.3
	TOTAL	7866	480	6.1
SAN FRANCISCO	1ST	316	3	0.9
	2ND	85	13	15.3
	3RD	13	1	7.7
	4TH+	5	1	20.0
	TOTAL	419	18	4.3
SAN JOAQUIN	1ST	1133	16	1.4
	2ND	394	97	24.6
	3RD	109	12	11.0
	4TH+	32	2	6.3
	TOTAL	1668	127	7.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST	1036	22	2.1
	2ND	326	75	23.0
	3RD	104	13	12.5
	4TH+	28	3	10.7
	TOTAL	1494	113	7.6
SAN MATEO	1ST	1182	21	1.8
	2ND	312	90	28.8
	3RD	93	20	21.5
	4TH+	22	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1609	131	8.1
SANTA BARBARA	1ST	1102	16	1.5
	2ND	287	60	20.9
	3RD	87	13	14.9
	4TH+	17	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1493	89	6.0
SANTA CLARA	1ST	2531	55	2.2
	2ND	703	159	22.6
	3RD	174	37	21.3
	4TH+	49	3	6.1
	TOTAL	3457	254	7.3
SANTA CRUZ	1ST	714	14	2.0
	2ND	223	53	23.8
	3RD	69	16	23.2
	4TH+	11	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1017	83	8.2
SHASTA	1ST	436	23	5.3
	2ND	122	32	26.2
	3RD	38	11	28.9
	4TH+	15	2	13.3
	TOTAL	611	68	11.1

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017
- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
SIERRA	1ST	7	0	0.0
	2ND	2	0	0.0
	4TH+	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	10	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	1ST	109	2	1.8
	2ND	33	10	30.3
	3RD	8	1	12.5
	4TH+	2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	152	13	8.6
SOLANO	1ST	671	5	0.7
	2ND	258	61	23.6
	3RD	75	16	21.3
	4TH+	34	3	8.8
	TOTAL	1038	85	8.2
SONOMA	1ST	1030	27	2.6
	2ND	369	142	38.5
	3RD	74	21	28.4
	4TH+	18	1	5.6
	TOTAL	1491	191	12.8
STANISLAUS	1ST	1126	18	1.6
	2ND	330	59	17.9
	3RD	118	13	11.0
	4TH+	35	3	8.6
	TOTAL	1609	93	5.8
SUTTER	1ST	191	3	1.6
	2ND	68	21	30.9
	3RD	14	4	28.6
	4TH+	2	1	50.0
	TOTAL	275	29	10.5
TEHAMA	1ST	117	3	2.6
	2ND	49	6	12.2
	3RD	14	5	35.7
	TOTAL	180	14	7.8
TRINITY	1ST	49	1	2.0
	2ND	7	0	0.0
	3RD	2	1	50.0
	4TH+	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	59	2	3.4
TULARE	1ST	1261	506	40.1
	2ND	431	101	23.4
	3RD	127	16	12.6
	4TH+	61	6	9.8
	TOTAL	1880	629	33.5

TABLE 10b: IGNITION INTERLOCK DEVICE (IID) INSTALLATIONS BY COUNTY AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017
- continued

COUNTY	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	DUI CONVICTIONS	IID INSTALLATIONS	
			N	%
TUOLUMNE	1ST	170	2	1.2
	2ND	41	10	24.4
	3RD	17	0	0.0
	4TH+	8	1	12.5
	TOTAL	236	13	5.5
VENTURA	1ST	2048	31	1.5
	2ND	481	148	30.8
	3RD	115	31	27.0
	4TH+	41	1	2.4
	TOTAL	2685	211	7.9
YOLO	1ST	324	0	0.0
	2ND	102	27	26.5
	3RD	26	10	38.5
	4TH+	3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	455	37	8.1
YUBA	1ST	179	1	0.6
	2ND	59	17	28.8
	3RD	10	4	40.0
	4TH+	1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	249	22	8.8

SECTION 4:

POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents reoffense and crash rates of driving under the influence (DUI) offenders over various time periods, as well as the methodology and results of evaluations assessing the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism and crash involvement for drivers convicted of alcohol-or drug-related reckless driving and for first DUI offenders.

The first part of this section examines descriptive indicators, such as DUI recidivism and crash rates, for different groups of DUI offenders within different periods of time: 1) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second DUI offenders arrested between 1990-2017, 2) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates for first and second drug-specific DUI (DUID) offenders arrested in 2015-2017, 3) 1-year DUI recidivism and crash rates by county, for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2017, 4) percentages of DUI program referrals, enrollments, and completions for first and second DUI offenders arrested in 2017, and 5) long-term recidivism rates of DUI offenders arrested in 2005.

The second part of this section contains the results of the analyses evaluating the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism and crashes for first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month or 9-month DUI programs.

The following are highlights of the findings:

- The 1-year recidivism rate for first DUI offenders arrested in 2017 was 4.1%, slightly higher than the recidivism rates for first DUI offenders in the previous 6 years. The 2017 first offender reoffense rate was 46.1% lower than the reoffense rate for first offenders arrested in 1990 (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).

- The 1-year recidivism rate for second DUI offenders arrested in 2017 was 5.3%, higher than 4.4% for those arrested in 2016. This rate is a 45.4% decrease from the 9.7% rate for those arrested in 1990 (see Figure 6 and Table 11a).

- The subsequent 1-year crash rate among first DUI offenders was 4.7% in 2017, which is not different from 4.6% in 2016. The 2017 first offender crash rate is 11.3% lower than the 1990 crash rate. The crash rates among second DUI offenders arrested in 2017 was 3.8%, relatively unchanged from 3.7% for those arrested in 2016. This rate is 5.0% lower than the rate for

offenders arrested in 1990. The crash rates of both first and second DUI offenders have increased each year since 2011 (see Figure 7 and Table 11a).

- First and second DUID offenders arrested in 2017 have lower 1-year subsequent DUI-incident rates than that of the overall first and second DUI offenders. However, the 1-year subsequent crash rates are considerably higher among the DUID offenders as compared to that of the overall DUI offenders among both first and second offenders (see Tables 11a and 11b).

- Over 13 years, DUI recidivism rates of DUI offenders originally convicted in 2005 are consistently lower than the rates of those convicted in 1994 (see Table 12). At the end of 13 years, 27% of the 2005 DUI offenders had at least one subsequent DUI conviction. Also, 29% of the 2005 DUI offenders incurred at least one subsequent DUI incident (see Figure 8a).

- Over 13 years, DUI recidivism rates increased as the number of prior offenses increased. The proportion of first offenders reoffending was 25%, while 30% of second offenders and 37% of third-or-more offenders reoffended (see Figure 8b).

- Males showed a much higher cumulative percentage (28%) of reoffenses than did females (21%) over the 13-year time period (see Figure 8c).

- Long term recidivism rates are inversely related to age, with higher reoffense rates associated with the youngest age group, and the lowest rates with the oldest group (see Figure 8d).

- After 5 years, the percentage of DUI offenders reoffending in the 2005 group was much lower (17%) compared to the percentages reoffending in the 1984 group (27%) and in the 1980 group (35%) and was slightly lower than the percentage reoffending in the 1994 group (18%). This is shown in Figure 8e.

- Of the DUI offenders arrested in 2017 who, by court referral, enrolled in a DUI program, 87.3% of first offenders and 47.5% of second offenders completed their program assignment (see Table 13). Due to the longer program length for repeat offenders some second offenders may have still been enrolled in the program at the time this report was completed.

- One-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders assigned to 9-month DUI programs were not significantly different than the crash rates of those assigned to 3-month programs (see Table 14). Also, the 1-year postconviction DUI incident rates were not significantly different between the two groups.

Subject Selection and Data Collection Convicted DUI and alcohol- or drug-related reckless offenders were identified from monthly abstract update files which contain all DUI conviction data reported to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) by the courts. Subjects were chosen based on their number of DUI and alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years prior to their DUI arrest in 2017. The following groups of subjects were selected: 1) first DUI and DUID offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions within the previous 10 years, 2) second DUI and DUID offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction within the previous 10 years, 3) first DUI offenders assigned to 3-month and 9-month DUI programs. In addition, DUI offenders arrested in 2005 and subsequently convicted were selected for the 13-year follow-up evaluation.

The crash and DUI recidivism rates of first and second DUI offenders, and the relationship between DUI programs and DUI recidivism for persons convicted of a first DUI offense, are evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record, as measured by: 1) total crashes and, 2) DUI incidents, which include alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, Administrative Per Se (APS) suspensions, and DUI Failure-to-Appear (FTA) violations. For the 2005 DUI offenders, DUI recidivism is measured by subsequent DUI convictions, along with one comparison of DUI incidents. For first and second DUI offenders, the 1-year subsequent unadjusted crash and DUI reoffense data from all the previous and current evaluations are included.

To maintain comparability to the previous subject-selection criteria, certain types of offenders had to be excluded. For the sanction analyses among first DUI offenders, previous and current analyses excluded offenders with convictions of a DUI with injury, and those with chemical-test refusal APS suspensions, because their license control penalties were different from those convicted of DUI with no injury. Drivers who did not have a full 1-year subsequent follow-up period (because of late conviction dates) were also excluded, as were drivers with "X" license numbers (meaning that no California driver license number could be found for that driver) and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. The only exclusions made for the 2005 offenders were out-of-state cases and drivers with "X" license numbers.

DUI RECIDIVISM AND CRASH RATES

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested from 1990-2017

The 1-year subsequent DUI-incident and crash reoffense rates for both first and second DUI offenders were compiled from previous and current DUI Management Information System (DUI-MIS) reports and plotted onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time.

Figure 6 shows the percentages of first and second offenders, arrested between 1990 and 2017, who reoffended within 1 year after their conviction.



Figure 6. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders reoffending with a DUI incident within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2017).

This figure and Table 11a show an overall gradual decline in the 1-year recidivism rates for first offenders from 1990 to 2017. The general decline translates into a 46.1% reduction in recidivism for all first offenders from 1990 to 2017. The decline in DUI reoffenses is steeper in the early years (1990-1994), following the implementation of the APS law. As is evident in Figure 6, the reoffense rates of first offenders continue to be lower than those of second offenders; this has been consistently evident throughout all previous analyses comparing first and second offenders.

TABLE 11a: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS, 1990-2017

	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
YEAR	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS
1990	7.6	9.7	5.3	4.0
1991	7.1	9.5	4.7	3.6
1992	6.2	9.1	4.1	3.5
1993	5.8	8.8	4.1	3.5
1994	5.4	7.0	4.5	3.1
1995	5.8	7.0	4.6	3.0
1996	5.1	6.1	4.5	2.4
1997	5.2	6.0	4.7	2.7
1998	5.3	6.0	4.8	2.6
1999	5.0	6.1	5.0	2.8
2000	4.9	6.1	5.1	3.1
2001	4.9	5.9	5.2	3.0
2002	4.8	6.1	5.1	3.3
2003	4.7	6.5	4.8	3.2
2004	4.5	5.9	4.8	3.1
2005	4.7	5.6	4.8	3.0
2006	4.5	5.5	4.6	2.7
2007	4.5	5.4	4.1	2.4
2008	4.7	5.7	3.7	2.3
2009	4.2	5.2	3.1	1.9
2010	4.1	5.2	2.8	1.8
2011	3.8	4.9	2.5	1.7
2012	3.8	4.8	2.9	2.2
2013	3.6	4.6	3.6	2.6
2014	3.7	4.7	4.0	3.2
2015	3.7	4.9	4.5	3.6
2016	3.8	4.4	4.6	3.7
2017	4.1	5.3	4.7	3.8
% DIFFERENCE 1990 TO 2017	-46.1%	-45.4%	-11.3%	-5.0%

TABLE 11b: ONE-YEAR UNADJUSTED PERCENTAGES OF SUBSEQUENT DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED AND CRASH-INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND DUID OFFENDERS, 2015-2017

	DUI-INCIDENT-INVOLVED		CRASH-INVOLVED	
YEAR	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS	FIRST OFFENDERS	SECOND OFFENDERS
2015	3.1	5.1	6.2	5.0
2016	3.4	4.0	6.4	5.4
2017	3.0	4.4	6.3	5.4
% DIFFERENCE 2015 TO 2017	-3.2%	-13.7%	1.6%	8.0%

A similar overall decline is evident in the 1-year reoffense rates for the second offender group, as displayed in Figure 6 and Table 11a, with the greatest rate of decline occurring during the years from 1993 to 1996. Table 11a also shows that, from 1990 to 2017, the reoffense rates decreased 45.4% among second offenders. This is similar to the 46.1% decrease among first DUI offenders across the same time period. The reoffense rates of second offenders remain higher than those of first offenders across all years. While many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents for both first and second offenders, previous DUI-MIS reports suggested that the reduction may largely be attributed to the implementation of major DUI laws enacted in the 1990s or later (e.g., SB 1623 and SB 1150; see Appendix A). Past research evaluations indicated that these DUI law changes were associated with the overall decline in DUI incidents among DUI offenders (DeYoung, 1995, 1997; DeYoung, Tashima & Masten, 2005; Helander, 2002; Peck, Wilson & Sutton, 1995; Rogers, 1995, 1997).

The 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders were also compiled from previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations and graphically displayed over time. Figure 7 shows the percentages of first and second offenders arrested between 1990 and 2017 who had crashes within 1 year after their conviction.



Figure 7. Percentages of first and second DUI offenders involved in a crash within 1 year after conviction (arrested between 1990 and 2017).

For the sixth consecutive year, crash rates for first and second offenders increased from the prior year. Among first offenders arrested between 1990 and 2017, Figure 7 and Table 11a show an

initial decline in crash rates for the earliest years, followed by a sustained increase after 1993, and then another decline from 2001 to 2011. However, the 1-year subsequent crash rates for both first and second offenders increased in 2012 and continued to increase through 2017. The 2017 first offender crash rate is 11.3% lower than the 1990 first offender crash rate, while the rates for second offenders for those same years show a 5.0% decline in crash involvement.

Overall, second offenders have lower 1-year subsequent crash rates than do first offenders (Figure 7 and Table 11a), which is not surprising considering that repeat offenders are subject to tougher sanctions (e.g., longer-term license suspensions) aimed at keeping these high risk drivers off the road. The fact that second offenders have lower 1-year subsequent crash rates than first offenders has been well documented in past evaluations (Arstein-Kerslake & Peck, 1985; Hagen, 1977; Hagen, McConnell & Williams, 1980; Peck, 1987, 1991; Sadler & Perrine, 1984; Tashima & Marelich, 1989; Tashima & Peck, 1986).

Starting with the last year, new information on 1-year subsequent DUI-incident and crash rates for first and second DUID offenders (shown in Table 11b) is added to this report. The 1-year reoffense rates among first DUID offenders arrested between 2015 and 2017 ranged from 3.0% to 3.4%. At the same time, the 1-year reoffense rates for second DUID offenders arrested in those years ranged from 4.0% to 5.1%. Second DUID offenders arrested in 2017 have 13.7% lower 1-year reoffense rates than those arrested in 2015.

The 1-year subsequent crash rates are considerably higher among both first and second DUID offenders arrested in 2015-2017 than that of the overall first and second DUI offenders. Furthermore, among first offenders arrested in 2015-2017, the 1-year subsequent crash rates varied between 6.2% and 6.4%. The 1-year subsequent crash rate for second DUID offenders arrested in 2017 is the same as in 2016, which is 8.0% higher than the rate of those arrested in 2015 (see Table 11b).

One-Year DUI Recidivism and Crash Rates by County for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2017

Table 11c displays the 1-year subsequent DUI recidivism rates of offenders arrested in 2017 by county. As shown in this table, among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year varied from 5.4% in San Bernardino to 2.9% in Los Angeles. Among the smaller counties, Alpine, Madera, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Cruz, Siskiyou, Sonoma, Stanislaus, Sutter, Tehama, and Tulare had DUI recidivism rates at or above 6.0%, while Del Norte, Lassen, Modoc, and Sierra had no first or second offenders recidivating within 1 year. Second offenders had generally higher DUI recidivism rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, San Bernardino had the highest rate, with 6.3% of second offenders having a subsequent DUI incident within 1 year, whereas second offenders in Orange County had the lowest rate at 3.4%. Among the smaller counties, the DUI recidivism rate for second offenders ranged from 22.2% (Colusa) to 0.0% (Alpine, Amador, Del Norte, Humboldt, Imperial, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, Plumas, Sierra, and Trinity).

One-year subsequent crash rates, by county, for both first and second offenders arrested in 2017 are displayed in Table 11d. Among the larger counties, the rate at which first offenders had a subsequent crash within 1 year varied from 5.9% in San Bernardino County to 4.1% in San Diego County. Among the smaller counties, Alpine, Del Norte, Glenn, Lassen, and Mono had a 0.0% crash rate. In contrast to DUI recidivism rates, second offenders have generally lower crash rates than first offenders. Among the larger counties, second offender 1-year subsequent crash rates varied from 6.4% (San Bernardino) to 2.5% (Orange). Among the smaller counties, the rates varied from 11.1% (Colusa) to 0.0% in 13 counties (Alpine, Amador, Del Norte, Imperial, Kings, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, Plumas, Sierra, Siskiyou, and Trinity).

TABLE 11c: 2017 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT DUI RECIDIVISM RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1ST OFFENDER		2ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	1986	4.1	666	5.3
ALAMEDA	42	4.6	21	7.7
ALPINE	2	28.6	0	0.0
AMADOR	4	5.2	0	0.0
BUTTE	16	3.1	8	5.6
CALAVERAS	0	0.0	3	10.7
COLUSA	4	5.3	2	22.2
CONTRA COSTA	23	3.5	9	6.5
DEL NORTE	0	0.0	0	0.0
EL DORADO	17	5.3	6	6.7
FRESNO	89	5.8	42	8.2
GLENN	0	0.0	1	5.3
HUMBOLDT	14	3.9	0	0.0
IMPERIAL	4	3.3	0	0.0
INYO	2	3.7	3	10.7
KERN	68	4.7	32	7.3
KINGS	6	3.9	2	4.7
LAKE	8	4.9	3	5.9
LASSEN	0	0.0	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	285	2.9	102	4.8
MADERA	8	7.6	5	17.2
MARIN	22	5.0	8	7.3
MARIPOSA	2	4.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	11	4.8	3	3.9
MERCED	22	5.6	8	7.1
MODOC	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	1	2.4	0	0.0
MONTEREY	29	4.3	12	6.6
NAPA	16	5.2	3	3.7
NEVADA	11	5.0	3	4.2
ORANGE	144	3.4	34	3.4
PLACER	27	4.5	8	4.6
PLUMAS	1	2.2	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	142	4.3	33	4.1
SACRAMENTO	105	4.4	36	5.2
SAN BENITO	8	7.7	2	5.1
SAN BERNARDINO	113	5.4	37	6.3
SAN DIEGO	167	3.6	53	4.3
SAN FRANCISCO	5	2.5	2	3.7
SAN JOAQUIN	59	6.2	14	4.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	39	4.7	17	6.4
SAN MATEO	29	3.7	6	3.1
SANTA BARBARA	34	4.4	10	5.7
SANTA CLARA	75	4.0	24	5.1
SANTA CRUZ	34	6.0	13	7.5
SHASTA	14	4.1	4	4.1
SIERRA	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	7	10.5	1	3.7
SOLANO	19	4.1	11	6.7
SONOMA	50	6.1	20	7.1
STANISLAUS	53	6.4	17	7.0
SUTTER	8	6.4	4	8.7
TEHAMA	8	8.5	2	6.1
TRINITY	1	2.9	0	0.0
TULARE	56	6.4	16	5.9
TUOLUMNE	7	5.5	2	6.3
VENTURA	54	3.7	17	5.3
YOLO	14	5.6	5	6.6
YUBA	7	4.8	2	4.0

TABLE 11d: 2017 1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT CRASH RATES BY COUNTY FOR FIRST AND SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

COUNTY	1ST OFFENDER		2ND OFFENDER	
	N	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	2247	4.7	485	3.8
ALAMEDA	44	4.8	10	3.7
ALPINE	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	4	5.2	0	0.0
BUTTE	22	4.3	2	1.4
CALAVERAS	5	4.3	1	3.6
COLUSA	4	5.3	1	11.1
CONTRA COSTA	28	4.3	8	5.8
DEL NORTE	0	0.0	0	0.0
EL DORADO	11	3.4	3	3.4
FRESNO	53	3.5	18	3.5
GLENN	0	0.0	1	5.3
HUMBOLDT	11	3.0	1	1.1
IMPERIAL	3	2.5	0	0.0
INYO	2	3.7	1	3.6
KERN	56	3.9	14	3.2
KINGS	3	2.0	0	0.0
LAKE	7	4.3	3	5.9
LASSEN	0	0.0	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	492	4.9	86	4.0
MADERA	3	2.8	1	3.5
MARIN	22	5.0	8	7.3
MARIPOSA	2	4.0	0	0.0
MENDOCINO	8	3.5	2	2.6
MERCED	13	3.3	4	3.6
MODOC	1	5.9	0	0.0
MONO	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	25	3.7	6	3.3
NAPA	12	3.9	3	3.7
NEVADA	13	5.9	1	1.4
ORANGE	216	5.2	25	2.5
PLACER	30	5.0	5	2.8
PLUMAS	1	2.2	0	0.0
RIVERSIDE	168	5.1	32	3.9
SACRAMENTO	122	5.1	33	4.8
SAN BENITO	4	3.9	2	5.1
SAN BERNARDINO	123	5.9	38	6.4
SAN DIEGO	189	4.1	44	3.6
SAN FRANCISCO	12	6.1	2	3.7
SAN JOAQUIN	65	6.9	19	6.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	28	3.4	9	3.4
SAN MATEO	28	3.6	10	5.2
SANTA BARBARA	32	4.1	4	2.3
SANTA CLARA	87	4.6	16	3.4
SANTA CRUZ	34	6.0	6	3.5
SHASTA	16	4.6	3	3.1
SIERRA	1	25.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	4	6.0	0	0.0
SOLANO	20	4.3	11	6.7
SONOMA	41	5.0	9	3.2
STANISLAUS	51	6.1	13	5.3
SUTTER	7	5.6	2	4.4
TEHAMA	3	3.2	2	6.1
TRINITY	2	5.9	0	0.0
TULARE	40	4.6	4	1.5
TUOLUMNE	9	7.1	2	6.3
VENTURA	53	3.7	13	4.1
YOLO	12	4.8	3	4.0
YUBA	5	3.4	4	8.0

Long Term Recidivism Rates of the 2005 DUI Offenders

Although earlier year's reports displayed long-term recidivism rates for the 1994 DUI offenders over a 20-year time span, it was decided, since the 2017 report, to present the recidivism rates for a more recent group of DUI offenders; the intention is to reflect on more contemporary trends in DUI occurrences, associated DUI law changes, or other more recent efforts to reduce DUI. Therefore, the recidivism rates for the convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2005, over the 13 years following their conviction that resulted from the 2005 arrest, are presented in this year's report. Since all convicted DUI offenders arrested in 2005 were included in the 2005 group, it was possible to observe and compare the long term recidivism rates for different sub-groups within the 2005 cohort, and to examine how these sub-groups differ in their long term recidivism rates. This approach was also taken in a previous study conducted by Peck (1991), in which the reoffense failure curves of various groups among 1980 and 1984 DUI offenders were compared. Failure curves are cumulative percentages over time of first reoffenses occurring after the initial DUI conviction. Both DUI convictions (alone) and DUI incidents over the 13-year follow-up period for both the 1994 and 2005 groups were included as outcome data in order to maintain comparability with the 1984 and 1980 cohorts from a previous evaluation (Peck, 1991).

Table 12 shows cumulative percentages of first subsequent DUI reoffenses (convictions) for the 2005 offenders, as well as 9-year cumulative percentages for the 1980, 13-year cumulative percentages for the 1994 and 2005 groups, and 5-year cumulative percentages for the 1984 group. For 20-year cumulative percentages for the 1994 cohort group, see Table 12 in the 2016 annual report.

TABLE 12: CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGES OF FIRST SUBSEQUENT DUI REOFFENSES FOR 2005 DUI OFFENDERS AND COHORT GROUPS

	PERCENTAGE												
YEAR	1ST	2ND	3RD	MALES	FEMALES	16-25	26-45	46-65	66+	1980	1984	1994	2005
1ST	4	5	6	5	3	5	4	4	2	11	7	5	4
2ND	8	9	11	9	6	9	8	7	4	19	15	9	8
3RD	11	13	16	13	9	14	12	10	6	25	20	13	12
4TH	14	16	20	16	12	17	15	12	7	30	24	16	15
5TH	16	19	24	18	14	21	17	14	8	35	27	18	17
6TH	18	21	27	21	15	23	19	16	9	38	NA	21	20
7TH	20	23	29	22	17	25	21	17	9	40	NA	22	21
8TH	21	25	31	24	18	27	22	18	10	42	NA	24	23
9TH	22	26	33	25	19	28	23	19	10	44	NA	25	24
10TH	23	27	34	26	20	29	24	19	10	NA	NA	26	25
11TH	23	28	35	27	20	30	25	20	10	NA	NA	27	25
12TH	24	29	36	27	21	31	26	20	10	NA	NA	28	26
13TH	25	30	37	28	21	32	26	21	10	NA	NA	29	27

In addition to Table 12, Figure 8a displays recidivism rates for 2005 offenders over 13 years.



Figure 8a. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction and DUI incident (alcohol crashes, DUI convictions, APS suspensions, and DUI FTAs) for 2005 DUI offenders.

Figure 8a shows that, at the end of 13 years, 27% of all 2005 offenders were convicted of at least one DUI reoffense. When considering a more expanded view of DUI reoffenses including all DUI incidents, the recidivism rate is slightly higher at 29%. As evident in previous years, these failure curves are steepest in the several years following the initial conviction, after which they start to flatten out, but are still rising slightly in the 7th through 13th years.

One way to take into account the degree of alcohol- and drug-use severity is to examine the recidivism rates by the number of prior DUIs within 10 years (statutorily defined time frame for counting priors) of the 2005 DUI violation. Figure 8b displays the cumulative proportions of reoffenses for first, second, and third-or-more DUI offenders.

From this graph and Table 12, it is evident that the recidivism failure curves are higher for DUI offenders with higher numbers of prior offenses. The failure rates for third-or-more offenders are consistently higher over the 13-year time period than the failure rates of second or first offenders. At the end of 13 years, for the 2005 group, 37% of third-or-more offenders have reoffended, compared to 30% of second offenders and 25% of first offenders.



Figure 8b. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by number of prior DUI convictions for the 2005 DUI offenders**.**

Because the majority of DUI offenders has always been male (79% in 2005), it is relevant to inspect the recidivism rates of the 2005 offenders by gender. As evident in Figure 8c and Table 12, the percentage of males that reoffend over 13 years is much higher than that of females. At the end of 13 years, 28% of males have reoffended as compared to 21% of females. The failure

curve for females is noticeably lower and increases at a slower pace throughout the 13 years than the curve for males.



Figure 8c. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by gender for the 2005 DUI offenders.

Since it is also well known that DUI violations are associated with certain age groups, the recidivism curves are assessed by age as well. Figure 8d displays the failure curves of four age groups, which are all steepest during the first few years following the 2005 conviction. It is also evident that reoffense rates are inversely related to age; the failure rates are highest for the youngest group and lowest for the oldest group. Over 13 years, the failure curves of the two youngest groups are much steeper than the curve of the oldest group.

Indeed, the failure curve of the 66+ group begins to flatten out at the sixth year, much sooner than the curves of the other groups. The mortality of the oldest group could be associated with their lower recidivism rate; also, this group may be driving less frequently than the other age groups. After 13 years, 32% and 26% of the two youngest groups reoffended respectively, while 21% of the middle age group (for whom mortality may also be a factor) and 10% of the oldest group recidivated.



Figure 8d. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI conviction by age group (age at conviction date) for the 2005 DUI offenders.

The final figure, Figure 8e, compares the 2005 recidivism curves with those of the 1980, 1984, and 1994 cohorts over a 5-year time period.



Figure 8e. Cumulative percent of first subsequent DUI reoffense of the 1980, 1984, 1994, and 2005 DUI offenders.

Like last year, the reoffense rates of the 2005 cohort over the 5-year time period are shown among the cumulative percentages of the 1980, 1984, and 1994 groups (Figure 8e and Table 12). Because these cohorts of DUI offenders span 25 years, it is possible to consider whether the enactment of major DUI laws over that time period has affected their relative recidivism rates.

Figure 8e reveals that at the end of 5 years, 35% of the 1980 offenders recidivated compared to 27% of the 1984 group, 18% of the 1994, and 17% of the 2005 groups. Quite dramatically, the proportion reoffending in the 1994 and 2005 groups dropped by half compared to those in the 1980 group (35%). Major pieces of DUI legislation were enacted in California over this time span of 25 years. The notably lower reoffense proportions of the 1984 group (27%) compared to the 1980 group (35%) can likely be attributed to the 1982 laws, AB 541 (Moorhead), which applied tougher sanctions for DUI offenders, and AB 7 (Hart) which established the initial 0.10% per se Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) illegal limit. The effectiveness of these laws was confirmed by a previous California study by Tashima and Peck (1986). Table 12, which compares the 1980 cohort with the 1994 and 2005 groups over 9 years, shows that 44% of the 1980 group recidivated versus 25% of the 1994 and 24% of the 2005 group. There was only a one percentage-point increase in recidivism each year for the 1994 and 2005 groups in years 8 through 10. In the 11th year, there was still a one percentage-point increase for the 1994 group but no change in recidivism for the 2005 group.

Based on Figure 8e, it is evident that the difference in the reoffending proportions of the 1984 group (27%) versus the 1994 group (18%) and the 2005 group (17%) is substantial. This reduction in reoffenses is likely due to the enactment of major DUI laws in 1990 or later, most notably SB 1623 (Lockyer) and SB 1150 (Lockyer), which established the APS license action and lowered the BAC legal limit from 0.10% to 0.08% (see Appendix A). Past evaluations documented that such changes in the DUI countermeasure system were associated with reductions in DUI recidivism among DUI offenders (DeYoung, 1995, 1997; DeYoung, Tashima & Masten, 2005; Helander, 2002; Peck, Wilson & Sutton, 1995; Rogers, 1995, 1997).

In summary, the 2005 offenders have long term reoffense rates that are higher among those with more DUI priors (within 10 years), among males, and among younger-aged drivers. These findings are not surprising and are consistent with previous studies. In comparing the reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2005 groups with those of the 1980 and 1984 offenders, it was found that the cumulative percentages of reoffenses were much lower among the more recent cohorts. The dramatically lower reoffense rates of the 1994 and 2005 groups could be attributed, in part, to the enactment of more stringent sanctions for DUI offenders in the past 25 years, including the APS suspension law of 1990.

Proportions of DUI Program Referrals, Enrollments, and Completions for First and Second DUI Offenders Arrested in 2017

Beginning 11 years ago, this report captures the numbers and proportions of convicted first and second offenders whose records indicate that they had enrolled in and completed a DUI program, upon referral received from the court. Inclusion of the figures on enrollments and completions was possible due to the addition of information to each person's driving record that contains data on DUI program enrollment and completion dates, court information relevant to the DUI conviction, and program length.

Table 13 shows the percentages of referrals to the various DUI programs for first and second offenders. It can be seen from this table that 89.8% of first offenders and 79.5% of second offenders were assigned to a DUI program. Among first offenders, 75.0% enrolled in a DUI program, which usually ranges from 3 to 9 months in length, depending upon the offender's BAC level at the time of arrest. Furthermore, 61.8% of second offenders were enrolled in an 18-month DUI program. Of those enrolled in DUI programs, 87.3% of first offenders and 47.5% of second offenders completed their program assignment (some second offenders may still have been enrolled in the program at the time this report was completed).

TABLE 13: COUNTS AND PROPORTIONS OF REPORTED DUI PROGRAM REFERRALS, ENROLLMENTS, AND COMPLETIONS FOR CONVICTED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017

	TOTAL	PROGRAM REFERRALS		PROGRAM ENROLLMENT		PROGRAM COMPLETION		
OFFENDERS	*N*	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%[a]	%[b]
1ST OFFENDERS	68,359	61,404[c]	89.8	51,280	75.0	44,745	65.5	87.3
2ND OFFENDERS	18,974	15,077[d]	79.5	11,730	61.8	5,575	29.4	47.5

[a]Percent of total number of DUI offenders. [b]Percent of program enrollees. [c]Referrals to first offender DUI program (3 to 9 months). [d]Referrals to 18-month DUI program.

DUI PROGRAM EVALUATION FOR ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS OFFENDERS AND FIRST DUI OFFENDERS

Methods

Subject Selection and Follow-up Data The basis for evaluating the effectiveness of DUI programs for offenders convicted of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving, or for first DUI offenders, was established by legislation. The evaluation for the offenders with alcohol- or drug-related reckless convictions was mandated by SB 1176 (Johnson); for these offenders, this legislation requires the courts to order enrollment in a DUI program as a condition of probation. An evaluation of the efficacy of the 3-month versus 6-month DUI program for first offenders was mandated by AB 1916 (Torlakson). The courts were required to refer first offenders whose BAC level is less than 0.20% to at least a 3-month program, and those with a BAC level of 0.20% or above, or who refuse to take a chemical test, to at least a 6-month program. Starting in 2005, AB 1353 (Liu) increased the duration of DUI intervention programs from 6 to 9 months for first DUI offenders on probation whose BAC levels are 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

In evaluating the relationship between the length of DUI programs and DUI recidivism, first offenders arrested in 2017 and convicted of DUI that showed the 3-month and 9-month designations on their conviction abstracts were identified and selected for the analysis. The records of 40.4% of first offenders who were ordered to a DUI intervention program either did not indicate the specific length of time of the program or indicated other lengths of time that were not 3 or 9 months. These individuals were excluded from the comparison. Cases further excluded from the analysis were: first DUI offenders convicted of DUI with injury, drivers with "X" license numbers, and drivers with out-of-state ZIP codes. Of the total sample selected, 72.7% were ordered to 3-month programs, while 27.3% were ordered to 9-month programs. To explore if the BAC level of first DUI offenders was associated with DUI recidivism, only DUI offenders whose record included BAC level information were included in the comparison.

The conviction date was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data for first DUI offenders, because the penalties and sanctions for the offense are typically effective as of that date. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures lasted at least 1 year from the conviction date, ranging from 12 to 29 months.

A buffer period of 4 months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the date of data extraction to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV for first DUI offenders. Offenders from either of these groups who had less than the full 1-year follow-up time period (from conviction date to the end of the evaluation period) were excluded from the evaluation.

There were two driver record outcome measures used in these evaluations. The first outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a crash, and the second outcome measure consisted of the percentage of offenders who were involved in a DUI incident (i.e., alcohol-involved crashes, DUI convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI FTAs). Only the first crash or the first DUI incident was evaluated, which is not an important limitation because the incidence of repeat failures (two-or-more crashes or DUI incidents) was very low during the evaluation period. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This confounding was avoided by excluding multiple incidents from the analyses.

Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled to the extent possible by using biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices, such as crash and traffic conviction averages for each driver's ZIP Code area (Appendix Table B5). While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations, the attempt to statistically control for group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a less-confounded comparison of the sanction groups. It is possible, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured or reflected in covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions received by offenders systematically vary through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socioeconomic status may be more likely to enroll in a program, and obtain a license restriction, and less likely to receive jail than are those of lower status).

Prior driver record data were extracted for the 3 years preceding the DUI conviction date. The prior driver record variables for these offenders are shown in Appendix Table B5. Since some of these driver record variables were significantly different between the two groups, they were used as covariates in the analyses to adjust for differences in the outcomes associated with group differences on these variables.

Following the extraction of covariates, simple correlations were computed between demographic variables, prior driving variables, and the outcome measures (first subsequent crash and first subsequent DUI incident). The demographic and 3-year prior driving variables that had statistically significant correlations with the outcome measures were identified and selected as potential covariates. For each logistic regression analysis, potential interactions between the covariates and treatment/comparison groups were tested. In analyses with significant interactions, the interaction terms are typically included in the final logistic regression models. There were no

significant interactions between the covariates and treatment in either outcome evaluations among the first offenders.

DUI Program Evaluation for Drivers Convicted of Alcohol- or Drug-Reckless Driving

The evaluation of the effectiveness of DUI program assignment on drivers convicted of alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving violations is not available in this report. The R&D Branch is examining potential issues related to the accuracy and completeness of reported conviction abstract information related to court orders to enroll in a DUI treatment program for this type of offender. Upon completion of the examination, the examination findings and any resulting implications will be used to determine how best to fulfill the legislative mandate to conduct this particular evaluation.

Nine-Month DUI Program Evaluation for Repeat Alcohol- or Drug-Related Reckless Drivers

An evaluation of a referral to a 9-month DUI program for offenders with an alcohol- or drug-related reckless conviction who have a prior conviction for alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving or DUI within 10 years, was mandated by AB 2802 (Houston). This legislation requires the courts to order these offenders to enroll in a DUI program for at least 9 months as a condition of probation. The records of persons arrested for DUI in 2017 and subsequently convicted of alcohol- or drug-reckless driving indicate that 1,051 of them have a prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless conviction. The court-reported conviction abstracts for these offenders show that 43% of them were ordered to DUI programs when they were granted probation. However, the records of only 29 offenders (2.8%) indicated a 9-month DUI program referral. Since this critical information indicating an assignment to the 9-month DUI program was missing on the records for 97.2% of the repeat alcohol- or drug-reckless offenders, it was not possible to evaluate this program referral for the current report.

Results of the Evaluation of 3-Month and 9-Month DUI Programs for First DUI Offenders

Total Crashes Figure 9 and Table 14 display the results of the evaluation of the relationship between DUI program length and DUI recidivism and crashes among first DUI offenders ordered to 3-month versus 9-month programs. The results show that the length of time of the DUI program is not significantly associated with 1-year subsequent crash rates of first DUI offenders. First DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program had a directionally lower crash rate than that of those ordered to the 3-month program (Table 14), but this difference (6.8%) was not large enough to be statistically significant. This year's findings are similar to prior years' results that generally did not show significant differences in 1-year subsequent crashes between the two groups.



Figure 9. Adjusted 1-year crash and DUI incident rates for first offender drivers (arrested in 2017) by length of DUI program.

DUI Incidents Similar to last year's results, Figure 9 and Table 14 indicate that first DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program do not have significantly different 1-year subsequent DUI incident rates than DUI offenders ordered to the 3-month program. The reoffense rate of those ordered to the 9-month program was only marginally higher than that of those ordered to the 3-month program (3.30 vs. 3.10). In evaluations prior to the last 9 years, results indicated that DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program had significantly more subsequent DUI incidents than offenders ordered to the 3-month program. That was not surprising given that first DUI offenders ordered to the 9-month program have higher BAC levels (0.20% and above) and would be more likely to recidivate than DUI offenders with lower BAC levels. Therefore, in those prior years, two further subanalyses were conducted to determine whether BAC level was associated with the outcomes of this evaluation. The results of these two subanalyses generally confirmed that first DUI offenders with higher BAC levels (0.20% and above) were more likely to recidivate than those with lower BAC levels. Also, when BAC level is held constant, there were no significant differences in the DUI incident rates between DUI offenders ordered to the 3-month DUI program and those ordered to the 9-month program.

TABLE 14: THE RELATIONSHIP OF 3-MONTH AND 9-MONTH DUI PROGRAMS WITH SUBSEQUENT CRASHES AND DUI INCIDENTS AMONG FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER CRASH-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) = (GRP 2 – GRP 1) / GRP 1 X 100	NUMBER DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN % RATES) = (GRP 2 – GRP 1) / GRP 1 X 100
2017 (FOLLOW-UP PERIOD = 1 YEAR)	3-MONTH PROGRAM (GRP 1)	19,534	3.67	-6.8%[a]	3.10	6.5%[a]
	9-MONTH PROGRAM (GRP 2)	7,335	3.42		3.30	

[a]These differences in % rates are not statistically significant.

Starting 9 years ago, BAC level information has been included in the initial analysis as a covariate so that its effects on the outcome measures (1-year subsequent crashes and DUI incidents) were removed before assessment of the relationship between ordered program length and DUI recidivism among first DUI offenders. When the effect of BAC level on DUI recidivism was removed, the results indicated that being ordered to the extended 9-month DUI program does not appear to be associated with fewer DUI incidents than being ordered to the 3-month program, which is comparable to the findings in prior years.

The effectiveness of increasing the duration of time for DUI intervention programs has also not been supported in the literature. DeYoung (1995) examined the effectiveness of lengthening SB 38 alcohol treatment programs from 12 to 18 months for second offenders and found no evidence that the additional 6 months reduced DUI recidivism.

Another limitation of these analyses should be noted. Since this study only included first offenders whose conviction abstracts had information on the length of DUI program, there may be additional unknown biases that this quasi-experimental design cannot rule out. However, the statistical control of group differences based on available covariates would be expected to remove at least part of the bias.

SECTION 5:

LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCATION ACTIONS

SECTION 5: LICENSE SUSPENSION/REVOCATION ACTIONS

Data on Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation [S/R]) based upon either driving under the influence (DUI) arrest or DUI conviction are presented in this section. These statutorily mandated actions are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement Administrative Per Se (APS) report (0.08% Blood Alcohol Concentration [BAC], zero tolerance, DUI probation violation, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a (later) mandatory postconviction suspension action.

This section includes the following tables:

Table 15: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 2008-2018. This table shows APS and postconviction license disqualification totals from 2008 through 2018.

Table 16: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measures data from 2016 to 2018.

The following statements are based on the data shown in the previously listed tables.

- The total number of DMV APS and DUI postconviction S/R actions in 2018 was relatively unchanged from the total number reported in 2017, following a declining trend over 8 consecutive years.

- In 2018, 117,535 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 73.5% were first-offender actions (including "zero tolerance" actions taken for drivers under age 21) and 26.5% were repeat-offender actions (see Table 15).

- The number of chemical test refusal actions (excluding those later set aside) increased by 12.2% in 2018, after increasing by 2.5% in 2017.

- Total APS actions (including actions later set aside) increased by 1.7% in 2018, following a 4.2% decrease in 2017 (see Table 16).

- APS actions were set aside for 10.4% of all APS actions initiated in 2018, relatively unchanged from the 2017 rate of 10.5%.

- Total postconviction S/R actions decreased by 1.8% in 2018, compared to 5.8% decrease in 2017 (see Table 15).

- The increase in APS actions in 2018 is consistent with the increase in DUI arrests for the same year.

TABLE 15: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 2008-2018

DUI license actions	Year										
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Total mandatory suspension/revocation (S/R) actions	392319	382111	351802	337700	313870	286981	264833	255357	239491	227595	227719
PRECONVICTION											
Administrative Per Se (APS) Actions	204332	198851	183743	177231	163522	150337	139405	130468	120339	115374	117535
.01 Zero tolerance suspensions	22180	20861	18684	17463	14835	11750	10213	9074	8184	7227	6561
.08 First-offender suspensions	132266	127933	117884	114858	106562	99475	93014	86933	80371	77689	79776
.08 Repeat-offender suspensions	46388	46747	44101	42127	39563	35646	32823	31093	28439	27032	27409
.08 Repeat-offender revocations	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426	3789
Commercial driver actions	4355[a]	3964[a]	3614[a]	3108[a]	2983[a]	2782[a]	2498	2322	2087	1988	1818
Chemical test refusal actions	9390	8737	8275	7520	7069	9214	9089	9257	9262	9489	10647
.01 Test refusal suspensions	433	372	354	279	280	300	286	293	269	248	223
.08 Test refusal suspensions	5459	5055	4847	4458	4227	5448	5448	5596	5648	6118	6998
.08 Test refusal revocations	3498	3310	3074	2783	2562	3466	3355	3368	3345	3426	3789
POSTCONVICTION[b]											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	917	482	538	351	312	311	253	246	234	249	159
First-offender suspensions	136480	132709	120254	113749	107035	93897	81845	82570	78933	74400	72833
Misdemeanor	133987	130462	118168	111760	105013	91809	79955	80492	76712	72116	70539
Felony	2493	2247	2086	1989	2022	2088	1890	2078	2221	2284	2294
Second-offender S/R actions	38266	37836	35565	34519	32156	32408	32935	31587	29948	28029	28051
Misdemeanor	37568	37155	34928	33878	31533	31771	32275	30896	29183	27271	27217
Felony	658	681	637	641	623	637	660	691	765	758	834
Third-offender revocations	9164	9187	8905	8918	8083	7665	8239	8160	7699	7358	7008
Misdemeanor	8933	8945	8707	8662	7852	7446	8019	7938	7453	7111	6732
Felony	231	242	198	256	231	219	220	222	246	247	276
Fourth-or-more-offender revocations	3200	3046	2797	2932	2762	2363	2156	2326	2338	2185	2133
Total postconviction S/R actions	187987	183260	168059	160469	150348	136644	125428	124889	119152	112221	110184

[a]Previous counts have been adjusted to include commercial driver APS actions not previously identified as such.

[b]These totals might include multiple license action activities associated with the same event.

TABLE 16: ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES

	2016	2017	2018
Total APS actions initiated (including actions later set aside):	134,595	128,904	131,112
Total .08[a] APS actions set aside	13,278	12,707	12,724
Total .01[b] suspensions set aside	978	823	853
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	120,339	115,374	117,535
Net total .08 APS actions	112,155	108,147	110,974
Net total .01 actions	8,184	7,227	6,561
Net APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:[c]			
Net total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	118,252	113,386	115,717
Net total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	2,087	1,988	1,818
Net total actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	102	90	85
Net APS .08 actions for drivers with no priors[d]	80,371	77,689	79,776
4-month license suspensions	56,597	54,839	56,785
Non-CDL 30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE[e] restrictions	16,798	15,734	14,979
First-offender chemical test refusals	5,648	5,815	6,635
CDL first offender suspensions	1,065	1,031	1,095
Net APS .08 actions taken for drivers with priors	31,784	30,458	31,198
Suspensions	28,439	27,032	27,409
Revocations	3,345	3,426	3,789
APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions initiated (including actions later set aside)	9,653	9,917	11,141
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	373	414	479
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	18	14	15
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	9,262	9,489	10,647
Net total .08 refusal actions	8,993	9,241	10,424
Net total .01 refusal actions	269	248	223
Chemical test refusal rate (including actions later set aside)	7.2%	7.7%	8.5%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no priors[f]	5,648	6,118	6,998
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with priors	3,345	3,426	3,789
APS Hearings:[g]			
Total .08 and .01 in person or telephone APS hearings scheduled	48,614	43,281	44,213
Percentage of total APS actions resulting in a scheduled hearing[h]	36.1%	33.6%	33.7%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	45,943	41,188	42,349
.08 actions set aside following hearings	3,985	3,796	3,701
Percentage of .08 APS actions set aside following hearings	8.7%	9.2%	8.7%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	2,533	2,025	1,775
.01 actions set aside following hearings	205	158	166
Percentage of .01 APS actions set aside following hearings	8.1%	7.8%	9.4%
APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings:			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	4,147	3,746	4,252
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	4,058	3,658	4,179
.08 APS refusal actions set aside following hearings	356	342	426

[a].08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[b].01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs .01% or greater, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

[c]All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result.

[d]Priors for these APS actions are defined in CVC 13353.3.

[e]This restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course of employment (COE, enacted 1/1/95), and to and from DUI program.

[f]Defined in CVC 13353.

[g]These figures include refusal hearings but exclude Driver Safety investigation hearings, subsequent APS dismissal hearings, and departmental reviews.

[h]Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

SECTION 6:

DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS

SECTION 6: DRIVERS IN CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL AND DRUGS

This section presents data on drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved crashes, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol (CHP). Only crashes involving injury or fatality are included, due to incomplete reporting of property-damage-only (PDO) crashes.[1] Beginning with the 2013 DUI Management Information System (DUI-MIS) Report, in addition to information about drivers under the influence of alcohol, this section contains information about drivers under the influence of drugs and about drivers under the influence of both alcohol and drugs. This section includes the following tables and figures:

Table 17: DUI Arrests Associated with Reported Crashes, 2007-2017. This table shows the number and percentage of driving under the influence (DUI) arrests associated with reported crashes from 2007-2017.

Table 18: 2017 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Race/Ethnicity and Impairment Type. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of impairment type and race/ethnicity for 2017 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes.

Table 19: 2017 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Adjudication Status and Impairment Type. This table cross tabulates crash-involved drivers' impairment type (from law enforcement crash reports) with the court disposition for DUI convictions associated with those crash involvements.

Table 20: 2017 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by County and Impairment Type. This table shows the number of alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes, by county and impairment type.

Table 21: Alcohol-Involved Drivers Under Age 21 in Fatal/Injury Crashes, 2007-2017. This table shows the total number of alcohol-involved drivers under age 21 in fatal/injury crashes in California. It also shows their percentage of the total count of alcohol-involved drivers in the state over the same time period.

[1] Among 2017 DUI arrestees, 25,999 (21.0%) were involved in a reported traffic crash; 10,225 of the crashes included an injury or fatality, and 15,774 involved property damage only.

Table 22a: 2017 Alcohol-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Gender. This table shows the total number of 2017 alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by age and gender.

Table 22b: 2017 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Age and Gender (Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). This table shows the number of 2017 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by age and gender who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash.

Tables 23a-23b: 2017 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Impairment Type and Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2017 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by impairment type and prior DUI conviction status, both total (23a) and for drivers who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash (23b).

Tables 24a-24b: 2017 Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Neither Suspended Upon Arrest nor Convicted). These two tables show the number of 2017 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes by number of prior DUI convictions, both total (24a) and for drivers who were not suspended upon arrest or convicted in conjunction with the crash (24b).

Table 25: 2017 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Drivers in Fatal/Injury Crashes. This table shows the mean, median, and frequency distribution of BAC levels for alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes in 2017.

Figure 10: Percentages of Crash Injuries and Fatalities that were Alcohol-Involved, 2008-2018. Figure 10 (opposite page) shows the annual percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 2008 to 2018. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI Summary Statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.

Figure 11: Alcohol- and Drug-Involved Crash Fatalities, 1995-2018. Figure 11 (opposite page) shows numbers of alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities from 1995 to 2018. It also shows a breakdown of the number of fatalities when only alcohol was known to be involved, when only drugs were involved, or when both alcohol and drugs were involved in the fatality.



Figure 10. Percentages of crash injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 2008-2018.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The percentage of alcohol-involved crash fatalities decreased from 33.1% in 2017 to 32.1% in 2018 (see Figure 10).

- The percentage of alcohol-involved crash injuries remained relatively unchanged from 9.7% in 2017 to 10.0% in 2018 (see Figure 10 and DUI Summary Statistics).

- The total number of alcohol- and/or drug-involved crash fatalities decreased by 6.9% in 2018, following an increase of 8.5% in 2017. The greatest proportion of these crash fatalities remains alcohol-related (see Figure 11).

- While the number of alcohol-involved fatalities declined by about 9% over the past 23 years, the number of drug-involved fatalities increased by about 179% over the same time period. Some of the increase in the number of fatalities reported as drug-involved over this time period may be associated with an increase in training and ability of California law enforcement to detect and report drug involvement in fatal crashes in recent years (see Figure 11).

- Of all 2017 DUI arrests, 21.0% were associated with a reported traffic crash, the same as in 2016. 8.3% of DUI arrests were associated with crashes involving injuries or fatalities, relatively unchanged from 8.2% in 2016 (see Table 17).



Figure 11. Alcohol- and drug-involved crash fatalities, 1995-2018

- The percentage of alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes under the age of 21 decreased from 12.1% in 2007 to 7.0% in 2017 (see Table 21).

- Among 2017 drivers in fatal/injury crashes with reported involvement of alcohol and/or drugs, alcohol involvement was determined and reported by law enforcement for 89.5% of these drivers, drug only involvement was reported for 8.2% of them, while involvement of both alcohol and drugs was reported for 2.3% of these drivers (see Table 18).

- Among alcohol- and drug-involved drivers, 43.8% do not have a record of any conviction in connection with their involvement in a fatal/injury crash. In 40.8% (3,385/8,279) of these non-convicted cases, the crash report indicated that the drivers' ability was impaired by alcohol (see Table 19).

- Among 2017 alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes in the larger counties, the percentage of drivers with drug-related impairment varied from 6.0% in Santa Clara to 16.9% in Riverside (see Table 20). Among the smaller counties with more than 10 of such drivers, the reported percentage of drivers with drug-involved impairment was around 15% or above: Mendocino (22.1%), Ventura (17.9%), Imperial (17.7%), Humboldt (16.4%), Calaveras (15.8%), and Butte (15.4%).

- The majority of drug-involved as well as drug- and alcohol-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes are not convicted for DUI associated with the crash and do not have either prior DUI or alcohol- and drug-related reckless driving convictions within 10 years indicated on their records (see Tables 19 and 23a).

- Over three-fourths (77.6%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved fatal crashes had no prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction (see Table 24a). In contrast, the majority (59.9%) of drivers in alcohol- and drug-involved injury crashes had at least one prior DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction.

- The median BAC level of alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes was 0.16% in 2017 (see Table 25), the same as in 2016.

TABLE 17: DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH REPORTED CRASHES, 2007-2017[a]

ARRESTS/ CRASHES	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
TOTAL DUI ARRESTS	203866	214811	208531	195879	180212	172893	160388	154743	141372	130054	123548
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH CRASHES	15.3%	14.2%	13.4%	12.6%	13.0%	13.8%	14.4%	15.3%	17.4%	21.0%	21.0%
DUI ARRESTS ASSOCIATED WITH FATAL/INJURY CRASHES	6.1%	5.5%	5.2%	4.8%	5.0%	5.4%	5.6%	6.0%	6.9%	8.2%	8.3%

[a]These data include DUI arrest cases where the driver license was found in the DMV database and whose DUI arrest date matched the crash involvement date found on their driver record.

TABLE 18: 2017 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE[a]

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY									
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		UNKNOWN	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL		20591	100.0	7282	35.4	8760	42.5	1920	9.3	1531	7.4	1098	5.3
IMPAIRMENT TYPE	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	13692	66.5	4826	35.2	6462	47.2	1178	8.6	975	7.1	251	1.8
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	1732	8.4	371	21.4	470	27.1	149	8.6	71	4.1	671	38.7
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	3003	14.6	1052	35.0	1152	38.4	353	11.8	328	10.9	118	3.9
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	482[b]	2.3	199	41.3	186	38.6	58	12.0	30	6.2	9	1.9
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1682	8.2	834	49.6	490	29.1	182	10.8	127	7.6	49	2.9

[a] For each impairment level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2017 California Highway Patrol data files.
[b] 91.3% (440) of the drivers who were alcohol- and drug-involved were alcohol impaired (BAC .08% and above).

TABLE 19: 2017 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE[a]

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION											
				MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		YOUTH DUI		OTHER CONVICTION		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTIONS	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL		18915	100.0	7695	40.7	2364	12.5	572	3.0	0	0.0	5	0.0	8279	43.8
IMPAIRMENT TYPE	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	13110	69.0	7111	54.2	2124	16.2	489	3.7	0	0.0	1	0.0	3385	25.8
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	864	5.0	55	6.4	13	1.5	4	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	792	91.7
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2847	15.0	18	0.6	2	0.1	2	0.1	0	0.0	3	0.1	2822	99.1
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	470[b]	2.0	113	24.0	57	12.1	16	3.4	0	0.0	1	0.2	283	60.2
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1624	9.0	398	24.5	168	10.3	61	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	997	61.4

[a] For each impairment level, percentages are based on row totals. These data are derived from the 2017 California Highway Patrol data files, and include only cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.
[b] 91.3% (429) of the drivers who were alcohol- and drug-involved were alcohol impaired (BAC .08% and above).

TABLE 20: 2017 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY COUNTY AND IMPAIRMENT TYPE[a]

		IMPAIRMENT TYPE					
		ALCOHOL INVOLVED		DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED		DRUG-INVOLVED	
COUNTY	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE	18915	16821	88.9	470	2.5	1624	8.6
ALAMEDA	658	603	91.6	18	2.7	37	5.6
ALPINE	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
AMADOR	43	39	90.7	1	2.3	3	7.0
BUTTE	136	115	84.6	4	2.9	17	12.5
CALAVERAS	57	48	84.2	4	7.0	5	8.8
COLUSA	15	13	86.7	1	6.7	1	6.7
CONTRA COSTA	371	338	91.1	4	1.1	29	7.8
DEL NORTE	16	15	93.8	0	0.0	1	6.3
EL DORADO	144	124	86.1	3	2.1	17	11.8
FRESNO	338	302	89.3	6	1.8	30	8.9
GLENN	27	23	85.2	0	0.0	4	14.8
HUMBOLDT	152	127	83.6	4	2.6	21	13.8
IMPERIAL	51	42	82.4	1	2.0	8	15.7
INYO	24	22	91.7	0	0.0	2	8.3
KERN	451	402	89.1	6	1.3	43	9.5
KINGS	73	65	89.0	4	5.5	4	5.5
LAKE	71	61	85.9	4	5.6	6	8.5
LASSEN	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	4638	4244	91.5	72	1.6	322	6.9
MADERA	105	90	85.7	2	1.9	13	12.4
MARIN	121	110	90.9	2	1.7	9	7.4
MARIPOSA	24	23	95.8	0	0.0	1	4.2
MENDOCINO	77	60	77.9	4	5.2	13	16.9
MERCED	197	178	90.4	1	0.5	18	9.1
MODOC	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONO	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
MONTEREY	230	213	92.6	6	2.6	11	4.8
NAPA	105	99	94.3	1	1.0	5	4.8
NEVADA	92	85	92.4	0	0.0	7	7.6
ORANGE	1358	1155	85.1	31	2.3	172	12.7
PLACER	133	119	89.5	3	2.3	11	8.3
PLUMAS	21	20	95.2	0	0.0	1	4.8
RIVERSIDE	1166	969	83.1	50	4.3	147	12.6
SACRAMENTO	838	739	88.2	28	3.3	71	8.5
SAN BENITO	88	84	95.5	0	0.0	4	4.5
SAN BERNARDINO	1251	1088	87.0	54	4.3	109	8.7
SAN DIEGO	1601	1419	88.6	44	2.7	138	8.6
SAN FRANCISCO	318	299	94.0	6	1.9	13	4.1
SAN JOAQUIN	429	381	88.8	13	3.0	35	8.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	170	149	87.6	7	4.1	14	8.2
SAN MATEO	253	234	92.5	4	1.6	15	5.9
SANTA BARBARA	244	214	87.7	7	2.9	23	9.4
SANTA CLARA	566	532	94.0	9	1.6	25	4.4
SANTA CRUZ	188	174	92.6	3	1.6	11	5.9
SHASTA	126	115	91.3	3	2.4	8	6.3
SIERRA	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SISKIYOU	41	37	90.2	1	2.4	3	7.3
SOLANO	257	219	85.2	8	3.1	30	11.7
SONOMA	287	244	85.0	11	3.8	32	11.1
STANISLAUS	381	338	88.7	6	1.6	37	9.7
SUTTER	108	98	90.7	2	1.9	8	7.4
TEHAMA	49	43	87.8	1	2.0	5	10.2
TRINITY	20	20	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TULARE	233	201	86.3	9	3.9	23	9.9
TUOLUMNE	64	58	90.6	1	1.6	5	7.8
VENTURA	382	314	82.2	17	4.5	51	13.4
YOLO	107	99	92.5	3	2.8	5	4.7
YUBA	1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0

[a]These data are derived from the 2017 California Highway Patrol data files and include only cases where the driver record was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 21: ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS UNDER AGE 21 IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES, 2007-2017[a]

AGE		2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
TOTAL ALL AGES)	*N*	21045	19604	17874	16501	16231	16325	15892	16633	17633	19133	18934
UNDER 18	*N*	369	316	239	233	190	199	174	150	147	164	167
	%	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.4	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9
18-20	*N*	2171	1901	1831	1641	1569	1379	1201	1214	1204	1271	1155
	%	10.3	9.7	10.2	9.9	9.7	8.4	8.4	7.3	6.8	6.6	6.1
UNDER 21	*N*	2540	2217	2070	1874	1759	1578	1375	1364	1351	1435	1322
	%	12.1	11.3	11.6	11.4	10.8	9.7	8.7	8.2	7.7	7.5	7.0

[a]These data are derived from the 2017 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 22a: 2017 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER[a]

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	18934	100.0	14058	74.2	4876	25.8
UNDER 18	167	0.9	124	74.3	43	25.7
18-20	1155	6.1	810	70.1	345	29.9
21-30	7845	41.4	5697	72.6	2148	27.4
31-40	3834	20.2	2882	75.2	952	24.8
41-50	2231	11.8	1625	72.8	606	27.2
51-59	1592	8.4	1192	74.9	400	25.1
60-69	896	4.7	681	76.0	215	24.0
70 & ABOVE	316	1.7	220	69.6	96	30.4
AGE UNKNOWN	898	4.7	827	92.1	71	7.9

[a]These data are derived from the 2017 California Highway Patrol's Annual Report of Fatal and Injury Motor Vehicle Traffic Collisions.

TABLE 22b: 2017 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY AGE AND GENDER (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)[a]

	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
AGE	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	5496	100.0	4031	73.3	1465	26.7
UNDER 18	49	0.9	42	85.7	7	14.3
18-20	293	5.3	203	69.3	90	30.7
21-30	2088	38.0	1543	73.9	545	26.1
31-40	1160	21.1	860	74.1	300	25.9
41-50	750	13.6	544	72.5	206	27.5
51-59	566	10.3	419	74.0	147	26.0
60-69	387	7.0	284	73.4	103	26.6
70 & ABOVE	203	3.7	136	67.0	67	33.0

[a]These data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 23a: 2017 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT TYPE AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS[a]

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
						ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL		18915	100.0	8057	42.6	8250	43.6	2043	10.8	435	2.3	130	0.7
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	13110	69.3	3523	26.9	7243	55.2	1838	14.0	389	3.0	117	0.9
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	864	4.6	685	79.3	138	16.0	30	3.5	10	1.2	1	0.1
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2847	15.1	2635	92.6	182	6.4	24	0.8	6	0.2	0	0.0
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	470	2.5	243	51.7	171	36.4	42	8.9	10	2.1	4	0.9
	DRUG-INVOLVED	1624	8.6	971	59.8	516	31.8	109	6.7	20	1.2	8	0.5

[a]These data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only those cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

TABLE 23b: 2017 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY IMPAIRMENT TYPE AND PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)[a]

ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS		TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
						ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL		5496	100.0	4899	89.1	472	8.6	95	1.7	24	0.4	6	0.1
IMPAIRMENT LEVEL	ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	1048	19.1	856	81.7	139	13.3	40	3.8	10	1.0	3	0.3
	NOT KNOWN IF ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .05%-.079%)	757	13.8	651	86.0	83	11.0	16	2.1	6	0.8	1	0.1
	NOT ALCOHOL IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	2778	50.5	2588	93.2	165	5.9	20	0.7	5	0.2	0	0.0
	DRUG- AND ALCOHOL-INVOLVED (ALL LEVELS)	199	3.6	156	78.4	34	17.1	6	3.0	2	1.0	1	0.5
	DRUG-INVOLVED	714	13.0	648	90.8	51	7.1	13	1.8	1	0.1	1	0.1

[a]These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

TABLE 24a: 2017 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS[a]

DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	18915	100.0	8057	42.6	8250	43.6	2043	10.8	435	2.3	130	0.7
WITH FATALITIES	1274	6.7	988	77.6	221	17.3	48	3.8	14	1.1	3	0.2
WITH INJURIES	17641	93.3	7069	40.1	8029	45.5	1995	11.3	421	2.4	127	0.7

[a]These data are derived from California Highway Patrol data files and include only those cases where the driver license was found in the DMV Master file.

[b]The records of 61.8% (787) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 24b: 2017 ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NEITHER SUSPENDED UPON ARREST NOR CONVICTED)[a]

DRIVERS INVOLVED IN CRASHES	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS		PRIORS IN TEN YEARS							
					ONE PRIOR		TWO PRIORS		THREE PRIORS		FOUR + PRIORS	
	N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TOTAL	5496	100.0	4899	89.1	472	8.6	95	1.7	24	0.4	6	0.1
WITH FATALITIES	1001	18.2	852	85.1	112	11.2	28	2.8	8	0.8	1	0.1
WITH INJURIES	4495	81.8	4047	90.0	360	8.0	67	1.5	16	0.4	5	0.1

[a]These figures are a subset of the counts in the table above.

[b]The records of 77.7% (778) of these cases indicated they were deceased.

TABLE 25: 2017 REPORTED[a] BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF ALCOHOL- AND DRUG- INVOLVED DRIVERS IN FATAL/INJURY CRASHES

BAC LEVEL (%)	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.00	418	3.8
.01	39	0.4
.02	37	0.3
.03	59	0.5
.04	89	0.8
.05	104	0.9
.06	161	1.5
.07	188	1.7
.08	329	3.0
.09	373	3.4
.10	446	4.0
.11	460	4.1
.12	555	5.0
.13	594	5.4
.14	695	6.3
.15	714	6.4
.16	694	6.3
.17	652	5.9
.18	676	6.1
.19	576	5.2
.20	548	4.9
.21	510	4.6
.22	402	3.6
.23	318	2.9
.24	295	2.7
.25	240	2.2
.26	189	1.7
.27	148	1.3
.28	130	1.2
.29	100	0.9
.30	76	0.7
.31	71	0.6
.32	55	0.5
.33	41	0.4
.34	24	0.2
.35	23	0.2
.36	18	0.2
.37	18	0.2
.38	6	0.1
.39	15	0.1
.40	9	0.1
.41	5	0.1
.42	2	0.0
.46	2	0.0
.47	1	0.0
TOTAL	11105	100.0

MEAN[b] BAC .17
MEDIAN[b] BAC .16

[a]The BAC data are obtained from the DMV driver record database for initiated APS license actions associated with alcohol- and drug-involved drivers in fatal/injury crashes (57.0% of the records showed BAC levels).

[b]The calculation of the mean and median BAC level does not include zero BAC levels which may relate to drug-involved drivers.

REFERENCES

Arstein-Kerslake, G. W., & Peck, R. C. (1985). A typological analysis of California DUI offenders and DUI recidivism correlates (Report No. 100). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Automobile Club of Southern California, California Highway Patrol, California State Automobile Association, & Department of Motor Vehicles. (2016). *Digest of Traffic Legislation.* Sacramento: Authors.

California Highway Patrol. (2020). 2017 *annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Retrieved August 6, 2020, from https://www.chp.ca.gov/programs-services/services-information/switrs-internet-statewide-integrated-traffic-records-system/switrs-2017-report

DeYoung, D. J. (1995). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of California drinking driver programs* (Report No. 146). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

DeYoung, D. J., Masten, S. V., & Tashima, H. N. (2005). *An evaluation of the effectiveness of ignition interlock in California* (Report No. 217). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Guenzburger, G. V., & Atkinson, D. B. (2012). *Differences between jail sentences and jail terms actually served among DUI offenders in selected Californian counties* (Report No. 239). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Hagen, R. E. (1977). *Effectiveness of license suspension for drivers convicted of multiple driving-under-the-influence offenses* (Report No. 59). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Hagen, R. E., McConnell, E. J., & Williams, R. L. (1980). *Suspension and revocation effects on the DUI offender* (Report No. 75). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system* (Report No. 121). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Helander, C. J. (2002). *DUI countermeasures in California: What works and what doesn't with recommendations for Legislative reform* (Report No. 197). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2009). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 228). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2010). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 231). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2011). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 233). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2012). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 236). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2013). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 243). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S. (2014). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 246). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., Tashima, H. N., & Grippe, R. (2015). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 250). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2018). 2*017 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 257). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2020). 2*018 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 259). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Oulad Daoud, S., & Tashima, H. N. (2020). 2*019 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 260). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Peck, R. C. (1987). *An overview of study findings and policy implications: An Evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 112). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Peck, R. C. (1991). The general and specific deterrent effects of DUI sanctions: A review of California's experience. *Alcohol, Drugs and Driving, 7*(1), 13-42.

Peck, R. C., Wilson, J., & Sutton, L. (1995). *Driver license strategies for controlling the persistent DUI offender* (Unpublished report). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Rogers, P. N. (1995). *The general deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws Volume 1* (Report No. 158). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Sadler, D. D., & Perrine, M. W. (1984). *The long-term traffic safety impact of a pilot alcohol abuse treatment as an alternative to license suspensions: Volume 2 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 90). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1992). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 134). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1999). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 179). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2000). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 185). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2001). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 188). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2002). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 191). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2003). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 198). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2004). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 206). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (2005). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 211). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Marelich, W. D. (1989). *A comparison of the relative effectiveness of alternative sanctions for DUI offenders: Volume I of development of a DUI accident and recidivism tracking system* (Report No. 122). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2006). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 220). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2007). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 222). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2008). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 224). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Oulad Daoud, S. (2018). *2016 Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 256). Sacramento CA: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Peck, R. C. (1986). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effects of alternative sanctions for first and repeat DUI offenders: Vol. 3 of an evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system* (Report No. 95). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

HISTORY OF MAJOR DUI LAWS IN CALIFORNIA SINCE 1975

AB 2717 (Lackey), effective 1/1/2019, clarifies that enhanced penalties for refusing to submit to driving under the influence (DUI) chemical test apply only to a person who refuses to submit to or complete the breath or urine test. In addition, this bill requires a peace officer to advise a person that their failure to submit to a chemical test would result in the administrative license suspension or revocation of the driving privilege. This bill also changes the conditions under which a peace officer can request a blood test if the officer suspects that the person was driving under the influence.

SB 611 (Hill), effective 1/1/2018, makes clarifying and conforming changes to specific provisions of SB 1046 (Hill) in regards to ignition interlock device (IID) programs and driver license restriction requirements for persons convicted of first and repeat alcohol- and/or drug-related DUI offenses during the period between January 1, 2019 and January 1, 2026.

SB 2687 (Achadjian), effective 7/1/2018, amends Sections 23152 and 23153 of the Vehicle Code, to make it unlawful for a person with a BAC of 0.04% or more, to drive a vehicle when a "passenger-for-hire" is in the vehicle at the time of the offense. This bill also makes it unlawful for a person with a BAC of 0.04% or more, to drive a motor vehicle and at the same time do any act that causes bodily injury to another person other than the driver.

SB 1046 (Hill), effective 1/1/2017, extends the existing ignition interlock pilot program in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare Counties, authorized by AB 91, until January 1, 2019. In addition, effective January 1, 2019 until January 1, 2026, this bill allows persons convicted of a first alcohol-related DUI offense and requires persons convicted of a first alcohol-related DUI offense with injury and persons convicted of a repeat alcohol-related DUI offense to install an ignition interlock device for a specific period of time (relative to specific DUI offense and number of prior DUI violations). These persons were able to apply for a restricted driver license without serving any period of license suspension or revocation. This bill would require ignition interlock device manufacturers to adopt a fee schedule that provides for the payment of the cost of the ignition interlock device in amounts proportionate to the offenders' income relative to the federal poverty level. The bill requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to report data to the California State Transportation Agency regarding the implementation and efficacy of the ignition interlock program enacted by provisions of this law by March 1, 2024.

SB 61 (Hill), effective 1/1/2016, extends the existing ignition interlock pilot program in Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare Counties authorized by AB 91 law until July 1, 2017. This pilot program mandates the use of an ignition interlock device for all persons convicted of CVC Sections 23152 and 23153 in the four pilot counties during the pilot program implementation period.

AB 2552 (Torres), effective 1/1/2014, amends and repeals Sections 23152 and 23153 of the Vehicle Code, to separate and define distinctly the offenses of driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, drug, or combined influence of alcohol and drugs, including causing bodily injury while committing any of these offenses.

AB 2020 (Pan), effective 1/1/2013, removes the option to choose a urine test to determine the drug content level for a person lawfully arrested for driving under the influence of drugs or the combination of alcohol and drugs. The bill specifies that the person's only options are a blood or breath test. A person consents to a urine test if a blood test is unavailable or if the person is exempted from a blood test for medical reasons.

AB 520 (Ammiano), effective 1/1/2012, allows persons convicted of alcohol-reckless driving and who have no more than two prior alcohol-related convictions within 10 years, to obtain an IID restricted license after completing a 90-day Administrative Per Se (APS) suspension period, if they enroll in a 9-month DUI program, provide proof of financial responsibility, pay the necessary fees, and provide proof of IID installation. The license restriction remains in effect for the remainder of the 12-month APS suspension period.

AB 1601 (Hill), effective 1/1/2012, authorizes the court to order a 10-year revocation of the driver license of a person who has been convicted of three-or-more DUI offenses if the court considers certain factors. This bill also allows a person whose driver license is revoked for 10 years to apply to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) for driver license reinstatement, 5 years from the date of the last DUI conviction, if certain conditions are met; these conditions include, among other things, that the person was not convicted of any other drug- or alcohol–related offenses during the driver license revocation period.

AB 91 (Feuer), effective 7/01/2010, establishes a pilot program in four counties (Alameda, Los Angeles, Sacramento, and Tulare) that requires convicted first-time and repeat DUI offenders, as a condition of obtaining a restricted driver's license, to install an ignition interlock device (IID) on all vehicles they own or operate. The required time period for the IID installation is

based on the number of prior DUI convictions. The law also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to evaluate the effectiveness of the pilot program in reducing the recidivism rate of DUI offenders and to report its findings to the legislature.

SB 895 (Huff), effective 6/22/2010, provides clean-up legislation for SB 598. This bill terminates the 1-year APS license suspension if the person has been convicted of a DUI as stated under SB 598, and the person meets all specified conditions for a restricted driver's license including the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID).

SB 598 (Huff), effective 7/01/2010, requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to advise second and third offenders convicted of misdemeanor DUI (alcohol only), of the option of obtaining a restricted driver's license after completing a 90-day suspension period for a second misdemeanor DUI, or a 6-month suspension period for a third misdemeanor DUI. The issuance of a restricted driver's license is subject to certain conditions, among which are the installation and maintenance of an ignition interlock device (IID) in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates, and enrollment in a DUI program.

SB 1388 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/2009, transfers regulatory authority for the administration of mandatory ignition interlock device (IID) programs from the state courts to the DMV. This law also authorizes the DMV to require any driver convicted of driving with a suspended license, due to a prior conviction for DUI, to install an IID in any vehicle that the offender owns or operates.

SB 1190 (Oropeza), effective 1/1/2009, reduces the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court may require first time offenders convicted of a DUI to install an ignition interlock device (IID) from 0.20% to 0.15% at the time of arrest.

AB 2802 (Houston), effective 1/1/2009, requires the court to order a person convicted of alcohol-reckless driving to participate in a licensed DUI program for at least 9 months, if that person has a prior conviction for alcohol-reckless driving or DUI within 10 years. This law requires the court to revoke the person's probation for failure to enroll in, participate in, or complete the program. It also requires the Department of Motor Vehicles to include in the annual report to the Legislature an evaluation of the effectiveness of that program.

AB 1165 (Maze), effective 1/1/2009, authorizes law enforcement to issue a notice of suspension and impound the vehicle of a convicted DUI offender who is on probation and is driving with

a BAC of 0.01% or greater (as measured by a preliminary alcohol screen test or other chemical test).

SB 1756 (Migden), effective 1/1/2007, extends driver's license suspension from 6 to 10 months for a person convicted of a first DUI offense, who is granted probation, and whose blood alcohol level (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuses to take a chemical test.

AB 2520 (Committee on Transportation), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to immediately suspend (APS action) the commercial driver's license of a driver operating a commercial vehicle with a blood alcohol level (BAC) of 0.04% or greater.

AB 2559 (Benoit), effective 1/1/2007, reorganizes the section of the Penal Code 192(c)(3) related to gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated, to include the offense where the intoxication was a contributing factor in the killing.

AB 2752 (Spitzer), effective 1/1/2007, makes it an infraction for a person under the age of 21 to drive with any measurable (0.01% or greater) blood alcohol concentration. Persons under the age of 21 will now be subject to criminal penalties.

AB 3045 (Koretz), effective 1/1/2007, requires the DMV to verify installment of an ignition interlock device (IID) before reinstating the driving privilege, when an IID restriction is imposed by the courts.

SB 207 (Scott), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a statewide administrative vehicle impoundment program for repeat DUI offenders, when the driver's BAC level is 0.10% or more by weight, or when the driver refuses to submit to a chemical test. If the driver has one prior DUI conviction within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 5 days, and if the driver has two or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years, his/her vehicle shall be impounded for 15 days.

SB 547 (Cox), effective 1/1/2006, establishes a pilot program in Sacramento County that would authorize a peace officer to impound a person's vehicle for up to 30 days, if the driver has one or more prior DUI convictions within the past 10 years. Vehicle impoundment will take place in combination with a DUI intervention program established by the county. This bill remained operative until January 1, 2009 and required the county to report the effectiveness of the pilot program to the Legislature.

SB 571 (Levine), effective 1/1/2006, lowers the blood alcohol level (BAC) at which the court must consider enhanced penalties from 0.20% to 0.15% if a person is convicted of DUI.

AB 979 (Runner), effective 1/1/2006, reduces the mandatory suspension/revocation period, from a 12- to 30-month range to 12 months for repeat DUI offenders, before they become eligible to obtain a restricted driver's license. The license restriction requires the installation of an ignition interlock device (IID). This bill allows for a mandatory 30-day vehicle impoundment period if a person is operating the vehicle in violation of the ignition interlock device restriction.

AB 1353 (Liu), effective 9/20/2005, increases the duration of DUI programs from 6 to 9 months (consisting of at least 60 hours of program activities) for first DUI offenders, who are granted probation, and whose blood alcohol content (BAC) is 0.20% or greater, or who refuse to take a chemical test.

SB 1694 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, increases the time period from 7 to 10 years during which convictions considered as prior for DUI will be counted for enhanced penalties (includes DUI convictions of persons under age 21). This law also requires the court to order a person convicted of a prior DUI to complete a DUI program, even though that prior conviction occurred more than 10 years ago and authorizes the court to order the person to complete a repeat offender DUI program. Finally, it expands court-ordered participation in a county alcohol/drug assessment program to all persons convicted of a repeat DUI offense within 10 years of a prior offense.

SB 1696 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2005, requires DUI program providers to send proof of enrollment in, or proof of completion of, the programs directly to DMV, and prohibits the DMV from receiving the certificates from program participants.

SB 1697 (Torlakson), effective 9/20/2005, assigns sole responsibility for imposing APS and DUI-related post-conviction driver license actions to DMV, and removes this responsibility from the courts. It also ensures that for all persons convicted of a DUI, license restriction, suspension, or revocation of the driving privilege are DMV's responsibility.

SB 408 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2004, prohibits the DMV (for cases showing a "critical need to drive") from issuing a restricted driver's license to minors convicted of DUI with a BAC of

0.01% or greater if the minor has other zero tolerance or DUI convictions within 7 years of the current violation.

AB 1078 (Jackson), effective 1/1/2002, removes the 10-year limit on certain vehicular manslaughter convictions, resulting in the permanent retention of these violations on the driver's record. These convictions would be considered by the court as "priors" for enhancing penalties upon subsequent conviction for DUI.

AB 803 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/2001, requires the court to order a person who is at least 18 years of age who is convicted of a first violation of DUI with a BAC of 0.05% or more, to attend the educational component of a licensed DUI program. Upon a second or subsequent conviction, the court is required to order the person, in addition to other penalties, to attend a 30-hour DUI program. If the person's license is suspended, the DMV cannot reinstate the driving privilege until the person provides proof of having completed the program as specified.

AB 1650 (Assembly Transportation Committee), effective 1/1/2000, is a committee bill intended to deal with transportation issues more efficiently by clarifying and making technical changes. This bill authorizes the DMV to impose a driver license suspension on those convicted of DUI in a water vessel involving injury. This remedy an oversight in the law which provided for sanctions against drivers convicted of DUI in a water vessel without injury, but did not specify sanctions for cases involving injury.

AB 762 (Torlakson), effective 7/1/1999, extends the suspension period for a second DUI offender from 18 months to 2 years, but allows the second offender to serve 12 months of the license suspension period, followed by a restricted license, with continued enrollment in a DUI program and installation of an ignition interlock device. It also requires persons convicted of driving with a suspended or revoked license, where that suspension or revocation was based on prior DUI convictions, to install the ignition interlock device for a period not to exceed 3 years or until the driving privilege is reinstated and requires DMV to study and report on the effectiveness of these devices. Judges are also encouraged to order installation of an ignition interlock device for first-time DUI offenders if there are aggravating factors such as high blood alcohol readings (0.20% or above), chemical test refusal, numerous traffic violations, or injury crashes. This law requires that upon a first DUI conviction, if a court grants probation, 1) the person's driving privilege shall be suspended for 6 months by the DMV, in addition to other penalties, or 2) the person may operate a motor vehicle restricted for 90 days, to and from work

and DUI program if the person establishes proof of financial responsibility and complies with other penalties and fees.

SB 24 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, cleans up AB 762, AB 1916, and SB 1186. This law requires the DMV to revoke for 1 year the driving privilege of any ignition interlock device-restricted driver who is convicted of driving a vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device (IID) under CVC Section 23247(g); requires the department to suspend or revoke the driving privilege of any IID-restricted driver [under Section 23575(g)] if notified by an installation facility that the driver attempted to bypass, tamper with, or remove the device, or has three or more times failed to comply with calibration or servicing requirements of the device; amends certain CVC sections to specify that completion of a DUI program equals enrollment, participation, and completion subsequent to the date of the current violation.

SB 1186 (Committee on Public Safety), effective 7/1/1999, reorganizes specified provisions relating to DUI-related statutes by amending, repealing, and/or renumbering the DUI-related sections without making substantive changes to the statutes.

SB 1176 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1999, requires that, upon a conviction of an alcohol-related reckless driving charge, the courts order enrollment in an alcohol and drug education program as a condition of probation. This bill also requires an evaluation by the DMV of the effectiveness of the program and a discussion of the findings in its annual report to the Legislature.

SB 1890 (Hurtt), effective 1/1/1999, deletes the choice of the urine test from the options for chemical tests relating to operating a vehicle under the influence of alcohol, unless both the blood and breath tests are unavailable or where there is a condition that warrants the use of the urine test.

AB 1916 (Torlakson), effective 1/1/1999, provides that the court shall, as a condition of probation, order a first offender whose BAC level is less than 0.20%, by weight, to participate for at least 3 months (minimum 30 hours) or longer in a licensed education/counseling program; if the BAC level is equal to 0.20% or more, by weight, or the person refused to take a chemical test, the court shall order the person to participate for at least 6 months or longer in a program consisting of 45 hours of education/counseling activities; requires the DMV to submit an annual report to the Legislature on the efficacy of the increased drug and alcohol intervention

programs; requires repeat offenders who have twice failed the programs to participate in a county alcohol and drug problem assessment program, and requires each county, beginning 1/1/2000, to prepare, or contract to be prepared, an alcohol and drug assessment report on each person ordered by the court to participate in an alcohol and drug assessment program.

AB 130 (Battin), effective 1/1/1998, requires that any person guilty of a felony or misdemeanor DUI within 10 years of a prior felony offense be designated as a habitual traffic offender for a 3-year period and have their driver license revoked for 4 years.

SB 1177 (Johnson), effective 1/1/1998, requires that anyone convicted of a second or subsequent DUI within 7 years of a separate DUI, alcohol-related reckless driving, or DUI with bodily injury violation, be ordered to enroll, participate in, and complete a DUI treatment program, subject to the latest violation, as a condition of probation. The person is not to be given credit or any treatment program activities prior to the date of the current violation.

AB 1985 (Speier), effective 1/1/1997, cited as "Courtney's Law"; provides that a person convicted of gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated and who has one or more prior convictions of vehicular manslaughter or multiple prior DUI convictions shall be punished by imprisonment in the state prison for a term of 15 years to life. Also, any person fleeing the scene of a crime after committing specified vehicle offenses which resulted in death, serious injury, or great bodily injury is subject to an additional 5-year prison enhancement.

SB 1579 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1997, permits DMV to suspend a driver license on a first Failure to Appear (FTA) for DUI, and establishes an enhanced audit and tracking system to compare DUI arrests with subsequent actions.

SB 833 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1996, permits peace officers to seize and cause the removal of a vehicle, without arresting the driver, when the vehicle was being operated by a person whose driving privilege was suspended or revoked or who had never been issued a license; requires an impounding agency to send a notice by certified, return receipt requested mail, to the legal owner of a vehicle that is impounded, and specifies under what conditions an impounded vehicle may be released to the legal owner.

AB 3148 (Katz), effective 6/30/1995, prescribes procedures for the forfeiture of a motor vehicle if the driver of the vehicle has a prior conviction for driving while unlicensed or suspended/revoked, and if the driver is the registered owner of the vehicle.

AB 321 (Connolly), effective 1/1/1995, allows juveniles cited for driving under the influence, with a BAC of 0.05% or more, by weight (Section 23140), to be charged with vehicular manslaughter (Penal Code (PC) 192) or gross vehicular manslaughter (PC 191.5) if they violate these laws.

SB 1295 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1995, requires every person convicted of a first DUI offense to submit proof of completion of a treatment program within a time period set by the department; requires the department to suspend the driving privilege for noncompliance, prohibits reinstatement until proof of completion is received by the department; enhances the required administrative driving privilege revocation for a minor who refuses to take or fails to complete a preliminary alcohol screening (PAS) test, to 2 years revocation for the second offense in 7 years and 3 years revocation for the third and subsequent offenses; applies the CVC Section 23140 to drivers under age 21 (previously under age 18), making it unlawful to drive with a 0.05% BAC level or greater.

SB 1758 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1995, permits a noncommercial driver, 21 years of age or older, who was arrested for a first APS DUI offense, who took a chemical test, and enrolled in an alcohol treatment program, to also obtain a restricted driver license, valid for driving to and from and during the course of that person's employment, after serving 30 days of the suspension period. The total time period for suspension/restriction shall be 6 months, rather than 4 months. Suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers who drive are subject to having their vehicles towed and impounded for 30 days.

AB 2639 (Friedman), effective 9/30/1994, repeals the statutes which authorized discretionary IID orders (CVC 23235), although part of the repealed statutes was incorporated into the sections establishing mandatory orders (CVC 23246 et seq.). Previously, the discretionary IID orders applied to all DUI offenders, but now they apply only to first DUI offenders. For third and subsequent offenders, the statutes are amended to clarify that the court must require proof of installation of the device before issuing an order granting a restricted license. Some of the exemptions to the IID orders were revised.

SB 126 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1994, amends CVC 23161 to provide that if the court orders a 90-day restriction for a first offender, the restriction shall begin on the date of the reinstatement of the person's privilege to drive following the 4-month APS suspension; as part of the sentencing of repeat DUI offenders, CVC 23161 requires an ignition interlock device to remain on the vehicle for 1 to 3 years after restoration of the driving privilege; specifies that the person

cannot operate a motor vehicle when the driving privilege is suspended or revoked even if the vehicle is equipped with an ignition interlock device; requires second offenders who have been suspended for 18 months to provide proof of financial responsibility and proof of successful completion of an alcohol or drug program in order to reinstate their license privilege, includes violation of CVC 23140 for administrative suspension for minors driving with 0.05% BAC or greater.

SB 689 (Kopp), effective 1/1/1994, prohibits a person under 21 years of age from driving with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, as measured by a PAS test; violators receive a 1-year license suspension. A person under the age of 21 who refuses the PAS test will be suspended for 1 year.

AB 2851 (Friedman), effective 7/1/1993, requires anyone convicted of a second DUI within 7 years of a prior conviction to install an IID on all their vehicles. The device must be maintained for a period of 1 to 3 years. Proof of installation must be provided to the court or probation officer within 30 days of conviction. If proof is not provided, the DMV will revoke the license for 1 year. Exceptions to installing a device are for medical problems, use of vehicle in emergencies, and driving the employer's vehicle during employment.

AB 3580 (Farr), effective 7/1/1993, changes the effective date of APS suspension from 45 to 30 days after the notice is given.

SB 1600 (Bergeson), effective 9/26/1992, provides that DMV is required to suspend or revoke the driver's licenses of those who drop out of an alcohol treatment program a second time.

AB 37 (Katz), effective 1/1/1992, combines elements of the formal and informal review hearing into a single hearing for those who were suspended under the APS laws, and provides that DMV need not stay a suspension or revocation pending review, if the hearing followed APS suspension or revocation for refusing a chemical test for alcohol or for driving with a BAC of 0.08% or more.

SB 185 (Thompson), effective 1/1/1992, amends CVC Section 14602 to authorize the court to order the motor vehicle impounded for up to 6 months for a first conviction, and up to 12 months for a second or subsequent conviction of any of the following offenses: driving with a suspended or revoked license, violation of CVC 2800.2 or 2800.3 (evading a peace officer in

a reckless manner, causing injury or death), within 7 years of a violation of CVC Sections 23103, 23152, 23153, or Penal Code Sections 191.5 or 192(c).

AB 2040 (Farr), effective 9/28/1990, repeals previous statutes authorizing the installation of ignition interlock devices in DUI cases. This statute authorizes the installation of such devices in all DUI cases and permits the court to grant subjects revoked for three-or-more DUI-related violations a restricted license after 24 months of the revocation period have passed. The restricted license is conditioned on satisfactory completion of 18 months of an alcohol treatment program, submission of proof of financial responsibility, and agreement to have an ignition interlock device installed in their vehicles. Courts are authorized to reduce the minimum DUI fine to allow the person to pay the costs of the device.

SB 1150 (Lockyer), effective 7/26/1990, provides clean-up legislation for APS; lowers the BAC level from 0.10% to 0.08%, requires proof of financial responsibility to reinstate from any APS suspension or revocation action, increases sanctions for implied consent refusals (1-year license suspension for no priors or APS actions, 2-year license revocation for one prior or APS action, and 3-year revocation for two or more prior DUI offenses or APS actions), and authorizes suspension or revocation actions taken under CVC Sections 13353 and 13353.2 to be considered as priors.

SB 1623 (Lockyer), effective 7/1/1990, establishes authority for a peace officer to serve a notice of suspension or revocation (administrative per se or APS) personally on a person arrested for a DUI offense, to take possession of the driver license for forwarding to the department, and to issue a 45-day temporary operating permit; provides for an administrative review of the order, for an administrative hearing, and for a judicial review of the hearing, and provides for a fee, not to exceed $100, to be assessed upon the return of the driver license.

AB 757 (Friedman), effective 1/1/1990, requires the DMV to establish and maintain a DUI data and recidivism tracking system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of DUI. Annual reports are to be made to the Legislature.

SB 310 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, authorizes the courts to sell the vehicles of those registered owners who are found in violation of Penal Code Sections 191.5 or 192(c3), CVC 23152 which occurred within 7 years of two or more convictions of CVC 23152 or CVC 23153, or a violation of CVC 23153 which occurred within 7 years of one or more convictions of CVC 23152 or CVC 23153 or the cited Penal Code sections.

SB 408 (Leonard), effective 1/1/1990, modifies AB 7 (Hart) to establish a BAC level of 0.08% or higher as per se evidence of impaired driving.

SB 1119 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990 for vessel provisions and 1/1/1992 for commercial driver provisions, prohibits the operation of a commercial vehicle by a person with a BAC of 0.04% or above; requires a commercial vehicle driver to be ordered out of service for 24 hours if found with a BAC at or above 0.01%, but less than 0.04%; establishes separate penalties for refusing to take or complete a chemical test based on the type of vehicle involved. Under this bill a conviction of operating a vessel while under the influence of alcohol or drugs would also be treated as a DUI prior for driver license sanctions.

SB 1344 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1990, requires statewide implementation of 12-week (30-hour) first-offender alcohol education and counseling programs, and requires state licensing of such programs. This bill also adds 6 months of monitoring and follow-up to second offender programs, resulting in 18-month programs. It requires that DMV evaluate program effects on recidivism and report the findings to the Legislature.

SB 1902 (Davis), effective 1/1/1990, prohibits DMV from issuing or renewing a driver license unless the applicant agrees in writing to comply with a blood, breath, or urine test. This bill also designates drivers convicted of a third or subsequent DUI within 7 years as "habitual traffic offenders."

AB 3134 (Harris), effective 1/1/1989, allows the fourth DUI within 7 years to be charged as a felony or misdemeanor. The term of imprisonment to state prison or county jail is not less than 180 days and not more than 1 year. Allows for second offenders to attend either a 1-year or 30-month treatment program.

AB 3563 (Killea), effective 1/1/1989, authorizes the court to order DMV to suspend, revoke, or delay issuing the driving privilege of a minor failing to show proof of completion of a court-ordered alcohol education program when convicted of CVC 23140.

SB 1300 (Campbell), effective 1/1/1989, amends CVC 13202.5 to allow courts to suspend the license of a person under the age of 21 (changed from age 18) for 1 year, or delay issuing the driving privilege of those 13 years or older for 1 year, upon conviction of various alcohol and drug offenses, including open container violations.

SB 1964 (Robbins), effective 1/1/1989, requires all first DUI offenders to file proof of insurance when applying for a restricted license or for reinstatement of the driving privilege following a period of license suspension.

SB 885 (Royce), effective 1/1/1988, requires a person who was granted probation for a second DUI offense to show proof of financial responsibility in order to be eligible for the 1-year restricted license.

SB 1365 (Seymour), effective 1/1/1988, establishes a 30-month alcohol treatment program as an alternative to the 12-month program for third and subsequent DUI offenders, in counties where such a program exists. In these cases, imprisonment in the county jail shall be imposed for at least 30 days, but not more than 1 year, in lieu of the 120-day minimum jail term.

AB 2558 (Duffy), effective 1/1/1987, provides that gross vehicular manslaughter while intoxicated is punishable in the state prison for 4, 6, or 10 years. Former Penal Code Section 192(c3) was deleted and incorporated into 191.5(a).

AB 2831 (Killea), effective 1/1/1987, makes it unlawful for a minor to drive with a BAC of 0.05% or more (CVC 23140). A conviction of this violation requires completion of an alcohol education program or alcohol-related community service program.

SB 2206 (Watson), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes a county to develop and administer an alcohol and drug problem-assessment program, which could include a pre-sentence alcohol and drug problem-assessment report for persons convicted under CVC 23152 or 23153, and referral to treatment program with follow-up tracking.

SB 2344 (Lockyer), effective 1/1/1987, extends the sentencing period for prior DUIs from 5 to 7 years, and specifies a 3- to 5-year probation term for a DUI conviction.

SB 3939 (Farr), effective 1/1/1987, authorizes courts to order the installation of IID for repeat offenders in four counties, and establishes a pilot project to evaluate the effectiveness of the devices.

SB 925 (Seymour), effective 7/1/1986, extends the period of license suspension for second-misdemeanor offenders from 1 year to 18 months, and requires that offenders with three-or-

more DUI convictions show proof of treatment completion in order to have their licenses reinstated.

AB 144 (Naylor), effective 9/29/1985, requires the court to take into consideration in a DUI case a blood alcohol concentration of 0.20% percent or above, or a refusal to take a chemical test, as special factors in the enhancing of penalties for sentencing or to impose additional terms and conditions of probation.

SB 1441 (Petris), effective 1/1/1985, requires a 3-year license revocation for persons with two-or-more DUI or alcohol-related reckless convictions within 5 years of refusing a chemical test.

SB 1522 (Alquist), effective 1/1/1985, retains existing law for first offenders, which authorizes courts to impound a vehicle at the registered owner's expense for up to 30 days if the driver was convicted of DUI pursuant to CVC 23152 or 23153. The same time period for impoundment is required for second offenses within 5 years. For third-and-subsequent offenses, the vehicle can be impounded at the registered owner's expense for up to 90 days. Exceptions to the required impoundment arise "where the interests of justice would best be served by not ordering impoundment." Another limitation is that no vehicle driven by a class 3 or 4 licensee is subject to impoundment if another person has a community property interest in the vehicle, and it is the only vehicle available to the driver's family.

AB 624 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1984, requires a 1-year license revocation for minors (up to age 18) for a DUI conviction (CVC Sections 23152, 23153).

SB 1601 (Sieroty), effective 7/1/1982, modifies AB 541 provisions by requiring that SB 38 participants establish proof of insurance in order to remove the license restriction at the end of 6 months. In addition, SB 38 participants who dropped out of the program are given two more opportunities to reenroll, instead of receiving an immediate license suspension. Program providers are also required to report dropouts directly to DMV.

AB 7 (Hart), effective 1/1/1982, makes it a misdemeanor under CVC 23152(b) to drive a vehicle with a BAC level of 0.10% or higher. Drivers with lower BAC levels (0.05%-0.09%) can be convicted of DUI when sufficient behavioral evidence of impairment is apparent.

AB 541 (Moorhead), effective 1/1/1982, establishes that under CVC 23152(a), driving under the influence of an alcoholic beverage or drugs or their combined influence is a misdemeanor,

while felony charges are filed under CVC 23153, and alcohol-related reckless charges are filed under CVC 23103.5. A conviction under 23103.5 constitutes a prior for a second offense (but not for third offenses). The penalties imposed are a 90-day license restriction (work- and treatment-related driving only) and referral to an alcohol education program for most first offenders; a 1-year license restriction for second offenders who enroll in an approved 12-month alcohol treatment (SB 38) program. First offenders not placed on probation receive a 6-month license suspension. Second offenders not assigned to an alcohol program are suspended for 1 year. A minimum jail term of 48 hours is mandatory for all repeat offenders, and a minimum fine of $390 is assessed for all DUI offenses. Offenders with three-or-more DUI or alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions receive a 3-year license revocation along with a jail term and fine, and a small proportion are assigned to a 12-month SB 38 program. Enrollment in the program cannot be substituted for license revocation. The period defining prior DUIs changes from 7 to 5 years. Conviction of a DUI offense with bodily injury or fatality, when prosecuted as a felony, continue to result in more severe penalties (such as longer license actions and jail terms) than misdemeanor offenses. The only change in the 1982 law for felony second offenders is that those participating in the SB 38 program will receive a license suspension for 1 year and a license restriction for 2 years.

SB 38 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1978, extends the pilot 12-month alcohol treatment program for repeat offenders statewide.

SB 330 (Gregorio), effective 1/1/1976, permits repeat DUI offenders in four counties to participate in a 12-month pilot alcohol treatment program in lieu of the usual 12-month suspension or 3-year revocation.

GLOSSARY

ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately upon arrest for the following reasons: a person was driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, a person refuses a chemical test, a commercial driver was driving a commercial vehicle with a BAC of 0.04% or more, or a person was on probation for a violation of Section 23152 or 23153 and had a BAC of 0.01% or more. Also, in January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS.

ALCOHOL-INVOLVED CRASH

Alcohol-involved crashes are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the crash report that the driver "had-been-drinking (HBD)."

ALCOHOL- OR DRUG-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving conviction refers to a conviction of the California Vehicle Code (VC) Section VC 23103.5 of reckless driving involving alcohol and/or drugs. It is typically associated with driving under the influence (DUI) arrests with weaker circumstances (for example, BAC level lower than or close to .08%) and results in lesser penalties and sanctions than a DUI conviction. Alcohol- or drug-related reckless driving convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small–e.g., .01, .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

CONVICTION

Conviction refers to a violation of a specific California Vehicle Code Section reported by courts to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) in the abstract of conviction. Since courts' abstracts of conviction can be amended, corrected, or dismissed, the conviction totals reported here are dynamic and subject to change.

COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152, 23153, 23140, of the California Vehicle Code, Penal Codes 191.5a, b, US Codes J36FR46, J36423, and out of state DUI codes.

DUI CONVICTION RATE

Percent of total number of DUI arrests in a given calendar year that resulted in DUI convictions (total DUI convictions/total DUI arrests * 100).

DUID

DUID is an acronym for "driving under the influence of drugs" (either alone or in combination with other drugs and/or alcohol), a violation of subdivisions (e) and (f) prior to July 1, 2018, or later of subdivisions (f) and (g) of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred crashes and/or DUI incidents.

MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless-driving and hit-and-run convictions.

MEAN

Arithmetic average computed by adding up all the values and dividing them by the number of values.

MEDIAN

The median is the midpoint in a set of values arranged from lowest to highest, so that half of the values are below and half are above.

P

P stands for probability. For example, if $p < .05$, the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference found or one larger would occur by chance alone.

QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely to be due to chance alone.

Assembly Bill No. 757

CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code. Relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with
Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to

driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		127437	98458	77.3	28979	22.7	40957	32.1	64037	50.2	11706	9.2	10737	8.4
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	12	8	66.7	4	33.3	2	16.7	7	58.3	1	8.3	2	16.7
	18-20	220	171	77.7	49	22.3	35	15.9	129	58.6	28	12.7	28	12.7
	21-30	2135	1596	74.8	539	25.2	432	20.2	941	44.1	403	18.9	359	16.8
	31-40	1392	1088	78.2	304	21.8	281	20.2	555	39.9	332	23.9	224	16.1
	41-50	792	617	77.9	175	22.1	185	23.4	278	35.1	219	27.7	110	13.9
	51-60	450	361	80.2	89	19.8	149	33.1	91	20.2	146	32.4	64	14.2
	61-70	145	116	80.0	29	20.0	62	42.8	24	16.6	46	31.7	13	9.0
	71 & ABOVE	26	19	73.1	7	26.9	13	50.0	3	11.5	8	30.8	2	7.7
	TOTAL	5172	3976	76.9	1196	23.1	1159	22.4	2028	39.2	1183	22.9	802	15.5
ALPINE	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	31-40	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	4	66.7	0	0.0	1	16.7	1	16.7
	41-50	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	19	15	78.9	4	21.1	16	84.2	0	0.0	1	5.3	2	10.5
AMADOR	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
	21-30	63	46	73.0	17	27.0	48	76.2	7	11.1	3	4.8	5	7.9
	31-40	38	21	55.3	17	44.7	29	76.3	3	7.9	1	2.6	5	13.2
	41-50	28	21	75.0	7	25.0	21	75.0	4	14.3	1	3.6	2	7.1
	51-60	29	22	75.9	7	24.1	23	79.3	4	13.8	0	0.0	2	6.9
	61-70	13	8	61.5	5	38.5	11	84.6	2	15.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	175	120	68.6	55	31.4	135	77.1	20	11.4	5	2.9	15	8.6
BUTTE	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	18-20	59	44	74.6	15	25.4	35	59.3	18	30.5	3	5.1	3	5.1
	21-30	440	346	78.6	94	21.4	265	60.2	120	27.3	17	3.9	38	8.6
	31-40	207	161	77.8	46	22.2	147	71.0	34	16.4	10	4.8	16	7.7
	41-50	137	96	70.1	41	29.9	105	76.6	18	13.1	5	3.6	9	6.6
	51-60	140	106	75.7	34	24.3	103	73.6	24	17.1	4	2.9	9	6.4
	61-70	60	42	70.0	18	30.0	50	83.3	7	11.7	1	1.7	2	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	14	93.3	1	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1062	810	76.3	252	23.7	720	67.8	224	21.1	40	3.8	78	7.3

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
CALAVERAS	18-20	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	6	85.7	1	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	48	39	81.3	9	18.8	39	81.3	7	14.6	1	2.1	1	2.1
	31-40	32	19	59.4	13	40.6	27	84.4	5	15.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	36	23	63.9	13	36.1	29	80.6	5	13.9	2	5.6	0	0.0
	51-60	29	17	58.6	12	41.4	28	96.6	1	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	12	92.3	1	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	168	116	69.0	52	31.0	144	85.7	20	11.9	3	1.8	1	0.6
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	1	16.7	4	66.7	1	16.7	0	0.0
	21-30	50	42	84.0	8	16.0	19	38.0	26	52.0	3	6.0	2	4.0
	31-40	41	36	87.8	5	12.2	17	41.5	19	46.3	2	4.9	3	7.3
	41-50	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	12	54.5	9	40.9	0	0.0	1	4.5
	51-60	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	14	70.0	5	25.0	1	5.0	0	0.0
	61-70	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	148	126	85.1	22	14.9	69	46.6	66	44.6	7	4.7	6	4.1
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	12	7	58.3	5	41.7	3	25.0	7	58.3	2	16.7	0	0.0
	18-20	119	94	79.0	25	21.0	37	31.1	57	47.9	11	9.2	14	11.8
	21-30	1071	800	74.7	271	25.3	297	27.7	462	43.1	185	17.3	127	11.9
	31-40	654	506	77.4	148	22.6	187	28.6	264	40.4	137	20.9	66	10.1
	41-50	441	325	73.7	116	26.3	151	34.2	141	32.0	107	24.3	42	9.5
	51-60	303	221	72.9	82	27.1	150	49.5	63	20.8	70	23.1	20	6.6
	61-70	102	81	79.4	21	20.6	59	57.8	18	17.6	21	20.6	4	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	23	17	73.9	6	26.1	16	69.6	1	4.3	4	17.4	2	8.7
	TOTAL	2725	2051	75.3	674	24.7	900	33.0	1013	37.2	537	19.7	275	10.1
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	3	50.0	1	16.7	0	0.0	2	33.3
	18-20	18	13	72.2	5	27.8	13	72.2	2	11.1	0	0.0	3	16.7
	21-30	95	66	69.5	29	30.5	56	58.9	19	20.0	3	3.2	17	17.9
	31-40	81	53	65.4	28	34.6	63	77.8	7	8.6	0	0.0	11	13.6
	41-50	41	25	61.0	16	39.0	33	80.5	4	9.8	0	0.0	4	9.8
	51-60	51	36	70.6	15	29.4	45	88.2	1	2.0	2	3.9	3	5.9
	61-70	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	16	94.1	1	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	316	215	68.0	101	32.0	236	74.7	35	11.1	5	1.6	40	12.7

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	16.7
	18-20	24	17	70.8	7	29.2	18	75.0	4	16.7	0	0.0	2	8.3
	21-30	283	223	78.8	60	21.2	214	75.6	46	16.3	6	2.1	17	6.0
	31-40	168	122	72.6	46	27.4	130	77.4	28	16.7	2	1.2	8	4.8
	41-50	129	91	70.5	38	29.5	103	79.8	15	11.6	2	1.6	9	7.0
	51-60	93	70	75.3	23	24.7	86	92.5	5	5.4	0	0.0	2	2.2
	61-70	51	32	62.7	19	37.3	47	92.2	2	3.9	1	2.0	1	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	762	567	74.4	195	25.6	611	80.2	100	13.1	11	1.4	40	5.2
FRESNO	UNDER 18	23	19	82.6	4	17.4	1	4.3	21	91.3	0	0.0	1	4.3
	18-20	268	208	77.6	60	22.4	39	14.6	201	75.0	10	3.7	18	6.7
	21-30	2125	1633	76.8	492	23.2	337	15.9	1395	65.6	133	6.3	260	12.2
	31-40	1234	970	78.6	264	21.4	197	16.0	841	68.2	75	6.1	121	9.8
	41-50	636	508	79.9	128	20.1	129	20.3	396	62.3	55	8.6	56	8.8
	51-60	426	340	79.8	86	20.2	137	32.2	216	50.7	33	7.7	40	9.4
	61-70	131	100	76.3	31	23.7	48	36.6	55	42.0	16	12.2	12	9.2
	71 & ABOVE	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	18	60.0	9	30.0	1	3.3	2	6.7
	TOTAL	4873	3804	78.1	1069	21.9	906	18.6	3134	64.3	323	6.6	510	10.5
GLENN	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	12	7	58.3	5	41.7	7	58.3	3	25.0	0	0.0	2	16.7
	21-30	50	43	86.0	7	14.0	20	40.0	27	54.0	0	0.0	3	6.0
	31-40	31	24	77.4	7	22.6	19	61.3	10	32.3	0	0.0	2	6.5
	41-50	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	14	53.8	11	42.3	1	3.8	0	0.0
	51-60	30	22	73.3	8	26.7	21	70.0	8	26.7	1	3.3	0	0.0
	61-70	14	7	50.0	7	50.0	10	71.4	4	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	165	125	75.8	40	24.2	92	55.8	64	38.8	2	1.2	7	4.2
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	2	40.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	2	40.0
	18-20	40	25	62.5	15	37.5	26	65.0	4	10.0	3	7.5	7	17.5
	21-30	437	307	70.3	130	29.7	295	67.5	79	18.1	19	4.3	44	10.1
	31-40	315	244	77.5	71	22.5	231	73.3	40	12.7	12	3.8	32	10.2
	41-50	159	120	75.5	39	24.5	119	74.8	18	11.3	5	3.1	17	10.7
	51-60	108	76	70.4	32	29.6	89	82.4	3	2.8	3	2.8	13	12.0
	61-70	42	29	69.0	13	31.0	39	92.9	1	2.4	0	0.0	2	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1113	811	72.9	302	27.1	808	72.6	146	13.1	42	3.8	117	10.5

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	11	6	54.5	5	45.5	1	9.1	9	81.8	0	0.0	1	9.1
	18-20	77	62	80.5	15	19.5	10	13.0	65	84.4	1	1.3	1	1.3
	21-30	365	273	74.8	92	25.2	39	10.7	307	84.1	10	2.7	9	2.5
	31-40	209	161	77.0	48	23.0	26	12.4	173	82.8	6	2.9	4	1.9
	41-50	120	91	75.8	29	24.2	21	17.5	93	77.5	2	1.7	4	3.3
	51-60	58	52	89.7	6	10.3	20	34.5	35	60.3	1	1.7	2	3.4
	61-70	22	20	90.9	2	9.1	10	45.5	11	50.0	1	4.5	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	866	669	77.3	197	22.7	129	14.9	695	80.3	21	2.4	21	2.4
INYO	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	1	16.7	4	66.7	0	0.0	1	16.7
	21-30	19	12	63.2	7	36.8	12	63.2	2	10.5	0	0.0	5	26.3
	31-40	15	12	80.0	3	20.0	11	73.3	1	6.7	1	6.7	2	13.3
	41-50	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	12	60.0	4	20.0	0	0.0	4	20.0
	51-60	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	9	75.0	2	16.7	0	0.0	1	8.3
	61-70	20	14	70.0	6	30.0	18	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	10.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	TOTAL	99	74	74.7	25	25.3	67	67.7	15	15.2	1	1.0	16	16.2
KERN	UNDER 18	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	3	17.6	13	76.5	0	0.0	1	5.9
	18-20	234	190	81.2	44	18.8	52	22.2	170	72.6	4	1.7	8	3.4
	21-30	1958	1498	76.5	460	23.5	440	22.5	1270	64.9	168	8.6	80	4.1
	31-40	1172	902	77.0	270	23.0	305	26.0	694	59.2	127	10.8	46	3.9
	41-50	542	426	78.6	116	21.4	177	32.7	289	53.3	60	11.1	16	3.0
	51-60	302	231	76.5	71	23.5	146	48.3	124	41.1	23	7.6	9	3.0
	61-70	112	82	73.2	30	26.8	61	54.5	42	37.5	5	4.5	4	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	14	77.8	1	5.6	3	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4355	3356	77.1	999	22.9	1198	27.5	2603	59.8	390	9.0	164	3.8
KINGS	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0
	18-20	58	45	77.6	13	22.4	6	10.3	40	69.0	7	12.1	5	8.6
	21-30	341	271	79.5	70	20.5	67	19.6	233	68.3	20	5.9	21	6.2
	31-40	230	182	79.1	48	20.9	46	20.0	154	67.0	14	6.1	16	7.0
	41-50	117	88	75.2	29	24.8	35	29.9	70	59.8	3	2.6	9	7.7
	51-60	57	47	82.5	10	17.5	14	24.6	35	61.4	6	10.5	2	3.5
	61-70	28	23	82.1	5	17.9	9	32.1	15	53.6	2	7.1	2	7.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	838	661	78.9	177	21.1	178	21.2	552	65.9	53	6.3	55	6.6

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
LAKE	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	16	11	68.8	5	31.3	7	43.8	8	50.0	0	0.0	1	6.3
	21-30	158	128	81.0	30	19.0	80	50.6	55	34.8	7	4.4	16	10.1
	31-40	105	78	74.3	27	25.7	69	65.7	28	26.7	3	2.9	5	4.8
	41-50	78	50	64.1	28	35.9	54	69.2	13	16.7	6	7.7	5	6.4
	51-60	73	45	61.6	28	38.4	58	79.5	5	6.8	6	8.2	4	5.5
	61-70	29	23	79.3	6	20.7	27	93.1	1	3.4	1	3.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	471	346	73.5	125	26.5	306	65.0	111	23.6	23	4.9	31	6.6
LASSEN	18-20	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	46	34	73.9	12	26.1	37	80.4	6	13.0	0	0.0	3	6.5
	31-40	33	22	66.7	11	33.3	26	78.8	3	9.1	2	6.1	2	6.1
	41-50	29	15	51.7	14	48.3	23	79.3	3	10.3	0	0.0	3	10.3
	51-60	24	19	79.2	5	20.8	19	79.2	3	12.5	0	0.0	2	8.3
	61-70	7	4	57.1	3	42.9	5	71.4	1	14.3	1	14.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	143	96	67.1	47	32.9	112	78.3	18	12.6	3	2.1	10	7.0
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	63	51	81.0	12	19.0	15	23.8	44	69.8	2	3.2	2	3.2
	18-20	1024	830	81.1	194	18.9	145	14.2	736	71.9	71	6.9	72	7.0
	21-30	10654	8202	77.0	2452	23.0	1545	14.5	7132	66.9	1109	10.4	868	8.1
	31-40	6411	5127	80.0	1284	20.0	1006	15.7	4028	62.8	822	12.8	555	8.7
	41-50	3472	2825	81.4	647	18.6	620	17.9	2074	59.7	526	15.1	252	7.3
	51-60	2127	1785	83.9	342	16.1	543	25.5	976	45.9	447	21.0	161	7.6
	61-70	774	670	86.6	104	13.4	281	36.3	290	37.5	137	17.7	66	8.5
	71 & ABOVE	117	98	83.8	19	16.2	59	50.4	24	20.5	21	17.9	13	11.1
	TOTAL	24642	19588	79.5	5054	20.5	4214	17.1	15304	62.1	3135	12.7	1989	8.1
MADERA	UNDER 18	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	1	10.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	83	65	78.3	18	21.7	13	15.7	64	77.1	3	3.6	3	3.6
	21-30	428	367	85.7	61	14.3	87	20.3	321	75.0	5	1.2	15	3.5
	31-40	238	201	84.5	37	15.5	51	21.4	168	70.6	3	1.3	16	6.7
	41-50	164	139	84.8	25	15.2	48	29.3	99	60.4	3	1.8	14	8.5
	51-60	116	96	82.8	20	17.2	44	37.9	65	56.0	5	4.3	2	1.7
	61-70	37	32	86.5	5	13.5	21	56.8	12	32.4	0	0.0	4	10.8
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	6	60.0	4	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1086	916	84.3	170	15.7	271	25.0	742	68.3	19	1.7	54	5.0

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MARIN	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	56	49	87.5	7	12.5	24	42.9	27	48.2	1	1.8	4	7.1
	21-30	460	365	79.3	95	20.7	165	35.9	236	51.3	31	6.7	28	6.1
	31-40	298	230	77.2	68	22.8	121	40.6	127	42.6	19	6.4	31	10.4
	41-50	212	152	71.7	60	28.3	129	60.8	51	24.1	14	6.6	18	8.5
	51-60	171	117	68.4	54	31.6	127	74.3	23	13.5	10	5.8	11	6.4
	61-70	87	63	72.4	24	27.6	65	74.7	10	11.5	3	3.4	9	10.3
	71 & ABOVE	17	9	52.9	8	47.1	14	82.4	2	11.8	0	0.0	1	5.9
	TOTAL	1306	988	75.7	318	24.3	648	49.6	478	36.6	78	6.0	102	7.8
MARIPOSA	18-20	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	29	22	75.9	7	24.1	23	79.3	3	10.3	0	0.0	3	10.3
	31-40	14	9	64.3	5	35.7	9	64.3	4	28.6	0	0.0	1	7.1
	41-50	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	12	80.0	2	13.3	0	0.0	1	6.7
	51-60	15	13	86.7	2	13.3	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	79	61	77.2	18	22.8	65	82.3	9	11.4	0	0.0	5	6.3
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	34	29	85.3	5	14.7	11	32.4	19	55.9	0	0.0	4	11.8
	21-30	204	151	74.0	53	26.0	107	52.5	76	37.3	10	4.9	11	5.4
	31-40	169	139	82.2	30	17.8	97	57.4	56	33.1	2	1.2	14	8.3
	41-50	87	69	79.3	18	20.7	66	75.9	15	17.2	3	3.4	3	3.4
	51-60	53	38	71.7	15	28.3	37	69.8	10	18.9	2	3.8	4	7.5
	61-70	34	32	94.1	2	5.9	29	85.3	3	8.8	1	2.9	1	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	7	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	TOTAL	590	466	79.0	124	21.0	354	60.0	180	30.5	18	3.1	38	6.4
MERCED	UNDER 18	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	70	59	84.3	11	15.7	18	25.7	50	71.4	0	0.0	2	2.9
	21-30	530	423	79.8	107	20.2	88	16.6	386	72.8	17	3.2	39	7.4
	31-40	292	235	80.5	57	19.5	58	19.9	202	69.2	22	7.5	10	3.4
	41-50	193	158	81.9	35	18.1	51	26.4	116	60.1	20	10.4	6	3.1
	51-60	103	83	80.6	20	19.4	39	37.9	48	46.6	12	11.7	4	3.9
	61-70	26	22	84.6	4	15.4	9	34.6	11	42.3	4	15.4	2	7.7
	71 & ABOVE	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	2	18.2	5	45.5	3	27.3	1	9.1
	TOTAL	1233	997	80.9	236	19.1	265	21.5	826	67.0	78	6.3	64	5.2

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MODOC	18-20	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	21-30	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	8	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	20.0
	31-40	12	8	66.7	4	33.3	8	66.7	2	16.7	0	0.0	2	16.7
	41-50	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	8	88.9	0	0.0	1	11.1	0	0.0
	51-60	12	5	41.7	7	58.3	9	75.0	2	16.7	0	0.0	1	8.3
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	52	34	65.4	18	34.6	40	76.9	5	9.6	1	1.9	6	11.5
MONO	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	53	39	73.6	14	26.4	37	69.8	9	17.0	2	3.8	5	9.4
	31-40	30	22	73.3	8	26.7	22	73.3	5	16.7	0	0.0	3	10.0
	41-50	29	18	62.1	11	37.9	20	69.0	4	13.8	2	6.9	3	10.3
	51-60	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	12	85.7	1	7.1	0	0.0	1	7.1
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1	33.3	2	66.7	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	141	100	70.9	41	29.1	103	73.0	22	15.6	4	2.8	12	8.5
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	5	35.7	9	64.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	154	131	85.1	23	14.9	20	13.0	127	82.5	3	1.9	4	2.6
	21-30	933	773	82.9	160	17.1	136	14.6	758	81.2	15	1.6	24	2.6
	31-40	489	411	84.0	78	16.0	91	18.6	362	74.0	16	3.3	20	4.1
	41-50	289	243	84.1	46	15.9	78	27.0	195	67.5	9	3.1	7	2.4
	51-60	166	122	73.5	44	26.5	81	48.8	69	41.6	8	4.8	8	4.8
	61-70	54	45	83.3	9	16.7	33	61.1	14	25.9	6	11.1	1	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	5	33.3	9	60.0	1	6.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2114	1750	82.8	364	17.2	449	21.2	1543	73.0	58	2.7	64	3.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	38	26	68.4	12	31.6	8	21.1	29	76.3	0	0.0	1	2.6
	21-30	347	254	73.2	93	26.8	120	34.6	188	54.2	13	3.7	26	7.5
	31-40	187	139	74.3	48	25.7	83	44.4	80	42.8	5	2.7	19	10.2
	41-50	108	76	70.4	32	29.6	48	44.4	46	42.6	7	6.5	7	6.5
	51-60	85	61	71.8	24	28.2	59	69.4	15	17.6	7	8.2	4	4.7
	61-70	39	26	66.7	13	33.3	29	74.4	4	10.3	3	7.7	3	7.7
	71 & ABOVE	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	812	589	72.5	223	27.5	354	43.6	363	44.7	35	4.3	60	7.4

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
NEVADA	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	8	72.7	3	27.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	174	126	72.4	48	27.6	147	84.5	21	12.1	1	0.6	5	2.9
	31-40	119	91	76.5	28	23.5	104	87.4	11	9.2	1	0.8	3	2.5
	41-50	72	50	69.4	22	30.6	63	87.5	3	4.2	2	2.8	4	5.6
	51-60	57	39	68.4	18	31.6	52	91.2	4	7.0	1	1.8	0	0.0
	61-70	23	16	69.6	7	30.4	22	95.7	0	0.0	1	4.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	465	337	72.5	128	27.5	404	86.9	43	9.2	6	1.3	12	2.6
ORANGE	UNDER 18	39	29	74.4	10	25.6	14	35.9	18	46.2	2	5.1	5	12.8
	18-20	600	484	80.7	116	19.3	179	29.8	336	56.0	15	2.5	70	11.7
	21-30	5001	3740	74.8	1261	25.2	1597	31.9	2566	51.3	257	5.1	581	11.6
	31-40	2394	1896	79.2	498	20.8	821	34.3	1164	48.6	123	5.1	286	11.9
	41-50	1410	1051	74.5	359	25.5	593	42.1	582	41.3	59	4.2	176	12.5
	51-60	1033	775	75.0	258	25.0	610	59.1	295	28.6	42	4.1	86	8.3
	61-70	388	283	72.9	105	27.1	275	70.9	70	18.0	7	1.8	36	9.3
	71 & ABOVE	69	52	75.4	17	24.6	51	73.9	4	5.8	3	4.3	11	15.9
	TOTAL	10934	8310	76.0	2624	24.0	4140	37.9	5035	46.0	508	4.6	1251	11.4
PLACER	UNDER 18	9	5	55.6	4	44.4	5	55.6	2	22.2	1	11.1	1	11.1
	18-20	67	57	85.1	10	14.9	52	77.6	10	14.9	1	1.5	4	6.0
	21-30	416	304	73.1	112	26.9	275	66.1	83	20.0	27	6.5	31	7.5
	31-40	267	191	71.5	76	28.5	191	71.5	41	15.4	13	4.9	22	8.2
	41-50	172	131	76.2	41	23.8	130	75.6	23	13.4	7	4.1	12	7.0
	51-60	128	89	69.5	39	30.5	105	82.0	15	11.7	2	1.6	6	4.7
	61-70	68	50	73.5	18	26.5	57	83.8	6	8.8	3	4.4	2	2.9
	71 & ABOVE	12	7	58.3	5	41.7	12	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1139	834	73.2	305	26.8	827	72.6	180	15.8	54	4.7	78	6.8
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	6	75.0	1	12.5	1	12.5	0	0.0
	21-30	55	47	85.5	8	14.5	40	72.7	6	10.9	2	3.6	7	12.7
	31-40	30	20	66.7	10	33.3	24	80.0	3	10.0	1	3.3	2	6.7
	41-50	22	15	68.2	7	31.8	22	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	21	17	81.0	4	19.0	20	95.2	0	0.0	1	4.8	0	0.0
	61-70	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	18	94.7	0	0.0	1	5.3	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	159	124	78.0	35	22.0	134	84.3	10	6.3	6	3.8	9	5.7

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	44	36	81.8	8	18.2	11	25.0	25	56.8	3	6.8	5	11.4
	18-20	403	341	84.6	62	15.4	81	20.1	285	70.7	16	4.0	21	5.2
	21-30	3306	2500	75.6	806	24.4	709	21.4	2161	65.4	276	8.3	160	4.8
	31-40	1878	1483	79.0	395	21.0	490	26.1	1113	59.3	177	9.4	98	5.2
	41-50	1045	830	79.4	215	20.6	316	30.2	598	57.2	81	7.8	50	4.8
	51-60	707	545	77.1	162	22.9	321	45.4	282	39.9	70	9.9	34	4.8
	61-70	257	189	73.5	68	26.5	148	57.6	87	33.9	17	6.6	5	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	66	51	77.3	15	22.7	48	72.7	9	13.6	7	10.6	2	3.0
	TOTAL	7706	5975	77.5	1731	22.5	2124	27.6	4560	59.2	647	8.4	375	4.9
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	20	16	80.0	4	20.0	10	50.0	7	35.0	2	10.0	1	5.0
	18-20	155	115	74.2	40	25.8	56	36.1	67	43.2	13	8.4	19	12.3
	21-30	1675	1182	70.6	493	29.4	604	36.1	502	30.0	333	19.9	236	14.1
	31-40	1058	752	71.1	306	28.9	390	36.9	272	25.7	230	21.7	166	15.7
	41-50	566	412	72.8	154	27.2	243	42.9	136	24.0	127	22.4	60	10.6
	51-60	383	285	74.4	98	25.6	194	50.7	65	17.0	95	24.8	29	7.6
	61-70	123	98	79.7	25	20.3	69	56.1	12	9.8	30	24.4	12	9.8
	71 & ABOVE	22	18	81.8	4	18.2	13	59.1	3	13.6	5	22.7	1	4.5
	TOTAL	4002	2878	71.9	1124	28.1	1579	39.5	1064	26.6	835	20.9	524	13.1
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	34	27	79.4	7	20.6	7	20.6	26	76.5	1	2.9	0	0.0
	21-30	201	164	81.6	37	18.4	44	21.9	147	73.1	2	1.0	8	4.0
	31-40	78	70	89.7	8	10.3	16	20.5	59	75.6	1	1.3	2	2.6
	41-50	56	44	78.6	12	21.4	15	26.8	39	69.6	1	1.8	1	1.8
	51-60	37	32	86.5	5	13.5	15	40.5	17	45.9	0	0.0	5	13.5
	61-70	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	11	61.1	6	33.3	1	5.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	426	354	83.1	72	16.9	109	25.6	295	69.2	6	1.4	16	3.8
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	17	11	64.7	6	35.3	4	23.5	10	58.8	1	5.9	2	11.8
	18-20	325	259	79.7	66	20.3	40	12.3	250	76.9	23	7.1	12	3.7
	21-30	3314	2498	75.4	816	24.6	702	21.2	2123	64.1	331	10.0	158	4.8
	31-40	1944	1550	79.7	394	20.3	481	24.7	1111	57.2	269	13.8	83	4.3
	41-50	1029	824	80.1	205	19.9	274	26.6	555	53.9	147	14.3	53	5.2
	51-60	683	546	79.9	137	20.1	257	37.6	288	42.2	115	16.8	23	3.4
	61-70	243	204	84.0	39	16.0	101	41.6	94	38.7	37	15.2	11	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	44	39	88.6	5	11.4	22	50.0	12	27.3	7	15.9	3	6.8
	TOTAL	7599	5931	78.0	1668	22.0	1881	24.8	4443	58.5	930	12.2	345	4.5

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	34	25	73.5	9	26.5	7	20.6	17	50.0	5	14.7	5	14.7
	18-20	450	343	76.2	107	23.8	134	29.8	254	56.4	27	6.0	35	7.8
	21-30	4355	3225	74.1	1130	25.9	1461	33.5	2106	48.4	417	9.6	371	8.5
	31-40	2122	1621	76.4	501	23.6	876	41.3	872	41.1	206	9.7	168	7.9
	41-50	1165	877	75.3	288	24.7	520	44.6	461	39.6	92	7.9	92	7.9
	51-60	866	671	77.5	195	22.5	491	56.7	252	29.1	73	8.4	50	5.8
	61-70	338	252	74.6	86	25.4	220	65.1	75	22.2	23	6.8	20	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	83	54	65.1	29	34.9	61	73.5	14	16.9	3	3.6	5	6.0
	TOTAL	9413	7068	75.1	2345	24.9	3770	40.1	4051	43.0	846	9.0	746	7.9
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	31	25	80.6	6	19.4	10	32.3	13	41.9	2	6.5	6	19.4
	21-30	424	323	76.2	101	23.8	136	32.1	131	30.9	66	15.6	91	21.5
	31-40	228	182	79.8	46	20.2	90	39.5	60	26.3	38	16.7	40	17.5
	41-50	108	95	88.0	13	12.0	44	40.7	20	18.5	28	25.9	16	14.8
	51-60	88	72	81.8	16	18.2	39	44.3	13	14.8	22	25.0	14	15.9
	61-70	21	14	66.7	7	33.3	12	57.1	1	4.8	7	33.3	1	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	4	66.7	1	16.7	1	16.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	909	720	79.2	189	20.8	337	37.1	240	26.4	164	18.0	168	18.5
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	11	9	81.8	2	18.2	1	9.1	9	81.8	0	0.0	1	9.1
	18-20	117	93	79.5	24	20.5	29	24.8	73	62.4	8	6.8	7	6.0
	21-30	812	606	74.6	206	25.4	208	25.6	436	53.7	81	10.0	87	10.7
	31-40	549	439	80.0	110	20.0	141	25.7	277	50.5	64	11.7	67	12.2
	41-50	330	255	77.3	75	22.7	102	30.9	152	46.1	41	12.4	35	10.6
	51-60	186	139	74.7	47	25.3	79	42.5	57	30.6	26	14.0	24	12.9
	61-70	67	56	83.6	11	16.4	32	47.8	21	31.3	9	13.4	5	7.5
	71 & ABOVE	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	12	80.0	1	6.7	0	0.0	2	13.3
	TOTAL	2087	1611	77.2	476	22.8	604	28.9	1026	49.2	229	11.0	228	10.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	5	62.5	1	12.5	1	12.5	1	12.5
	18-20	101	78	77.2	23	22.8	47	46.5	39	38.6	3	3.0	12	11.9
	21-30	734	566	77.1	168	22.9	383	52.2	294	40.1	14	1.9	43	5.9
	31-40	406	300	73.9	106	26.1	233	57.4	138	34.0	10	2.5	25	6.2
	41-50	241	180	74.7	61	25.3	141	58.5	80	33.2	9	3.7	11	4.6
	51-60	194	122	62.9	72	37.1	149	76.8	35	18.0	2	1.0	8	4.1
	61-70	98	69	70.4	29	29.6	79	80.6	14	14.3	1	1.0	4	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	14	82.4	3	17.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1799	1335	74.2	464	25.8	1051	58.4	604	33.6	40	2.2	104	5.8

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	2	40.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
	18-20	101	81	80.2	20	19.8	17	16.8	61	60.4	1	1.0	22	21.8
	21-30	963	741	76.9	222	23.1	244	25.3	454	47.1	39	4.0	226	23.5
	31-40	613	486	79.3	127	20.7	197	32.1	278	45.4	32	5.2	106	17.3
	41-50	321	235	73.2	86	26.8	123	38.3	101	31.5	20	6.2	77	24.0
	51-60	258	188	72.9	70	27.1	145	56.2	62	24.0	22	8.5	29	11.2
	61-70	85	61	71.8	24	28.2	59	69.4	10	11.8	8	9.4	8	9.4
	71 & ABOVE	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	15	83.3	3	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2364	1809	76.5	555	23.5	802	33.9	971	41.1	122	5.2	469	19.8
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	19	19	100.0	0	0.0	1	5.3	17	89.5	0	0.0	1	5.3
	18-20	129	112	86.8	17	13.2	23	17.8	100	77.5	4	3.1	2	1.6
	21-30	817	674	82.5	143	17.5	197	24.1	549	67.2	27	3.3	44	5.4
	31-40	388	317	81.7	71	18.3	117	30.2	249	64.2	7	1.8	15	3.9
	41-50	219	178	81.3	41	18.7	71	32.4	129	58.9	8	3.7	11	5.0
	51-60	155	113	72.9	42	27.1	88	56.8	56	36.1	5	3.2	6	3.9
	61-70	75	51	68.0	24	32.0	54	72.0	16	21.3	3	4.0	2	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	20	15	75.0	5	25.0	17	85.0	3	15.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1822	1479	81.2	343	18.8	568	31.2	1119	61.4	54	3.0	81	4.4
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	2	20.0	5	50.0	0	0.0	3	30.0
	18-20	244	198	81.1	46	18.9	49	20.1	159	65.2	10	4.1	26	10.7
	21-30	1964	1506	76.7	458	23.3	346	17.6	1192	60.7	90	4.6	336	17.1
	31-40	1022	840	82.2	182	17.8	226	22.1	563	55.1	59	5.8	174	17.0
	41-50	575	461	80.2	114	19.8	153	26.6	304	52.9	29	5.0	89	15.5
	51-60	322	250	77.6	72	22.4	119	37.0	123	38.2	21	6.5	59	18.3
	61-70	137	112	81.8	25	18.2	75	54.7	31	22.6	4	2.9	27	19.7
	71 & ABOVE	29	25	86.2	4	13.8	9	31.0	10	34.5	2	6.9	8	27.6
	TOTAL	4303	3401	79.0	902	21.0	979	22.8	2387	55.5	215	5.0	722	16.8
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	115	77	67.0	38	33.0	48	41.7	61	53.0	0	0.0	6	5.2
	21-30	652	490	75.2	162	24.8	292	44.8	311	47.7	20	3.1	29	4.4
	31-40	316	234	74.1	82	25.9	153	48.4	142	44.9	9	2.8	12	3.8
	41-50	207	142	68.6	65	31.4	129	62.3	74	35.7	1	0.5	3	1.4
	51-60	129	94	72.9	35	27.1	101	78.3	22	17.1	2	1.6	4	3.1
	61-70	74	53	71.6	21	28.4	60	81.1	13	17.6	0	0.0	1	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1508	1101	73.0	407	27.0	796	52.8	625	41.4	32	2.1	55	3.6

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	35	29	82.9	6	17.1	30	85.7	2	5.7	0	0.0	3	8.6
	21-30	259	181	69.9	78	30.1	211	81.5	30	11.6	7	2.7	11	4.2
	31-40	197	149	75.6	48	24.4	159	80.7	14	7.1	6	3.0	18	9.1
	41-50	118	89	75.4	29	24.6	100	84.7	7	5.9	4	3.4	7	5.9
	51-60	94	69	73.4	25	26.6	83	88.3	4	4.3	2	2.1	5	5.3
	61-70	55	43	78.2	12	21.8	51	92.7	3	5.5	0	0.0	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1
	TOTAL	770	570	74.0	200	26.0	645	83.8	60	7.8	19	2.5	46	6.0
SIERRA	21-30	10	7	70.0	3	30.0	7	70.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	30.0
	31-40	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3
	41-50	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	TOTAL	23	17	73.9	6	26.1	16	69.6	2	8.7	0	0.0	5	21.7
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	7	70.0	3	30.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	95	72	75.8	23	24.2	72	75.8	9	9.5	5	5.3	9	9.5
	31-40	64	47	73.4	17	26.6	45	70.3	8	12.5	5	7.8	6	9.4
	41-50	49	39	79.6	10	20.4	36	73.5	6	12.2	2	4.1	5	10.2
	51-60	40	28	70.0	12	30.0	33	82.5	2	5.0	0	0.0	5	12.5
	61-70	25	22	88.0	3	12.0	20	80.0	3	12.0	1	4.0	1	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	3	42.9	4	57.1	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	291	220	75.6	71	24.4	221	75.9	31	10.7	13	4.5	26	8.9
SOLANO	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	2	25.0	4	50.0	1	12.5	1	12.5
	18-20	77	52	67.5	25	32.5	23	29.9	29	37.7	12	15.6	13	16.9
	21-30	710	513	72.3	197	27.7	240	33.8	211	29.7	161	22.7	98	13.8
	31-40	513	390	76.0	123	24.0	185	36.1	156	30.4	120	23.4	52	10.1
	41-50	253	183	72.3	70	27.7	85	33.6	59	23.3	83	32.8	26	10.3
	51-60	166	122	73.5	44	26.5	82	49.4	21	12.7	50	30.1	13	7.8
	61-70	65	47	72.3	18	27.7	36	55.4	8	12.3	16	24.6	5	7.7
	71 & ABOVE	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	10	71.4	0	0.0	2	14.3	2	14.3
	TOTAL	1806	1327	73.5	479	26.5	663	36.7	488	27.0	445	24.6	210	11.6

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	17	12	70.6	5	29.4	9	52.9	7	41.2	0	0.0	1	5.9
	18-20	125	95	76.0	30	24.0	47	37.6	70	56.0	4	3.2	4	3.2
	21-30	894	685	76.6	209	23.4	401	44.9	410	45.9	35	3.9	48	5.4
	31-40	558	431	77.2	127	22.8	289	51.8	220	39.4	23	4.1	26	4.7
	41-50	301	224	74.4	77	25.6	155	51.5	124	41.2	10	3.3	12	4.0
	51-60	263	200	76.0	63	24.0	195	74.1	45	17.1	8	3.0	15	5.7
	61-70	125	84	67.2	41	32.8	102	81.6	14	11.2	2	1.6	7	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	39	26	66.7	13	33.3	38	97.4	0	0.0	1	2.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2322	1757	75.7	565	24.3	1236	53.2	890	38.3	83	3.6	113	4.9
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	3	37.5	5	62.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	127	101	79.5	26	20.5	24	18.9	94	74.0	6	4.7	3	2.4
	21-30	855	671	78.5	184	21.5	220	25.7	533	62.3	34	4.0	68	8.0
	31-40	498	400	80.3	98	19.7	136	27.3	293	58.8	36	7.2	33	6.6
	41-50	261	213	81.6	48	18.4	90	34.5	145	55.6	17	6.5	9	3.4
	51-60	157	121	77.1	36	22.9	81	51.6	60	38.2	6	3.8	10	6.4
	61-70	64	48	75.0	16	25.0	34	53.1	22	34.4	2	3.1	6	9.4
	71 & ABOVE	14	11	78.6	3	21.4	9	64.3	5	35.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1984	1572	79.2	412	20.8	597	30.1	1157	58.3	101	5.1	129	6.5
SUTTER	UNDER 18	7	4	57.1	3	42.9	2	28.6	3	42.9	0	0.0	2	28.6
	18-20	27	20	74.1	7	25.9	10	37.0	10	37.0	2	7.4	5	18.5
	21-30	214	174	81.3	40	18.7	84	39.3	91	42.5	8	3.7	31	14.5
	31-40	133	110	82.7	23	17.3	60	45.1	49	36.8	8	6.0	16	12.0
	41-50	69	47	68.1	22	31.9	37	53.6	23	33.3	3	4.3	6	8.7
	51-60	40	30	75.0	10	25.0	28	70.0	6	15.0	2	5.0	4	10.0
	61-70	17	6	35.3	11	64.7	9	52.9	4	23.5	3	17.6	1	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	511	393	76.9	118	23.1	234	45.8	186	36.4	26	5.1	65	12.7
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	22	17	77.3	5	22.7	10	45.5	10	45.5	1	4.5	1	4.5
	21-30	130	112	86.2	18	13.8	64	49.2	58	44.6	2	1.5	6	4.6
	31-40	96	79	82.3	17	17.7	52	54.2	35	36.5	3	3.1	6	6.3
	41-50	57	46	80.7	11	19.3	36	63.2	16	28.1	2	3.5	3	5.3
	51-60	51	41	80.4	10	19.6	43	84.3	7	13.7	0	0.0	1	2.0
	61-70	25	17	68.0	8	32.0	22	88.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	1	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	391	319	81.6	72	18.4	235	60.1	130	33.2	8	2.0	18	4.6

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TRINITY	18-20	5	3	60.0	2	40.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	52	44	84.6	8	15.4	47	90.4	4	7.7	0	0.0	1	1.9
	31-40	34	29	85.3	5	14.7	33	97.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.9
	41-50	21	19	90.5	2	9.5	18	85.7	2	9.5	0	0.0	1	4.8
	51-60	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	21	91.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	8.7
	61-70	9	6	66.7	3	33.3	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	146	124	84.9	22	15.1	135	92.5	6	4.1	0	0.0	5	3.4
TULARE	UNDER 18	12	10	83.3	2	16.7	2	16.7	9	75.0	0	0.0	1	8.3
	18-20	169	144	85.2	25	14.8	17	10.1	143	84.6	5	3.0	4	2.4
	21-30	1143	893	78.1	250	21.9	159	13.9	898	78.6	35	3.1	51	4.5
	31-40	619	472	76.3	147	23.7	111	17.9	475	76.7	16	2.6	17	2.7
	41-50	318	245	77.0	73	23.0	80	25.2	213	67.0	6	1.9	19	6.0
	51-60	173	134	77.5	39	22.5	53	30.6	110	63.6	4	2.3	6	3.5
	61-70	67	53	79.1	14	20.9	18	26.9	40	59.7	7	10.4	2	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	6	60.0	4	40.0	4	40.0	3	30.0	2	20.0	1	10.0
	TOTAL	2511	1957	77.9	554	22.1	444	17.7	1891	75.3	75	3.0	101	4.0
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	10	5	50.0	5	50.0	6	60.0	3	30.0	1	10.0	0	0.0
	21-30	110	81	73.6	29	26.4	82	74.5	19	17.3	4	3.6	5	4.5
	31-40	72	50	69.4	22	30.6	59	81.9	11	15.3	0	0.0	2	2.8
	41-50	61	46	75.4	15	24.6	53	86.9	6	9.8	1	1.6	1	1.6
	51-60	51	38	74.5	13	25.5	49	96.1	1	2.0	1	2.0	0	0.0
	61-70	22	15	68.2	7	31.8	20	90.9	2	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	7	87.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5
	TOTAL	335	241	71.9	94	28.1	277	82.7	42	12.5	7	2.1	9	2.7
VENTURA	UNDER 18	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	3	20.0	10	66.7	0	0.0	2	13.3
	18-20	164	139	84.8	25	15.2	54	32.9	101	61.6	2	1.2	7	4.3
	21-30	1431	1095	76.5	336	23.5	432	30.2	873	61.0	45	3.1	81	5.7
	31-40	783	642	82.0	141	18.0	234	29.9	479	61.2	28	3.6	42	5.4
	41-50	474	364	76.8	110	23.2	183	38.6	261	55.1	17	3.6	13	2.7
	51-60	320	236	73.8	84	26.2	169	52.8	115	35.9	16	5.0	20	6.3
	61-70	122	95	77.9	27	22.1	85	69.7	31	25.4	4	3.3	2	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	22	91.7	1	4.2	1	4.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3333	2603	78.1	730	21.9	1182	35.5	1871	56.1	113	3.4	167	5.0

TABLE B1: 2018 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, GENDER, AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

			GENDER				RACE/ETHNICITY							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	31	27	87.1	4	12.9	13	41.9	18	58.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	220	177	80.5	43	19.5	67	30.5	110	50.0	14	6.4	29	13.2
	31-40	132	107	81.1	25	18.9	59	44.7	50	37.9	7	5.3	16	12.1
	41-50	69	48	69.6	21	30.4	28	40.6	29	42.0	6	8.7	6	8.7
	51-60	53	40	75.5	13	24.5	31	58.5	15	28.3	3	5.7	4	7.5
	61-70	17	9	52.9	8	47.1	12	70.6	4	23.5	1	5.9	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	528	414	78.4	114	21.6	212	40.2	230	43.6	31	5.9	55	10.4
YUBA	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	3	60.0	2	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	15	12	80.0	3	20.0	7	46.7	6	40.0	1	6.7	1	6.7
	21-30	130	106	81.5	24	18.5	76	58.5	36	27.7	5	3.8	13	10.0
	31-40	75	52	69.3	23	30.7	50	66.7	18	24.0	3	4.0	4	5.3
	41-50	48	36	75.0	12	25.0	31	64.6	12	25.0	5	10.4	0	0.0
	51-60	29	21	72.4	8	27.6	20	69.0	6	20.7	1	3.4	2	6.9
	61-70	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	14	77.8	3	16.7	0	0.0	1	5.6
	71 & ABOVE	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	5	83.3	1	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	326	250	76.7	76	23.3	206	63.2	84	25.8	15	4.6	21	6.4

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
STATEWIDE		93606	100.0	71589	76.5	22017	23.5
ALAMEDA	18-20	35	1.6	27	1.6	8	1.4
	21-30	886	40.1	651	39.6	235	41.7
	31-40	642	29.1	463	28.1	179	31.8
	41-50	321	14.5	254	15.4	67	11.9
	51-60	235	10.6	173	10.5	62	11.0
	61-70	76	3.4	64	3.9	12	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.6	14	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2209	100.0	1646	100.0	563	100.0
ALPINE	18-20	1	8.3	1	10.0	0	0.0
	21-30	4	33.3	3	30.0	1	50.0
	31-40	1	8.3	1	10.0	0	0.0
	41-50	3	25.0	3	30.0	0	0.0
	51-60	3	25.0	2	20.0	1	50.0
	TOTAL	12	100.0	10	100.0	2	100.0
AMADOR	21-30	46	34.3	33	35.1	13	32.5
	31-40	32	23.9	20	21.3	12	30.0
	41-50	15	11.2	10	10.6	5	12.5
	51-60	19	14.2	15	16.0	4	10.0
	61-70	18	13.4	13	13.8	5	12.5
	71 & ABOVE	4	3.0	3	3.2	1	2.5
	TOTAL	134	100.0	94	100.0	40	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	33	3.7	28	4.2	5	2.1
	21-30	378	41.8	281	41.9	97	41.5
	31-40	211	23.3	160	23.9	51	21.8
	41-50	129	14.3	93	13.9	36	15.4
	51-60	85	9.4	56	8.4	29	12.4
	61-70	53	5.9	38	5.7	15	6.4
	71 & ABOVE	13	1.4	12	1.8	1	0.4
	TOTAL	904	100.0	670	100.0	234	100.0
CALAVERAS	18-20	4	2.2	3	2.3	1	2.2
	21-30	56	31.5	46	34.6	10	22.2
	31-40	37	20.8	29	21.8	8	17.8
	41-50	29	16.3	20	15.0	9	20.0
	51-60	38	21.3	27	20.3	11	24.4
	61-70	11	6.2	7	5.3	4	8.9
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.7	1	0.8	2	4.4
	TOTAL	178	100.0	133	100.0	45	100.0
COLUSA	18-20	3	3.0	3	3.7	0	0.0
	21-30	36	36.4	30	37.0	6	33.3
	31-40	23	23.2	21	25.9	2	11.1
	41-50	15	15.2	12	14.8	3	16.7
	51-60	13	13.1	9	11.1	4	22.2
	61-70	8	8.1	6	7.4	2	11.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.0	0	0.0	1	5.6
	TOTAL	99	100.0	81	100.0	18	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	2	0.1	1	0.1	1	0.2
	18-20	31	1.9	23	1.9	8	1.8
	21-30	610	37.0	438	36.5	172	38.3
	31-40	438	26.6	322	26.8	116	25.8
	41-50	279	16.9	202	16.8	77	17.1
	51-60	209	12.7	157	13.1	52	11.6
	61-70	69	4.2	47	3.9	22	4.9
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.7	10	0.8	1	0.2
	TOTAL	1649	100.0	1200	100.0	449	100.0
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	2	1.8	2	2.5	0	0.0
	18-20	4	3.7	1	1.2	3	10.3
	21-30	39	35.8	32	40.0	7	24.1
	31-40	28	25.7	23	28.7	5	17.2
	41-50	15	13.8	10	12.5	5	17.2
	51-60	17	15.6	8	10.0	9	31.0
	61-70	4	3.7	4	5.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	109	100.0	80	100.0	29	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	12	1.9	8	1.8	4	2.1
	21-30	206	32.1	155	34.4	51	26.7
	31-40	163	25.4	118	26.2	45	23.6
	41-50	102	15.9	64	14.2	38	19.9
	51-60	92	14.4	53	11.8	39	20.4
	61-70	52	8.1	41	9.1	11	5.8
	71 & ABOVE	12	1.9	9	2.0	3	1.6
	TOTAL	641	100.0	450	100.0	191	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	9	0.3	9	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	133	4.2	104	4.2	29	4.1
	21-30	1416	44.5	1076	43.5	340	48.0
	31-40	850	26.7	655	26.5	195	27.5
	41-50	402	12.6	328	13.3	74	10.5
	51-60	268	8.4	220	8.9	48	6.8
	61-70	91	2.9	70	2.8	21	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.4	13	0.5	1	0.1
	TOTAL	3183	100.0	2475	100.0	708	100.0
GLENN	18-20	6	4.8	6	6.2	0	0.0
	21-30	39	31.2	29	29.9	10	35.7
	31-40	29	23.2	24	24.7	5	17.9
	41-50	16	12.8	12	12.4	4	14.3
	51-60	22	17.6	17	17.5	5	17.9
	61-70	10	8.0	7	7.2	3	10.7
	71 & ABOVE	3	2.4	2	2.1	1	3.6
	TOTAL	125	100.0	97	100.0	28	100.0
HUMBOLDT	18-20	14	1.9	11	2.1	3	1.5
	21-30	320	44.3	228	43.4	92	46.7
	31-40	201	27.8	151	28.8	50	25.4
	41-50	99	13.7	67	12.8	32	16.2
	51-60	52	7.2	40	7.6	12	6.1
	61-70	29	4.0	22	4.2	7	3.6
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.0	6	1.1	1	0.5
	TOTAL	722	100.0	525	100.0	197	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
IMPERIAL	18-20	20	4.8	17	4.9	3	4.2
	21-30	156	37.1	124	35.5	32	45.1
	31-40	112	26.7	93	26.6	19	26.8
	41-50	66	15.7	60	17.2	6	8.5
	51-60	46	11.0	39	11.2	7	9.9
	61-70	15	3.6	12	3.4	3	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	5	1.2	4	1.1	1	1.4
	TOTAL	420	100.0	349	100.0	71	100.0
INYO	18-20	1	1.0	1	1.2	0	0.0
	21-30	43	41.0	31	38.3	12	50.0
	31-40	17	16.2	14	17.3	3	12.5
	41-50	16	15.2	13	16.0	3	12.5
	51-60	18	17.1	14	17.3	4	16.7
	61-70	9	8.6	7	8.6	2	8.3
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.0	1	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	105	100.0	81	100.0	24	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	5	0.2	5	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	131	4.8	119	5.6	12	2.0
	21-30	1252	45.8	955	44.9	297	48.9
	31-40	724	26.5	563	26.5	161	26.5
	41-50	344	12.6	268	12.6	76	12.5
	51-60	191	7.0	152	7.1	39	6.4
	61-70	73	2.7	53	2.5	20	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	13	0.5	11	0.5	2	0.3
	TOTAL	2733	100.0	2126	100.0	607	100.0
KINGS	18-20	19	4.1	14	3.9	5	5.0
	21-30	187	40.5	142	39.3	45	44.6
	31-40	124	26.8	98	27.1	26	25.7
	41-50	62	13.4	51	14.1	11	10.9
	51-60	51	11.0	39	10.8	12	11.9
	61-70	18	3.9	16	4.4	2	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	462	100.0	361	100.0	101	100.0
LAKE	UNDER 18	1	0.3	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	9	2.9	5	2.2	4	4.8
	21-30	96	31.0	72	31.9	24	28.6
	31-40	79	25.5	61	27.0	18	21.4
	41-50	45	14.5	34	15.0	11	13.1
	51-60	49	15.8	32	14.2	17	20.2
	61-70	24	7.7	16	7.1	8	9.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	2.3	5	2.2	2	2.4
	TOTAL	310	100.0	226	100.0	84	100.0
LASSEN	18-20	2	3.5	0	0.0	2	18.2
	21-30	17	29.8	16	34.8	1	9.1
	31-40	10	17.5	9	19.6	1	9.1
	41-50	7	12.3	5	10.9	2	18.2
	51-60	14	24.6	9	19.6	5	45.5
	61-70	7	12.3	7	15.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	57	100.0	46	100.0	11	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
LOS ANGELES	18-20	442	2.5	334	2.4	108	2.7
	21-30	7864	43.7	5844	41.8	2020	50.4
	31-40	4739	26.4	3774	27.0	965	24.1
	41-50	2644	14.7	2135	15.3	509	12.7
	51-60	1652	9.2	1364	9.8	288	7.2
	61-70	543	3.0	444	3.2	99	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	100	0.6	80	0.6	20	0.5
	TOTAL	17984	100.0	13975	100.0	4009	100.0
MADERA	18-20	18	3.0	16	3.1	2	2.0
	21-30	248	40.7	214	42.1	34	33.7
	31-40	159	26.1	127	25.0	32	31.7
	41-50	100	16.4	84	16.5	16	15.8
	51-60	58	9.5	46	9.1	12	11.9
	61-70	19	3.1	16	3.1	3	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.1	5	1.0	2	2.0
	TOTAL	609	100.0	508	100.0	101	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	34	3.9	26	4.0	8	3.6
	21-30	297	34.4	238	37.0	59	26.8
	31-40	182	21.1	144	22.4	38	17.3
	41-50	161	18.7	110	17.1	51	23.2
	51-60	117	13.6	82	12.8	35	15.9
	61-70	56	6.5	32	5.0	24	10.9
	71 & ABOVE	15	1.7	10	1.6	5	2.3
	TOTAL	863	100.0	643	100.0	220	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	2	2.5	2	3.3	0	0.0
	21-30	21	26.2	18	29.5	3	15.8
	31-40	22	27.5	15	24.6	7	36.8
	41-50	15	18.8	12	19.7	3	15.8
	51-60	14	17.5	9	14.8	5	26.3
	61-70	5	6.3	4	6.6	1	5.3
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.2	1	1.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	80	100.0	61	100.0	19	100.0
MENDOCINO	18-20	16	3.5	13	3.6	3	3.3
	21-30	164	36.4	132	36.8	32	34.8
	31-40	126	27.9	98	27.3	28	30.4
	41-50	62	13.7	49	13.6	13	14.1
	51-60	55	12.2	44	12.3	11	12.0
	61-70	22	4.9	18	5.0	4	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.3	5	1.4	1	1.1
	TOTAL	451	100.0	359	100.0	92	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	29	3.2	24	3.3	5	2.8
	21-30	400	44.5	314	43.7	86	47.5
	31-40	247	27.5	196	27.3	51	28.2
	41-50	119	13.2	98	13.6	21	11.6
	51-60	72	8.0	58	8.1	14	7.7
	61-70	28	3.1	25	3.5	3	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.3	2	0.3	1	0.6
	TOTAL	899	100.0	718	100.0	181	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
MODOC	18-20	1	3.6	1	4.5	0	0.0
	21-30	9	32.1	7	31.8	2	33.3
	31-40	6	21.4	4	18.2	2	33.3
	41-50	4	14.3	3	13.6	1	16.7
	51-60	5	17.9	4	18.2	1	16.7
	61-70	3	10.7	3	13.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	28	100.0	22	100.0	6	100.0
MONO	21-30	30	33.7	29	38.2	1	7.7
	31-40	27	30.3	25	32.9	2	15.4
	41-50	11	12.4	8	10.5	3	23.1
	51-60	10	11.2	6	7.9	4	30.8
	61-70	10	11.2	7	9.2	3	23.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.1	1	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	89	100.0	76	100.0	13	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	2	0.1	2	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	71	4.8	58	4.9	13	4.6
	21-30	655	44.4	529	44.5	126	44.4
	31-40	376	25.5	321	27.0	55	19.4
	41-50	196	13.3	151	12.7	45	15.8
	51-60	105	7.1	78	6.6	27	9.5
	61-70	60	4.1	47	3.9	13	4.6
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.6	4	0.3	5	1.8
	TOTAL	1474	100.0	1190	100.0	284	100.0
NAPA	18-20	14	2.4	10	2.2	4	3.0
	21-30	215	36.7	159	35.2	56	41.8
	31-40	139	23.7	113	25.0	26	19.4
	41-50	94	16.0	78	17.3	16	11.9
	51-60	73	12.5	55	12.2	18	13.4
	61-70	39	6.7	29	6.4	10	7.5
	71 & ABOVE	12	2.0	8	1.8	4	3.0
	TOTAL	586	100.0	452	100.0	134	100.0
NEVADA	18-20	6	1.4	5	1.7	1	0.9
	21-30	142	34.0	103	34.0	39	33.9
	31-40	99	23.7	76	25.1	23	20.0
	41-50	64	15.3	45	14.9	19	16.5
	51-60	73	17.5	49	16.2	24	20.9
	61-70	28	6.7	20	6.6	8	7.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	1.4	5	1.7	1	0.9
	TOTAL	418	100.0	303	100.0	115	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	8	0.1	7	0.1	1	0.0
	18-20	292	3.2	232	3.3	60	2.8
	21-30	4158	45.7	3122	44.8	1036	48.6
	31-40	2158	23.7	1729	24.8	429	20.1
	41-50	1227	13.5	960	13.8	267	12.5
	51-60	904	9.9	658	9.4	246	11.5
	61-70	287	3.2	214	3.1	73	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	66	0.7	48	0.7	18	0.8
	TOTAL	9100	100.0	6970	100.0	2130	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
PLACER	UNDER 18	1	0.1	0	0.0	1	0.3
	18-20	37	3.4	31	4.0	6	2.0
	21-30	408	37.8	308	39.5	100	33.3
	31-40	252	23.3	178	22.8	74	24.7
	41-50	183	16.9	126	16.2	57	19.0
	51-60	135	12.5	92	11.8	43	14.3
	61-70	54	5.0	36	4.6	18	6.0
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.9	9	1.2	1	0.3
	TOTAL	1080	100.0	780	100.0	300	100.0
PLUMAS	18-20	9	11.0	6	11.5	3	10.0
	21-30	28	34.1	18	34.6	10	33.3
	31-40	20	24.4	12	23.1	8	26.7
	41-50	7	8.5	6	11.5	1	3.3
	51-60	9	11.0	5	9.6	4	13.3
	61-70	7	8.5	4	7.7	3	10.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2.4	1	1.9	1	3.3
	TOTAL	82	100.0	52	100.0	30	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	1	0.0	1	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	206	3.3	174	3.7	32	2.2
	21-30	2777	44.9	2092	44.2	685	47.4
	31-40	1462	23.7	1146	24.2	316	21.9
	41-50	841	13.6	648	13.7	193	13.4
	51-60	628	10.2	477	10.1	151	10.4
	61-70	214	3.5	160	3.4	54	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	50	0.8	36	0.8	14	1.0
	TOTAL	6179	100.0	4734	100.0	1445	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	2	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.1
	18-20	130	3.0	93	2.9	37	3.1
	21-30	1916	43.6	1379	43.1	537	44.8
	31-40	1142	26.0	847	26.5	295	24.6
	41-50	589	13.4	435	13.6	154	12.8
	51-60	422	9.6	292	9.1	130	10.8
	61-70	166	3.8	127	4.0	39	3.3
	71 & ABOVE	28	0.6	22	0.7	6	0.5
	TOTAL	4395	100.0	3196	100.0	1199	100.0
SAN BENITO	18-20	7	3.0	6	3.2	1	2.0
	21-30	96	41.0	72	38.9	24	49.0
	31-40	53	22.6	44	23.8	9	18.4
	41-50	46	19.7	37	20.0	9	18.4
	51-60	18	7.7	16	8.6	2	4.1
	61-70	9	3.8	7	3.8	2	4.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	2.1	3	1.6	2	4.1
	TOTAL	234	100.0	185	100.0	49	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	2	0.0	2	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	114	2.3	94	2.4	20	1.8
	21-30	2250	44.6	1693	43.3	557	49.0
	31-40	1308	25.9	1039	26.5	269	23.7
	41-50	678	13.4	515	13.2	163	14.3
	51-60	491	9.7	402	10.3	89	7.8
	61-70	179	3.5	144	3.7	35	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	28	0.6	25	0.6	3	0.3
	TOTAL	5050	100.0	3914	100.0	1136	100.0
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	14	0.2	11	0.2	3	0.2
	18-20	274	3.5	227	3.9	47	2.4
	21-30	3572	45.4	2638	44.8	934	47.2
	31-40	1838	23.4	1379	23.4	459	23.2
	41-50	1069	13.6	805	13.7	264	13.3
	51-60	771	9.8	579	9.8	192	9.7
	61-70	287	3.6	220	3.7	67	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	41	0.5	27	0.5	14	0.7
	TOTAL	7866	100.0	5886	100.0	1980	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	5	1.2	2	0.6	3	3.0
	21-30	146	34.8	110	34.6	36	35.6
	31-40	133	31.7	95	29.9	38	37.6
	41-50	72	17.2	57	17.9	15	14.9
	51-60	47	11.2	39	12.3	8	7.9
	61-70	13	3.1	12	3.8	1	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.7	3	0.9	0	0.0
	TOTAL	419	100.0	318	100.0	101	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	18-20	57	3.4	44	3.5	13	3.2
	21-30	664	39.8	499	39.5	165	40.7
	31-40	460	27.6	357	28.3	103	25.4
	41-50	238	14.3	176	13.9	62	15.3
	51-60	164	9.8	119	9.4	45	11.1
	61-70	74	4.4	60	4.8	14	3.5
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.7	8	0.6	3	0.7
	TOTAL	1668	100.0	1263	100.0	405	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	4	0.3	4	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	51	3.4	35	3.2	16	4.1
	21-30	619	41.4	470	42.6	149	38.2
	31-40	356	23.8	267	24.2	89	22.8
	41-50	220	14.7	161	14.6	59	15.1
	51-60	154	10.3	102	9.2	52	13.3
	61-70	78	5.2	55	5.0	23	5.9
	71 & ABOVE	12	0.8	10	0.9	2	0.5
	TOTAL	1494	100.0	1104	100.0	390	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SAN MATEO	18-20	40	2.5	32	2.6	8	2.2
	21-30	616	38.3	468	37.6	148	40.8
	31-40	478	29.7	375	30.1	103	28.4
	41-50	232	14.4	180	14.4	52	14.3
	51-60	170	10.6	133	10.7	37	10.2
	61-70	62	3.9	48	3.9	14	3.9
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.7	10	0.8	1	0.3
	TOTAL	1609	100.0	1246	100.0	363	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	2	0.1	2	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	79	5.3	62	5.3	17	5.4
	21-30	688	46.1	547	46.4	141	44.8
	31-40	298	20.0	243	20.6	55	17.5
	41-50	175	11.7	145	12.3	30	9.5
	51-60	157	10.5	112	9.5	45	14.3
	61-70	80	5.4	55	4.7	25	7.9
	71 & ABOVE	14	0.9	12	1.0	2	0.6
	TOTAL	1493	100.0	1178	100.0	315	100.0
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	5	0.1	4	0.1	1	0.1
	18-20	111	3.2	84	3.1	27	3.7
	21-30	1527	44.2	1168	42.9	359	48.8
	31-40	893	25.8	747	27.4	146	19.9
	41-50	487	14.1	386	14.2	101	13.7
	51-60	317	9.2	239	8.8	78	10.6
	61-70	93	2.7	74	2.7	19	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	24	0.7	20	0.7	4	0.5
	TOTAL	3457	100.0	2722	100.0	735	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	4	0.4	3	0.4	1	0.4
	18-20	50	4.9	36	4.7	14	5.5
	21-30	443	43.6	329	43.1	114	44.9
	31-40	219	21.5	173	22.7	46	18.1
	41-50	131	12.9	97	12.7	34	13.4
	51-60	100	9.8	71	9.3	29	11.4
	61-70	56	5.5	42	5.5	14	5.5
	71 & ABOVE	14	1.4	12	1.6	2	0.8
	TOTAL	1017	100.0	763	100.0	254	100.0
SHASTA	18-20	20	3.3	13	2.9	7	4.2
	21-30	234	38.3	178	40.2	56	33.3
	31-40	173	28.3	130	29.3	43	25.6
	41-50	76	12.4	48	10.8	28	16.7
	51-60	70	11.5	46	10.4	24	14.3
	61-70	34	5.6	26	5.9	8	4.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.7	2	0.5	2	1.2
	TOTAL	611	100.0	443	100.0	168	100.0
SIERRA	21-30	4	40.0	3	42.9	1	33.3
	41-50	3	30.0	2	28.6	1	33.3
	51-60	2	20.0	1	14.3	1	33.3
	61-70	1	10.0	1	14.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	10	100.0	7	100.0	3	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
SISKIYOU	18-20	3	2.0	3	2.5	0	0.0
	21-30	38	25.0	30	25.4	8	23.5
	31-40	40	26.3	31	26.3	9	26.5
	41-50	28	18.4	21	17.8	7	20.6
	51-60	24	15.8	20	16.9	4	11.8
	61-70	18	11.8	12	10.2	6	17.6
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.7	1	0.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	152	100.0	118	100.0	34	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	21	2.0	18	2.4	3	1.1
	21-30	345	33.2	257	33.8	88	31.8
	31-40	304	29.3	227	29.8	77	27.8
	41-50	178	17.1	126	16.6	52	18.8
	51-60	137	13.2	96	12.6	41	14.8
	61-70	44	4.2	32	4.2	12	4.3
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.8	4	0.5	4	1.4
	TOTAL	1038	100.0	761	100.0	277	100.0
SONOMA	UNDER 18	4	0.3	3	0.3	1	0.3
	18-20	52	3.5	37	3.4	15	3.8
	21-30	563	37.8	424	38.5	139	35.6
	31-40	369	24.7	283	25.7	86	22.1
	41-50	210	14.1	156	14.2	54	13.8
	51-60	186	12.5	121	11.0	65	16.7
	61-70	85	5.7	60	5.4	25	6.4
	71 & ABOVE	22	1.5	17	1.5	5	1.3
	TOTAL	1491	100.0	1101	100.0	390	100.0
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	58	3.6	48	3.8	10	2.8
	21-30	739	45.9	548	43.8	191	53.2
	31-40	380	23.6	306	24.5	74	20.6
	41-50	204	12.7	154	12.3	50	13.9
	51-60	158	9.8	133	10.6	25	7.0
	61-70	61	3.8	52	4.2	9	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	8	0.5	8	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1609	100.0	1250	100.0	359	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	15	5.5	13	6.0	2	3.4
	21-30	119	43.3	96	44.2	23	39.7
	31-40	69	25.1	51	23.5	18	31.0
	41-50	40	14.5	32	14.7	8	13.8
	51-60	16	5.8	13	6.0	3	5.2
	61-70	13	4.7	10	4.6	3	5.2
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.7	1	0.5	1	1.7
	TOTAL	275	100.0	217	100.0	58	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
TEHAMA	18-20	8	4.4	8	5.7	0	0.0
	21-30	67	37.2	56	39.7	11	28.2
	31-40	36	20.0	25	17.7	11	28.2
	41-50	25	13.9	18	12.8	7	17.9
	51-60	25	13.9	20	14.2	5	12.8
	61-70	13	7.2	9	6.4	4	10.3
	71 & ABOVE	6	3.3	5	3.5	1	2.6
	TOTAL	180	100.0	141	100.0	39	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	2	3.4	2	3.9	0	0.0
	21-30	23	39.0	21	41.2	2	25.0
	31-40	17	28.8	14	27.5	3	37.5
	41-50	10	16.9	8	15.7	2	25.0
	51-60	3	5.1	3	5.9	0	0.0
	61-70	3	5.1	2	3.9	1	12.5
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.7	1	2.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	59	100.0	51	100.0	8	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	3	0.2	3	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	75	4.0	65	4.3	10	2.6
	21-30	886	47.1	690	46.1	196	51.0
	31-40	501	26.6	395	26.4	106	27.6
	41-50	233	12.4	191	12.8	42	10.9
	51-60	128	6.8	106	7.1	22	5.7
	61-70	48	2.6	42	2.8	6	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.3	4	0.3	2	0.5
	TOTAL	1880	100.0	1496	100.0	384	100.0
TUOLUMNE	18-20	7	3.0	7	3.9	0	0.0
	21-30	97	41.1	80	44.7	17	29.8
	31-40	50	21.2	37	20.7	13	22.8
	41-50	22	9.3	14	7.8	8	14.0
	51-60	27	11.4	16	8.9	11	19.3
	61-70	28	11.9	20	11.2	8	14.0
	71 & ABOVE	5	2.1	5	2.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	236	100.0	179	100.0	57	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	7	0.3	4	0.2	3	0.5
	18-20	121	4.5	94	4.6	27	4.2
	21-30	1160	43.2	877	43.0	283	43.9
	31-40	618	23.0	484	23.7	134	20.8
	41-50	347	12.9	266	13.0	81	12.6
	51-60	300	11.2	214	10.5	86	13.4
	61-70	113	4.2	89	4.4	24	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	19	0.7	13	0.6	6	0.9
	TOTAL	2685	100.0	2041	100.0	644	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	28	6.2	22	6.4	6	5.5
	21-30	197	43.3	148	42.9	49	44.5
	31-40	106	23.3	83	24.1	23	20.9
	41-50	52	11.4	35	10.1	17	15.5
	51-60	53	11.6	43	12.5	10	9.1
	61-70	17	3.7	12	3.5	5	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.2	1	0.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	455	100.0	345	100.0	110	100.0

TABLE B2: DUI CONVICTIONS FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, GENDER, AND AGE – continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	*N*	%	*N*	%
YUBA	18-20	9	3.6	6	3.5	3	3.8
	21-30	77	30.9	51	29.8	26	33.3
	31-40	73	29.3	57	33.3	16	20.5
	41-50	45	18.1	27	15.8	18	23.1
	51-60	31	12.4	19	11.1	12	15.4
	61-70	12	4.8	9	5.3	3	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.8	2	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	249	100.0	171	100.0	78	100.0

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE		89247	4041	318	11303	126	6
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND 1	4	1	0	0	567	50
	FREMONT	792	25	1	520	227	5
	PLEASANTON	89	19	3	51	467	15
	OAKLAND 2	1224	28	1	585	165	28
	HAYWARD	14	8	0	0	146	51
	TOTAL	2123	81	5	1156	200	14
ALPINE	ALPINE	12	0	0	2	44	7
	TOTAL	12	0	0	2	44	7
AMADOR	JACKSON	118	16	0	14	101	10
	TOTAL	118	16	0	14	101	10
BUTTE	BUTTE	863	32	4	113	119	6
	BUTTE JUV	5	0	0	0	139	91
	TOTAL	868	32	4	113	120	6
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	172	6	0	16	104	3
	TOTAL	172	6	0	16	104	3
COLUSA	COLUSA	96	3	0	24	72	8
	TOTAL	96	3	0	24	72	8
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA	26	15	0	0	340	38
	RICHMOND	364	7	0	0	198	4
	PITTSBURG	474	9	1	3	241	3
	WALNUT CREEK	744	8	1	0	288	5
	TOTAL	1608	39	2	3	250	4
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	104	4	1	28	83	73
	TOTAL	104	4	1	28	83	73
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	192	5	0	13	153	13
	PLACERVILLE	402	41	1	51	180	6
	TOTAL	594	46	1	64	169	7

[a]Violations of CVC 23153. They do not include 4th offenses of CVC 23152 (in 10 years) which are statutorily defined as violations of CVC 23153.
[b]Violations of CVC 23140.

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
FRESNO	FRESNO JUV	19	1	0	0	163	30
	FRESNO CENTRAL	2925	208	25	515	140	15
	USDT FRESNO	5	0	0	2	277	178
	TOTAL	2949	209	25	517	140	15
GLENN	GLENN	118	6	1	23	192	10
	TOTAL	118	6	1	23	192	10
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	704	15	3	145	170	10
	TOTAL	704	15	3	145	170	10
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL	1	0	0	0	70	30
	BRAWLEY	52	4	0	22	269	9
	EL CENTRO	324	13	8	96	264	8
	WINTERHAVEN	17	0	1	6	121	6
	TOTAL	394	17	9	124	262	8
INYO	BISHOP	102	3	0	19	106	2
	TOTAL	102	3	0	19	106	2
KERN	KERN	4	1	0	0	103	41
	KERN JUV	16	0	0	0	87	14
	LAMONT	190	8	5	94	44	1
	BAKERSFIELD	1894	38	5	312	67	10
	DELANO	163	8	0	20	36	3
	SHAFTER	122	1	1	14	65	1
	MOJAVE	184	5	1	70	73	0
	RIDGECREST	83	4	0	31	67	0
	TOTAL	2656	65	12	541	61	8
KINGS	KINGS TRAF	167	10	0	12	179	1
	HANFORD	273	11	1	22	318	3
	TOTAL	440	21	1	34	258	2
LAKE	LAKE	297	11	0	28	105	7
	CLEARLAKE	0	0	2	0	83	10
	TOTAL	297	11	2	28	104	7
LASSEN	SUSANVILLE	57	0	0	4	332	3
	TOTAL	57	0	0	4	332	3

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	28	20	0	0	182	6
	POMONA 1	11	6	0	0	226	5
	LANCASTER 1	24	21	0	0	228	6
	SAN FERNANDO 1	6	3	0	0	153	4
	PASADENA 1	6	4	0	0	306	8
	VAN NUYS 1	10	7	0	0	271	5
	LONG BEACH 1	9	3	0	0	195	7
	COMPTON 1	4	3	0	0	216	9
	NORWALK 1	5	7	0	0	401	8
	TORRANCE 1	13	6	0	0	279	10
	SANTA MONICA 1	4	4	0	0	446	18
	LOS ANGELES JUV	2	1	0	0	152	13
	EASTLAKE JUV	1	0	0	0	236	61
	LOS ANGELES AIRPRT	710	51	0	43	131	10
	ALHAMBRA	356	12	0	41	152	5
	LANCASTER 2	945	36	0	108	106	6
	BEVERLY HILLS	0	0	2	0	158	62
	BURBANK	199	3	0	22	119	19
	WEST COVINA	1176	33	2	68	122	5
	CHATSWORTH	0	0	1	0	115	0
	COMPTON 2	590	32	0	62	145	5
	DOWNEY	734	38	0	37	170	5
	EAST LOS ANGELES	631	0	1	38	133	6
	EL MONTE	481	11	1	24	170	6
	GLENDALE	366	7	0	52	190	5
	INGLEWOOD	416	14	1	41	152	10
	LONG BEACH 2	1106	24	1	66	93	5
	LOS ANGELES NORTH	6	6	0	0	465	24
	LA METRO	2920	26	8	475	67	9
	BELLFLOWER	1090	69	1	88	130	5
	SANTA CLARITA	782	2	8	77	147	5
	PASADENA 2	516	15	0	129	157	5
	POMONA 2	907	31	0	58	138	7

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont)	TORRANCE 2	813	17	1	108	116	6
	SAN FERNANDO 2	935	32	0	98	65	6
	VAN NUYS 2	1561	28	3	165	84	6
	USDT LOS ANGELES	19	0	0	0	166	38
	TOTAL	17382	572	30	1800	115	6
MADERA	MADERA	223	22	0	42	348	24
	CHOWCHILLA	361	1	2	70	410	9
	TOTAL	584	23	2	112	383	12
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	820	33	10	87	109	21
	TOTAL	820	33	10	87	109	21
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	79	1	0	9	100	3
	TOTAL	79	1	0	9	100	3
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	8	24	0	2	116	120
	UKIAH	328	2	1	30	105	42
	FORT BRAGG	82	6	0	13	63	51
	TOTAL	418	32	1	45	95	47
MERCED	MERCED JUV	1	0	0	0	129	9
	MERCED	617	65	4	70	196	3
	LOS BANOS	206	5	1	33	120	2
	TOTAL	824	70	5	103	177	3
MODOC	ALTURAS	27	0	1	3	76	13
	TOTAL	27	0	1	3	76	13
MONO	BRIDGEPORT	1	0	0	0	144	38
	MAMMOTH LAKES	84	4	0	18	162	7
	TOTAL	85	4	0	18	162	8
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	1188	51	1	182	99	28
	MONTEREY JUV	2	0	0	0	41	52
	MARINA	0	0	5	0	59	0
	SALINAS	226	1	0	12	29	7
	TOTAL	1416	52	6	194	78	23
NAPA	NAPA	567	16	3	86	101	2
	TOTAL	567	16	3	86	101	2

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	246	16	1	23	140	14
	TRUCKEE	148	6	1	24	84	8
	TOTAL	394	22	2	47	116	11
ORANGE	ORANGE JUV	17	4	0	0	129	27
	FULLERTON	2487	72	1	51	180	0
	WESTMINSTER	2391	113	3	109	196	0
	NEWPORT BEACH	2469	90	6	137	220	0
	SANTA ANA	1378	65	4	81	163	0
	TOTAL	8742	344	14	378	195	0
PLACER	PLACER JUV	3	0	0	0	172	7
	ROSEVILLE TRAFFIC	908	70	5	126	127	44
	TAHOE CITY	89	4	1	4	101	6
	TOTAL	1000	74	6	130	126	39
PLUMAS	QUINCY	75	5	2	4	81	6
	TOTAL	75	5	2	4	81	6
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	2577	123	0	96	169	1
	INDIO	1249	42	0	89	145	57
	RIVERSIDE JUV	1	0	0	0	0	34
	INDIO JUV	1	0	0	0	606	1
	MURRIETA JUV	1	1	0	0	28	69
	BANNING	702	32	0	16	125	2
	BLYTHE	93	2	0	13	118	4
	MURRIETA	1291	64	0	64	109	5
	TOTAL	5915	264	0	278	145	3
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	4005	359	2	236	89	6
	SACRAMENTO JUV	4	0	0	0	179	6
	SACRAMENTO CM	0	0	21	0	92	0
	USDT SACRAMENTO	4	0	0	1	137	7
	TOTAL	4013	359	23	237	89	6
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	216	16	2	21	136	7
	TOTAL	216	16	2	21	136	7

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO 1	1362	84	1	323	217	2
	R CUCAMONGA	2266	138	3	193	191	2
	VICTORVILLE 1	921	56	0	227	264	1
	BARSTOW	0	0	2	0	269	5
	JOSHUA TREE	193	7	2	69	99	4
	SAN BERNARDINO JUV	7	1	0	0	185	24
	FONTANA	0	0	3	0	98	0
	SUP R CUCAMONGA	4	0	0	0	285	113
	TOTAL	4753	286	11	812	203	2
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	46	82	0	5	125	9
	VISTA 1	45	79	0	0	115	80
	SAN DIEGO JUV	32	2	1	0	102	31
	EL CAJON	1337	73	5	299	86	25
	VISTA 2	2199	59	1	485	63	26
	VISTA 3	3	1	4	0	95	29
	KEARNY MESA	2756	7	10	441	88	6
	CHULA VISTA	1031	57	3	110	73	13
	USDT SOUTH SD	33	0	0	14	295	9
	TOTAL	7482	360	24	1354	78	12
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	3	5	0	0	325	54
	SAN FRAN TRAFFIC	399	11	1	102	192	7
	TOTAL	402	16	1	102	193	8
SAN JOAQUIN	SAN JOAQUIN	1	0	0	0	303	9
	LODI	245	7	0	22	61	8
	MANTECA	570	18	1	43	87	3
	STOCKTON	779	43	4	45	53	3
	TOTAL	1595	68	5	110	66	3
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO JUV	6	0	0	0	139	28
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1432	51	5	232	87	0
	TOTAL	1438	51	5	232	87	0

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	1541	62	1	449	167	19
	SAN MATEO JUV	3	0	0	1	172	176
	REDWOOD CITY	0	0	2	0	107	1
	TOTAL	1544	62	3	450	167	19
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA JUV	1	1	1	0	100	7
	SANTA MARIA W JUV	3	0	0	0	208	21
	SANTA BARBARA	598	44	7	96	133	14
	SUP SANTA MARIA	705	35	2	75	88	8
	LOMPOC	91	5	0	17	95	20
	TOTAL	1398	85	10	188	104	10
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	42	73	0	1	111	77
	SANTA CLARA JUV	16	0	0	0	134	39
	PALO ALTO	623	13	0	97	104	14
	SAN JOSE	2135	55	6	369	116	9
	SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	0	0	10	0	144	0
	SAN MARTIN	476	8	0	75	88	5
	TOTAL	3292	149	16	542	113	10
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ JUV	4	0	2	1	158	6
	SANTA CRUZ TRAF	901	20	10	151	76	1
	WATSONVILLE	80	0	0	8	81	1
	TOTAL	985	20	12	160	77	1
SHASTA	SHASTA JUV	0	0	1	0	59	0
	BURNEY	1	0	0	1	64	2
	REDDING	566	37	6	105	113	4
	TOTAL	567	37	7	106	113	4
SIERRA	SIERRA	7	3	0	5	116	143
	TOTAL	7	3	0	5	116	143
SISKIYOU	DORRIS	1	0	0	0	177	1
	YREKA	142	9	0	45	131	9
	TOTAL	143	9	0	45	133	9

TABLE B3: DUI CONVICTION DATA FOR 2017 DUI ARRESTS BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI[a]	UNDER 21 DUI[b]	ALCOHOL OR DRUG RECKLESS	MEDIAN DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (DAYS)	
						VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SOLANO	SOLANO JUV	1	0	2	0	113	9
	FAIRFIELD	750	14	2	181	201	33
	VALLEJO	260	9	0	56	171	8
	TOTAL	1011	23	4	237	189	19
SONOMA	SONOMA	1429	50	1	111	83	20
	SONOMA JUV	5	0	0	1	8	18
	SANTA ROSA	0	0	6	0	80	0
	TOTAL	1434	50	7	112	82	20
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS	1493	107	0	102	107	25
	STANISLAUS JUV	4	0	0	0	109	64
	MODESTO	0	0	5	0	70	2
	TOTAL	1497	107	5	102	106	25
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	257	17	1	63	107	16
	TOTAL	257	17	1	63	107	16
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	169	10	1	30	77	172
	TOTAL	169	10	1	30	77	172
TRINITY	TRINITY	55	3	1	4	93	5
	TOTAL	55	3	1	4	93	5
TULARE	VISALIA	1203	62	4	90	119	10
	PORTERVILLE	562	43	5	50	116	12
	TULARE	1	0	0	0	616	6
	TOTAL	1766	105	9	140	119	10
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE	222	11	2	2	83	15
	TUOLUMNE JUV	1	0	0	0	281	281
	TOTAL	223	11	2	2	84	15
VENTURA	VENTURA JUV	12	2	0	0	50	5
	VENTURA	2574	80	17	0	135	0
	TOTAL	2586	82	17	0	135	0
YOLO	YOLO	432	20	3	62	128	6
	TOTAL	432	20	3	62	128	6
YUBA	YUBA	242	6	1	38	112	22
	TOTAL	242	6	1	38	112	22

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017[a]

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM	IGNITION INTERLOCK
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			93606	96.0	75.0	67.9	22.5	0.3	7.3
ALAMEDA	OAKLAND RCD	1ST	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
	FREMONT	1ST	565	99.3	99.6	93.1	3.2	0.0	2.3
		2ND	185	100.0	100.0	23.2	75.1	0.0	9.2
		3RD	54	96.3	100.0	9.3	83.3	1.9	20.4
		4TH+	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	64.3
		TOTAL	818	99.3	99.8	70.2	26.4	0.1	6.1
	PLEASANTON	1ST	61	93.4	95.1	83.6	8.2	0.0	1.6
		2ND	28	92.9	100.0	7.1	85.7	0.0	7.1
		3RD	14	100.0	92.9	7.1	85.7	7.1	14.3
		4TH+	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	75.0
		TOTAL	111	94.6	96.4	48.6	44.1	0.9	9.9
	OAKLAND TRAFFIC	1ST	866	99.3	99.1	88.2	3.8	0.0	0.2
		2ND	284	99.6	100.0	23.2	69.4	0.0	2.5
		3RD	76	97.4	98.7	11.8	78.9	0.0	1.3
		4TH+	27	100.0	100.0	22.2	70.4	0.0	25.9
		TOTAL	1253	99.3	99.3	67.4	24.7	0.0	1.4
	HAYWARD	1ST	8	100.0	100.0	87.5	25.0	0.0	37.5
		2ND	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	33.3
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	9	88.9	100.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	22.2
		TOTAL	22	95.5	100.0	36.4	63.6	0.0	27.3
ALPINE	ALPINE	1ST	10	100.0	90.0	90.0	10.0	0.0	30.0
		2ND	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	100.0	83.3	75.0	25.0	0.0	25.0

[a]Entries represent percentages of DUI convictees arrested in 2017 receiving each sanction by county, court, and offender status.

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
AMADOR	JACKSON	1ST	97	97.9	97.9	92.8	2.1	0.0	14.4
		2ND	30	90.0	96.7	10.0	63.3	0.0	63.3
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4TH+	5	20.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	134	93.3	97.8	69.4	17.9	0.0	26.9
BUTTE	BUTTE	1ST	631	96.7	96.4	94.1	1.7	0.3	2.1
		2ND	195	90.8	96.9	9.2	75.4	5.6	9.7
		3RD	53	79.2	92.5	3.8	22.6	52.8	22.6
		4TH+	20	55.0	95.0	5.0	0.0	50.0	45.0
		TOTAL	899	93.4	96.2	68.4	18.9	5.7	5.9
	BUTTE JUV	1ST	4	50.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	60.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CALAVERAS	CALAVERAS	1ST	133	100.0	100.0	98.5	1.5	0.0	3.8
		2ND	36	97.2	100.0	13.9	66.7	0.0	61.1
		3RD	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	75.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	178	99.4	100.0	76.4	19.1	0.0	19.1
COLUSA	COLUSA	1ST	81	98.8	100.0	91.4	4.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND	11	90.9	100.0	45.5	27.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD	6	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	99	98.0	100.0	82.8	11.1	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	CONTRA COSTA	1ST	12	75.0	91.7	33.3	25.0	0.0	16.7
		2ND	7	57.1	100.0	14.3	28.6	0.0	14.3
		3RD	8	50.0	75.0	12.5	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	14	42.9	100.0	0.0	21.4	0.0	7.1
		TOTAL	41	56.1	92.7	14.6	24.4	0.0	9.8

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
CONTRA COSTA (cont)	RICHMOND	1ST	272	99.3	96.0	93.0	3.7	0.0	1.5
		2ND	66	100.0	100.0	10.6	86.4	0.0	12.1
		3RD	23	91.3	95.7	0.0	73.9	0.0	65.2
		4TH+	10	90.0	100.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	70.0
		TOTAL	371	98.7	96.8	70.1	24.5	0.0	9.2
	PITTSBURG	1ST	348	99.1	97.7	93.4	2.9	0.0	0.9
		2ND	92	98.9	98.9	9.8	87.0	0.0	9.8
		3RD	33	100.0	100.0	0.0	93.9	0.0	39.4
		4TH+	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	45.5
		TOTAL	484	99.2	98.1	69.0	26.9	0.0	6.2
	WALNUT CREEK	1ST	575	98.3	94.3	88.7	1.9	0.0	0.3
		2ND	121	100.0	98.3	17.4	78.5	0.0	9.9
		3RD	45	95.6	97.8	4.4	86.7	0.0	33.3
		4TH+	12	91.7	100.0	8.3	58.3	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	753	98.3	95.2	70.9	20.2	0.0	4.2
DEL NORTE	DEL NORTE	1ST	87	88.5	94.3	78.2	2.3	0.0	5.7
		2ND	20	90.0	100.0	10.0	70.0	0.0	55.0
		3RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	109	87.2	94.5	64.2	14.7	0.0	14.7
EL DORADO	SOUTH LAKE TAHOE	1ST	138	97.8	99.3	87.0	2.9	0.0	2.9
		2ND	44	95.5	100.0	2.3	88.6	0.0	63.6
		3RD	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	72.7
		4TH+	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	197	97.0	99.5	61.4	28.9	0.0	21.3
	PLACERVILLE	1ST	307	96.7	97.4	88.6	1.6	0.0	39.7
		2ND	97	96.9	96.9	9.3	74.2	0.0	76.3
		3RD	28	82.1	96.4	0.0	67.9	0.0	57.1
		4TH+	12	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	41.7
		TOTAL	444	94.1	97.3	63.3	22.5	0.0	48.9

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
FRESNO	FRESNO JUV	1ST	20	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FRESNO	1ST	2077	96.5	97.8	91.5	2.3	0.0	0.6
		2ND	736	94.3	99.5	10.3	79.2	0.0	21.2
		3RD	232	82.8	98.3	3.9	64.7	0.4	41.4
		4TH+	113	57.5	99.1	6.2	31.9	0.9	8.8
		TOTAL	3158	93.6	98.3	63.1	25.8	0.1	8.7
	USDT FRESNO	1ST	4	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	20.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
GLENN	GLENN	1ST	81	96.3	39.5	81.5	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	34	97.1	82.4	8.8	67.6	5.9	2.9
		3RD	6	100.0	83.3	0.0	0.0	66.7	16.7
		4TH+	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0
		TOTAL	125	96.8	55.2	55.2	21.6	5.6	2.4
HUMBOLDT	SUP HUMBOLDT	1ST	522	98.7	94.1	95.2	1.0	0.0	1.0
		2ND	145	98.6	98.6	25.5	69.0	0.0	59.3
		3RD	39	97.4	100.0	2.6	87.2	5.1	92.3
		4TH+	16	62.5	93.8	0.0	37.5	0.0	18.8
		TOTAL	722	97.8	95.3	74.1	20.1	0.3	18.0
IMPERIAL	IMPERIAL	1ST	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BRAWLEY	1ST	43	88.4	32.6	86.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	9	88.9	77.8	11.1	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	56	89.3	44.6	67.9	14.3	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
IMPERIAL (cont)	EL CENTRO	1ST	278	80.6	38.5	67.3	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	54	70.4	85.2	7.4	72.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD	11	45.5	45.5	9.1	45.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	345	78.0	46.1	55.7	15.1	0.0	0.0
	WINTERHAVEN	1ST	18	72.2	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	72.2	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
INYO	BISHOP	1ST	70	95.7	27.1	80.0	2.9	0.0	1.4
		2ND	30	93.3	86.7	16.7	73.3	0.0	16.7
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	3	100.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	105	95.2	46.7	61.0	23.8	0.0	6.7
KERN	KERN	1ST	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	KERN JUV	1ST	16	100.0	0.0	81.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0	0.0	81.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LAMONT	1ST	140	96.4	92.9	42.1	1.4	0.0	1.4
		2ND	49	100.0	100.0	12.2	30.6	0.0	2.0
		3RD	10	90.0	90.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
		4TH+	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	203	96.6	94.6	32.0	8.9	0.0	2.0
	BAKERSFIELD	1ST	1328	97.5	99.2	82.6	2.2	0.1	1.5
		2ND	445	96.9	99.1	14.6	63.6	0.0	28.3
		3RD	139	89.2	99.3	10.8	61.2	0.7	47.5
		4TH+	25	76.0	100.0	4.0	0.0	4.0	8.0
		TOTAL	1937	96.5	99.2	60.8	20.5	0.2	11.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KERN (cont)	DELANO	1ST	114	97.4	99.1	85.1	7.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	47	91.5	100.0	34.0	57.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	171	95.9	99.4	66.1	24.6	0.6	0.0
	SHAFTER	1ST	100	100.0	100.0	94.0	4.0	0.0	1.0
		2ND	19	94.7	100.0	15.8	84.2	0.0	10.5
		3RD	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	124	99.2	100.0	78.2	20.2	0.0	2.4
	MOJAVE	1ST	141	98.6	97.2	76.6	3.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	34	100.0	100.0	17.6	58.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD	11	100.0	90.9	36.4	36.4	0.0	9.1
		4TH+	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	190	98.4	97.4	62.1	15.8	0.0	0.5
	RIDGECREST	1ST	64	98.4	100.0	70.3	0.0	0.0	1.6
		2ND	14	100.0	100.0	57.1	7.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	5	100.0	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	87	98.9	100.0	69.0	1.1	0.0	1.1
KINGS	KINGS TRAFFIC	1ST	133	96.2	99.2	91.0	3.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND	26	100.0	100.0	7.7	88.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD	12	75.0	100.0	0.0	83.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	177	93.2	99.4	69.5	22.6	0.0	0.0
	HANFORD	1ST	184	96.2	98.9	87.0	4.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND	78	91.0	98.7	19.2	66.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD	21	90.5	100.0	4.8	90.5	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	285	94.4	98.9	61.8	28.1	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LAKE	LAKE	1ST	216	96.8	92.1	86.1	0.5	0.0	0.5
		2ND	66	97.0	95.5	16.7	66.7	0.0	34.8
		3RD	19	94.7	94.7	5.3	52.6	10.5	42.1
		4TH+	7	85.7	85.7	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	308	96.4	92.9	64.3	18.5	0.6	10.7
	CLEARLAKE	1ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LASSEN	SUSANVILLE	1ST	44	100.0	95.5	97.7	2.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND	11	100.0	100.0	18.2	81.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	57	98.2	96.5	78.9	19.3	0.0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	1ST	26	53.8	92.3	15.4	0.0	3.8	0.0
		2ND	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	11	45.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0
		TOTAL	48	39.6	95.8	8.3	0.0	4.2	0.0
	POMONA 1	1ST	12	50.0	75.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	41.2	76.5	11.8	5.9	0.0	0.0
	LANCASTER 1	1ST	25	52.0	88.0	32.0	8.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	9	11.1	100.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	9	11.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	45	33.3	93.3	17.8	6.7	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES (cont)	SAN FERNANDO 1	1ST	3	66.7	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	9	22.2	88.9	22.2	11.1	0.0	0.0
	PASADENA 1	1ST	7	85.7	71.4	42.9	28.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	70.0	80.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	0.0
	VAN NUYS 1	1ST	9	55.6	77.8	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	4	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	35.3	88.2	29.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LONG BEACH 1	1ST	6	83.3	100.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	50.0	91.7	8.3	8.3	0.0	0.0
	COMPTON 1	1ST	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	NORWALK	1ST	10	70.0	90.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	58.3	91.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES (cont)	TORRANCE 1	1ST	9	44.4	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	7	28.6	100.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0
		TOTAL	19	36.8	94.7	5.3	5.3	5.3	0.0
	SANTA MONICA 1	1ST	3	66.7	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	50.0	87.5	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	LOS ANGELES JUV	1ST	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EASTLAKE JUV	1ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LA AIRPORT	1ST	628	98.4	27.4	89.6	3.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	109	99.1	94.5	8.3	82.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD	19	94.7	100.0	5.3	84.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	761	98.2	39.3	75.3	16.6	0.0	0.0
	ALHAMBRA	1ST	289	98.6	10.0	92.4	1.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND	61	98.4	90.2	6.6	88.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD	13	100.0	92.3	7.7	84.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	368	98.4	27.4	73.9	19.3	0.0	0.0
	LANCASTER 2	1ST	700	93.3	40.0	86.7	2.3	0.1	0.0
		2ND	211	87.2	94.3	7.1	77.7	0.9	0.0
		3RD	57	75.4	98.2	0.0	66.7	3.5	0.0
		4TH+	13	15.4	92.3	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	981	89.9	55.8	63.4	22.4	0.5	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES (cont)	BEVERLY HILLS	1ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BURBANK	1ST	156	97.4	17.3	79.5	2.6	1.3	0.6
		2ND	36	86.1	86.1	11.1	66.7	2.8	8.3
		3RD	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	202	95.5	33.7	63.4	18.8	1.5	2.5
	WEST COVINA	1ST	931	97.6	65.2	92.4	4.0	0.0	0.2
		2ND	226	95.1	95.6	8.0	85.0	0.0	0.4
		3RD	39	92.3	97.4	5.1	87.2	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	15	53.3	100.0	6.7	13.3	26.7	0.0
		TOTAL	1211	96.4	72.3	72.7	21.9	0.3	0.2
	CHATSWORTH	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	COMPTON 2	1ST	495	97.6	18.4	87.7	3.2	0.2	0.0
		2ND	92	93.5	88.0	10.9	76.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD	27	77.8	100.0	0.0	74.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	622	95.3	33.3	71.4	17.0	0.2	0.0
	DOWNEY	1ST	601	98.2	23.0	78.7	3.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND	147	96.6	89.1	6.8	68.0	1.4	0.0
		3RD	23	91.3	95.7	0.0	78.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	772	97.5	37.8	62.6	18.1	0.3	0.0
	EAST LOS ANGELES	1ST	510	99.4	34.7	79.6	3.5	0.2	0.0
		2ND	104	98.1	88.5	7.7	79.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD	18	100.0	100.0	0.0	72.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	632	99.2	45.4	65.5	18.0	0.2	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES (cont)	EL MONTE	1ST	406	98.5	48.5	92.1	4.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND	72	93.1	97.2	4.2	84.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	92.9	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	493	97.6	57.2	76.5	18.5	0.0	0.0
	GLENDALE	1ST	284	98.6	15.1	87.3	3.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND	68	97.1	85.3	2.9	82.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD	17	76.5	88.2	0.0	64.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	373	97.1	32.2	67.3	20.6	0.0	0.0
	INGLEWOOD	1ST	339	97.1	17.4	86.4	3.5	0.3	0.0
		2ND	75	96.0	94.7	9.3	76.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	15	86.7	100.0	0.0	60.0	26.7	0.0
		4TH+	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	431	96.3	34.1	69.6	18.1	1.2	0.0
	LONG BEACH 2	1ST	865	97.6	31.8	87.9	2.5	0.1	0.0
		2ND	219	95.9	88.6	5.0	82.2	0.5	0.0
		3RD	39	82.1	94.9	0.0	66.7	7.7	0.0
		4TH+	8	37.5	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1131	96.3	45.4	68.2	20.3	0.4	0.0
	LOS ANGELES NORTH	1ST	7	71.4	85.7	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	3	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	12	75.0	83.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LA METRO	1ST	2329	97.5	41.6	90.0	3.5	0.1	0.0
		2ND	506	97.2	97.6	5.1	88.1	0.4	0.0
		3RD	106	88.7	98.1	4.7	78.3	2.8	0.0
		4TH+	13	46.2	100.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2954	96.9	53.5	72.0	20.8	0.2	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES (cont)	BELLFLOWER	1ST	910	98.0	22.3	91.4	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	205	97.1	90.2	8.8	82.4	1.0	0.0
		3RD	36	86.1	97.2	0.0	72.2	11.1	0.0
		4TH+	9	44.4	88.9	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1160	97.1	37.2	73.3	19.0	0.5	0.0
	SANTA CLARITA	1ST	622	98.1	8.8	92.0	3.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND	141	99.3	85.1	9.2	82.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD	29	96.6	100.0	3.4	75.9	10.3	0.0
		TOTAL	792	98.2	25.8	74.0	19.8	0.4	0.0
	PASADENA 2	1ST	434	98.2	13.4	94.0	2.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	72	97.2	91.7	5.6	90.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD	21	76.2	90.5	0.0	52.4	23.8	0.0
		4TH+	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	531	96.8	27.7	77.6	16.4	0.9	0.0
	POMONA 2	1ST	716	97.5	21.1	90.4	1.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND	182	97.8	89.0	6.6	83.5	0.5	0.0
		3RD	31	83.9	100.0	0.0	67.7	6.5	0.0
		4TH+	9	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	938	96.5	37.6	70.3	20.0	0.4	0.0
	TORRANCE 2	1ST	661	98.0	19.5	93.5	2.0	0.2	0.0
		2ND	131	94.7	90.1	8.4	82.4	2.3	0.0
		3RD	35	91.4	94.3	11.4	54.3	17.1	0.0
		4TH+	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	831	96.8	34.2	76.2	16.8	1.2	0.0
	SAN FERNANDO 2	1ST	719	97.1	24.3	90.1	3.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	195	94.4	90.3	6.2	83.1	1.0	0.0
		3RD	35	74.3	100.0	0.0	57.1	11.4	0.0
		4TH+	18	16.7	100.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	967	94.2	41.8	68.3	21.5	0.6	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
LOS ANGELES (cont)	VAN NUYS 2	1ST	1267	98.6	26.3	91.4	3.7	0.1	0.0
		2ND	272	97.4	96.0	7.0	86.0	1.1	0.0
		3RD	38	86.8	97.4	2.6	71.1	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	15	60.0	86.7	6.7	26.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1592	97.7	40.5	74.1	19.6	0.3	0.0
	USDT LOS ANGELES	1ST	12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	6	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	19	10.5	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MADERA	MADERA	1ST	151	94.0	94.0	89.4	2.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	51	88.2	94.1	15.7	64.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD	25	72.0	100.0	12.0	52.0	4.0	0.0
		4TH+	18	44.4	100.0	0.0	22.2	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	245	86.9	95.1	59.6	21.6	1.6	0.0
	CHOWCHILLA	1ST	238	96.6	97.5	91.6	4.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND	92	97.8	100.0	32.6	63.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	23	91.3	100.0	17.4	69.6	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	11	100.0	100.0	0.0	90.9	0.0	36.4
		TOTAL	364	96.7	98.4	69.2	25.8	0.0	1.1
MARIN	SAN RAFAEL	1ST	625	97.3	95.2	93.0	3.0	0.0	3.7
		2ND	182	97.8	97.8	6.0	89.6	0.0	29.1
		3RD	40	97.5	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	82.5
		4TH+	16	75.0	93.8	6.3	62.5	0.0	68.8
		TOTAL	863	97.0	95.9	68.7	26.3	0.0	13.9
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1ST	58	100.0	94.8	87.9	1.7	0.0	5.2
		2ND	15	93.3	100.0	6.7	86.7	0.0	33.3
		3RD	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0
		4TH+	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	80	97.5	96.3	65.0	21.2	0.0	13.8

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	1ST	8	87.5	87.5	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	10	90.0	90.0	10.0	80.0	0.0	10.0
		3RD	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	18.2
		TOTAL	32	78.1	93.8	25.0	56.3	0.0	9.4
	UKIAH	1ST	247	98.4	98.8	92.3	1.6	0.0	5.7
		2ND	68	98.5	98.5	17.6	64.7	0.0	38.2
		3RD	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	86.7	0.0	46.7
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	331	98.2	98.8	72.5	18.4	0.0	14.2
	FORT BRAGG	1ST	60	96.7	100.0	90.0	1.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND	23	91.3	100.0	0.0	87.0	0.0	47.8
		3RD	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	88	94.3	100.0	61.4	28.4	0.0	14.8
MERCED	MERCED JUV	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MERCED	1ST	482	97.5	97.9	30.5	6.4	0.0	0.6
		2ND	158	96.8	97.5	5.7	79.7	0.0	6.3
		3RD	36	97.1	97.1	0.0	88.6	0.0	22.9
		4TH+	10	80.0	90.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	10.0
		TOTAL	686	97.1	97.7	22.8	27.9	0.0	3.2
	LOS BANOS	1ST	161	98.1	98.8	92.5	3.1	0.0	1.2
		2ND	38	100.0	100.0	18.4	81.6	0.0	44.7
		3RD	9	88.9	88.9	11.1	77.8	0.0	44.4
		4TH+	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	212	98.1	98.6	74.1	22.2	0.0	12.7
MODOC	ALTURAS	1ST	22	95.5	90.9	54.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	28	96.4	89.3	42.9	3.6	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MONO	BRIDGEPORT	2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
	MAMMOTH LAKES	1ST	71	98.6	71.8	88.7	4.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	92.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	88	97.7	77.3	71.6	21.6	0.0	0.0
MONTEREY	MONTEREY	1ST	883	99.0	99.3	91.2	3.6	0.0	5.9
		2ND	267	95.9	99.3	9.4	77.9	0.0	40.1
		3RD	71	90.1	97.2	4.2	73.2	0.0	57.7
		4TH+	19	63.2	100.0	0.0	47.4	0.0	15.8
		TOTAL	1240	97.3	99.2	67.2	24.3	0.0	16.4
	MONTEREY JUV	1ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARINA	1ST	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SALINAS	1ST	182	100.0	99.5	92.9	1.6	0.0	2.2
		2ND	44	100.0	100.0	13.6	81.8	0.0	34.1
		3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	227	100.0	99.6	77.1	17.6	0.0	8.4
NAPA	NAPA	1ST	426	97.7	96.2	82.6	2.8	0.0	37.3
		2ND	117	94.9	94.9	7.7	77.8	0.0	75.2
		3RD	34	88.2	91.2	2.9	82.4	0.0	82.4
		4TH+	9	66.7	88.9	0.0	55.6	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	586	96.1	95.6	61.8	23.2	0.0	47.4
NEVADA	NEVADA CITY	1ST	174	98.9	99.4	97.1	2.9	0.0	4.6
		2ND	71	95.8	100.0	11.3	85.9	0.0	71.8
		3RD	14	78.6	100.0	0.0	78.6	0.0	57.1
		4TH+	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	263	96.6	99.6	67.7	30.4	0.0	25.9

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
NEVADA (cont)	TRUCKEE	1ST	121	97.5	99.2	96.7	0.0	0.0	0.8
		2ND	26	100.0	96.2	88.5	11.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	33.3
		4TH+	5	80.0	80.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	155	97.4	98.1	92.9	2.6	0.0	1.9
ORANGE	ORANGE JUV	1ST	21	57.1	38.1	81.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	57.1	38.1	81.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FULLERTON	1ST	1906	97.3	37.4	91.2	2.2	0.0	0.2
		2ND	538	95.2	91.6	8.6	82.2	0.0	5.6
		3RD	96	90.6	96.9	2.1	78.1	0.0	10.4
		4TH+	20	50.0	95.0	0.0	45.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2560	96.3	51.5	69.8	22.1	0.0	1.7
	WESTMINSTER	1ST	1835	98.6	19.5	94.1	1.4	0.0	0.2
		2ND	501	97.6	90.0	6.8	86.4	0.0	5.8
		3RD	143	87.4	97.2	0.0	83.2	0.0	7.7
		4TH+	28	53.6	96.4	0.0	57.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2507	97.2	38.9	70.2	23.7	0.0	1.7
	NEWPORT BEACH	1ST	1892	98.7	63.1	93.7	2.0	0.0	0.1
		2ND	533	96.2	91.9	7.7	84.4	0.0	5.6
		3RD	116	94.8	96.6	0.9	85.3	0.0	5.2
		4TH+	24	70.8	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2565	97.8	70.9	70.8	23.4	0.0	1.5
	SANTA ANA	1ST	1059	98.4	29.6	92.4	2.6	0.0	0.3
		2ND	308	93.8	92.5	4.5	86.0	0.0	5.2
		3RD	61	82.0	96.7	0.0	75.4	0.0	6.6
		4TH+	19	73.7	100.0	0.0	52.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1447	96.4	46.7	68.6	24.1	0.0	1.6
PLACER	PLACER JUV	1ST	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
PLACER (cont)	ROSEVILLE TRAFFIC	1ST	699	93.0	97.6	92.3	2.0	0.0	4.0
		2ND	213	85.0	98.1	7.5	60.6	0.0	63.8
		3RD	56	78.6	98.2	0.0	75.0	0.0	64.3
		4TH+	15	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	60.0
		TOTAL	983	90.0	97.8	67.2	19.8	0.0	21.3
	TAHOE CITY	1ST	65	83.1	96.9	75.4	3.1	0.0	10.8
		2ND	25	68.0	100.0	8.0	32.0	0.0	40.0
		3RD	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	94	75.5	97.9	54.3	10.6	0.0	18.1
PLUMAS	QUINCY	1ST	61	96.7	95.1	86.9	3.3	1.6	0.0
		2ND	18	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	82	97.6	96.3	64.6	28.0	1.2	0.0
RIVERSIDE	RIVERSIDE	1ST	2020	97.7	97.9	94.2	3.2	0.0	0.4
		2ND	526	94.1	96.2	4.9	88.2	0.0	3.0
		3RD	122	87.7	97.5	2.5	86.1	0.0	6.6
		4TH+	32	71.9	93.8	3.1	68.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2700	96.3	97.5	71.6	24.3	0.0	1.2
	INDIO	1ST	936	97.4	89.9	94.1	2.2	0.0	0.4
		2ND	261	95.4	94.3	7.3	85.4	0.0	12.3
		3RD	64	76.6	93.8	1.6	73.4	0.0	14.1
		4TH+	30	80.0	93.3	0.0	76.7	0.0	10.0
		TOTAL	1291	95.6	91.0	69.8	24.3	0.0	3.7
	RIVERSIDE JUV	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	INDIO JUV	2ND	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	MURRIETA JUV	1ST	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
RIVERSIDE (cont)	BANNING	1ST	556	96.9	92.8	95.1	1.6	0.0	1.1
		2ND	141	94.3	96.5	12.8	82.3	0.0	6.4
		3RD	29	86.2	93.1	3.4	82.8	0.0	17.2
		4TH+	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	62.5	0.0	25.0
		TOTAL	734	95.6	93.6	74.7	21.0	0.0	3.0
	BLYTHE	1ST	69	95.7	89.9	91.3	4.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND	22	100.0	95.5	13.6	86.4	0.0	13.6
		3RD	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7
		4TH+	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	95	96.8	90.5	69.5	26.3	0.0	5.3
	MURRIETA	1ST	1028	98.5	96.4	94.9	3.4	0.0	0.7
		2ND	241	97.9	97.1	7.9	89.2	0.0	12.9
		3RD	68	85.3	94.1	0.0	85.3	0.0	30.9
		4TH+	18	50.0	88.9	0.0	44.4	0.0	11.1
		TOTAL	1355	97.1	96.3	73.4	23.3	0.0	4.5
SACRAMENTO	SACRAMENTO	1ST	3109	98.6	98.2	94.1	1.3	0.0	1.3
		2ND	911	95.9	99.6	9.7	81.1	0.0	4.2
		3RD	261	93.1	99.2	1.1	81.2	0.0	4.6
		4TH+	85	67.1	97.6	1.2	45.9	0.0	42.4
		TOTAL	4366	97.1	98.6	69.1	23.6	0.0	2.9
	SACRAMENTO JUV	1ST	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SACRAMENTO CM	1ST	21	0.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	0.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	USDT SACRAMENTO	1ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN BENITO	SAN BENITO	1ST	155	92.9	98.1	15.5	0.0	0.0	1.3
		2ND	57	98.2	98.2	0.0	1.8	0.0	26.3
		3RD	14	92.9	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4
		4TH+	8	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	234	93.2	98.3	10.3	0.4	0.0	11.5
SAN BERNARDINO	SAN BERNARDINO 1	1ST	1004	97.1	71.0	91.7	2.8	0.0	0.3
		2ND	336	94.3	94.0	16.4	75.9	0.0	4.2
		3RD	70	84.3	97.1	5.7	64.3	0.0	7.1
		4TH+	37	59.5	100.0	2.7	40.5	0.0	2.7
		TOTAL	1447	94.9	78.4	67.8	23.7	0.0	1.6
	R CUCAMONGA	1ST	1756	96.3	73.7	90.0	3.5	0.0	1.3
		2ND	474	94.1	94.1	12.2	78.5	0.0	15.6
		3RD	137	86.1	96.4	5.1	66.4	0.0	29.2
		4TH+	40	67.5	92.5	0.0	45.0	2.5	20.0
		TOTAL	2407	94.8	79.3	68.3	22.5	0.0	6.0
	VICTORVILLE 1	1ST	653	96.0	69.1	88.5	5.8	0.0	0.2
		2ND	248	91.5	92.3	10.9	76.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD	53	92.5	96.2	7.5	83.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	23	52.2	95.7	0.0	47.8	0.0	4.3
		TOTAL	977	93.7	77.1	62.3	29.0	0.0	0.2
	BARSTOW	1ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	1ST	146	97.9	72.6	89.0	5.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	42	90.5	100.0	7.1	90.5	0.0	2.4
		3RD	11	100.0	81.8	0.0	90.9	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	202	96.5	79.2	66.8	28.7	0.0	0.5
	S BERNARDINO JUV	1ST	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FONTANA	1ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN BERNARDINO (cont)	SUP R CUCAMONGA	1ST	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN DIEGO	SAN DIEGO	1ST	65	86.2	89.2	15.4	0.0	0.0	3.1
		2ND	27	63.0	100.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	3.7
		3RD	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	10.0
		4TH+	26	50.0	100.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	128	75.0	94.5	7.8	3.1	0.0	3.1
	VISTA 1	1ST	54	74.1	98.1	50.0	3.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND	34	58.8	97.1	0.0	35.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD	13	61.5	100.0	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	23	47.8	100.0	4.3	39.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	124	63.7	98.4	22.6	24.2	0.0	0.0
	SAN DIEGO JUV	1ST	35	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	35	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	EL CAJON	1ST	1019	96.8	14.1	91.3	2.9	0.0	0.2
		2ND	304	94.4	87.2	10.9	79.3	0.0	0.3
		3RD	74	94.6	95.9	0.0	87.8	0.0	1.4
		4TH+	18	50.0	94.4	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1415	95.5	35.1	68.1	24.0	0.0	0.3
	VISTA 2	1ST	1641	99.0	16.0	94.9	2.9	0.0	0.0
		2ND	493	98.8	89.2	6.7	89.9	0.0	0.4
		3RD	121	94.2	99.2	0.8	91.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2259	98.7	36.6	70.4	26.7	0.0	0.1
	VISTA 3	1ST	5	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	25.0	50.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN DIEGO (cont)	KEARNY MESA	1ST	2196	97.2	8.4	93.7	1.0	0.0	23.7
		2ND	478	98.5	89.1	10.0	86.2	0.0	52.1
		3RD	93	89.2	96.8	3.2	82.8	0.0	47.3
		4TH+	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	2773	97.0	25.5	76.0	18.5	0.0	29.4
	CHULA VISTA	1ST	853	97.0	15.4	92.8	1.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND	185	97.8	87.0	12.4	80.5	0.0	1.1
		3RD	45	86.7	93.3	4.4	80.0	0.0	2.2
		4TH+	8	25.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1091	96.2	31.3	74.9	18.1	0.0	0.3
	USDT SOUTH SD	1ST	27	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	33	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	1ST	5	100.0	100.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
		2ND	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	25.0
	SAN FRAN TRAFFIC	1ST	311	99.4	99.4	98.7	0.6	0.0	1.0
		2ND	83	97.6	100.0	9.6	86.7	0.0	81.9
		3RD	12	91.7	100.0	8.3	75.0	0.0	75.0
		4TH+	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	80.0
		TOTAL	411	98.5	99.5	76.9	21.2	0.0	20.4
SAN JOAQUIN	SAN JOAQUIN	1ST	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	LODI	1ST	182	99.5	99.5	93.4	3.3	0.5	1.1
		2ND	50	98.0	100.0	16.0	84.0	0.0	30.0
		3RD	14	100.0	100.0	7.1	71.4	28.6	50.0
		4TH+	6	50.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	33.3	16.7
		TOTAL	252	98.0	99.2	71.4	23.0	2.8	9.9

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN JOAQUIN (cont)	MANTECA	1ST	405	98.5	99.3	92.8	3.5	0.5	1.2
		2ND	134	100.0	100.0	13.4	82.1	2.2	46.3
		3RD	39	94.9	100.0	2.6	46.2	43.6	48.7
		4TH+	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	27.3	45.5	63.6
		TOTAL	589	98.1	99.5	67.1	24.6	4.6	15.8
	STOCKTON	1ST	545	97.6	99.1	91.7	3.3	0.4	1.7
		2ND	210	96.2	98.6	11.0	81.0	1.9	17.1
		3RD	56	98.2	100.0	1.8	89.3	1.8	28.6
		4TH+	15	60.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	6.7
		TOTAL	826	96.6	99.0	63.4	29.5	1.2	7.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	SAN LUIS OBISPO JUV	1ST	6	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST	1030	98.5	97.0	93.2	1.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND	326	97.9	98.2	8.9	83.7	0.0	1.8
		3RD	104	96.2	99.0	1.9	81.7	0.0	2.9
		4TH+	28	92.9	100.0	10.7	17.9	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1488	98.1	97.4	66.8	25.3	0.0	0.6
SAN MATEO	SAN MATEO	1ST	1177	98.3	98.8	91.8	3.8	0.0	1.7
		2ND	312	96.5	98.1	6.1	86.9	0.0	39.1
		3RD	93	90.3	98.9	1.1	84.9	0.0	38.7
		4TH+	22	63.6	95.5	0.0	59.1	0.0	13.6
		TOTAL	1604	97.0	98.6	68.6	25.4	0.0	11.3
	SAN MATEO JUV	1ST	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	REDWOOD CITY	1ST	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA BARBARA	SANTA BARBARA JUV	1ST	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA MARIA JUV	1ST	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SANTA BARBARA (cont)	SANTA BARBARA	1ST	498	98.2	88.6	94.2	1.6	0.0	1.0
		2ND	109	95.4	99.1	5.5	87.2	0.0	11.0
		3RD	35	88.6	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	34.3
		4TH+	7	71.4	85.7	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	649	96.9	90.9	73.2	20.8	0.0	4.5
	SUP SANTA MARIA	1ST	524	97.1	87.2	88.7	4.4	0.0	2.1
		2ND	161	93.2	98.8	10.6	77.6	0.0	43.5
		3RD	47	87.2	97.9	6.4	76.6	0.0	53.2
		4TH+	10	60.0	100.0	10.0	20.0	0.0	10.0
		TOTAL	742	95.1	90.6	65.5	25.1	0.0	14.4
	LOMPOC	1ST	74	100.0	82.4	95.9	1.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND	17	100.0	94.1	17.6	76.5	0.0	5.9
		3RD	5	100.0	100.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	20.0
		TOTAL	96	100.0	85.4	78.1	18.8	0.0	2.1
SANTA CLARA	SANTA CLARA	1ST	47	89.4	100.0	72.3	12.8	0.0	6.4
		2ND	21	71.4	100.0	4.8	61.9	0.0	23.8
		3RD	12	83.3	100.0	8.3	83.3	0.0	66.7
		4TH+	35	68.6	100.0	2.9	68.6	0.0	60.0
		TOTAL	115	79.1	100.0	32.2	46.1	0.0	32.2
	SANTA CLARA JUV	1ST	16	87.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	16	87.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	PALO ALTO	1ST	472	100.0	97.9	96.4	2.3	0.0	3.6
		2ND	131	99.2	97.7	6.9	92.4	0.0	44.3
		3RD	31	93.5	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	77.4
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	636	99.5	98.0	73.0	25.9	0.0	15.9
	SAN JOSE	1ST	1633	99.5	98.7	97.1	2.3	0.0	4.5
		2ND	454	100.0	100.0	16.5	82.2	0.0	68.1
		3RD	102	100.0	99.0	4.9	91.2	0.0	91.2
		4TH+	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	71.4
		TOTAL	2196	99.6	99.0	75.9	23.2	0.0	21.9

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SANTA CLARA (cont)	SAN JOSE TRAFFIC	1ST	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN MARTIN	1ST	353	100.0	100.0	94.3	4.0	0.0	3.4
		2ND	97	97.9	100.0	11.3	83.5	0.0	46.4
		3RD	29	100.0	100.0	3.4	82.8	0.0	65.5
		4TH+	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	484	99.6	100.0	71.3	25.6	0.0	16.7
SANTA CRUZ	SANTA CRUZ JUV	1ST	6	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA CRUZ TRAF	1ST	654	98.0	97.7	56.1	0.9	0.0	0.2
		2ND	203	98.5	100.0	22.2	35.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD	64	89.1	93.8	26.6	28.1	0.0	1.6
		4TH+	10	90.0	90.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	931	97.4	97.9	46.5	10.5	0.0	0.2
	WATSONVILLE	1ST	54	100.0	98.1	68.5	5.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND	20	100.0	100.0	5.0	80.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	20.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	80	100.0	98.8	47.5	30.0	0.0	2.5
SHASTA	SHASTA JUV	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BURNEY	1ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	REDDING	1ST	434	95.9	97.2	88.7	2.8	0.0	36.6
		2ND	122	95.1	98.4	7.4	75.4	3.3	73.8
		3RD	38	89.5	94.7	0.0	68.4	0.0	63.2
		4TH+	15	86.7	100.0	0.0	26.7	0.0	26.7
		TOTAL	609	95.1	97.4	64.7	22.0	0.7	45.5

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SIERRA	SIERRA	1ST	7	100.0	100.0	57.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	100.0	100.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	DORRIS	1ST	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	YREKA	1ST	108	92.6	95.4	70.4	3.7	0.0	0.9
		2ND	33	84.8	84.8	9.1	72.7	0.0	42.4
		3RD	8	100.0	100.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	75.0
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	151	91.4	93.4	53.6	23.8	0.0	15.2
SOLANO	SOLANO JUV	1ST	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	FAIRFIELD	1ST	481	98.1	98.8	94.2	2.7	0.0	1.9
		2ND	200	98.0	100.0	12.5	83.5	0.0	17.0
		3RD	62	90.3	100.0	1.6	88.7	0.0	48.4
		4TH+	23	78.3	100.0	4.3	73.9	0.0	52.2
		TOTAL	766	96.9	99.2	62.7	32.9	0.0	11.1
	VALLEJO	1ST	187	97.9	99.5	93.0	3.2	0.0	2.1
		2ND	58	100.0	100.0	10.3	86.2	0.0	6.9
		3RD	13	92.3	100.0	0.0	92.3	0.0	38.5
		4TH+	11	72.7	100.0	0.0	72.7	0.0	36.4
		TOTAL	269	97.0	99.6	66.9	28.3	0.0	6.3
SONOMA	SONOMA	1ST	1019	99.4	97.4	94.5	2.6	0.0	10.5
		2ND	369	98.6	99.5	6.2	91.1	0.0	81.6
		3RD	74	95.9	97.3	4.1	90.5	0.0	90.5
		4TH+	18	77.8	88.9	0.0	66.7	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	1480	98.8	97.8	66.8	29.8	0.0	32.7
	SONOMA JUV	1ST	5	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SONOMA (cont)	SANTA ROSA	1ST	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
STANISLAUS	STANISLAUS	1ST	1119	98.7	99.8	94.7	2.8	0.2	2.0
		2ND	329	97.0	99.4	14.3	76.3	6.4	18.5
		3RD	117	89.7	100.0	4.3	63.2	20.5	46.2
		4TH+	35	48.6	100.0	0.0	25.7	17.1	17.1
		TOTAL	1600	96.6	99.8	69.5	22.8	3.3	8.9
	STANISLAUS JUV	1ST	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0
		3RD	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0
	MODESTO	1ST	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SUTTER	YUBA CITY	1ST	191	95.8	98.4	91.1	2.6	0.0	4.2
		2ND	68	95.6	100.0	10.3	80.9	0.0	66.2
		3RD	14	85.7	100.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	71.4
		4TH+	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	275	95.3	98.9	65.8	26.9	0.0	23.6
TEHAMA	TEHAMA	1ST	117	94.9	98.3	85.5	7.7	0.0	0.9
		2ND	49	93.9	100.0	12.2	81.6	0.0	8.2
		3RD	14	85.7	100.0	7.1	92.9	0.0	14.3
		TOTAL	180	93.9	98.9	59.4	34.4	0.0	3.9
TRINITY	TRINITY	1ST	49	93.9	95.9	93.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND	7	71.4	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	14.3
		3RD	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	59	91.5	96.6	78.0	6.8	1.7	5.1

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
TULARE	VISALIA	1ST	843	96.3	28.0	92.2	2.4	0.0	0.1
		2ND	301	97.7	88.0	16.9	75.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD	84	89.3	92.9	6.0	79.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	41	68.3	73.2	0.0	48.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1269	95.3	48.0	65.6	26.4	0.0	0.1
	PORTERVILLE	1ST	418	93.8	90.9	88.5	2.6	0.2	3.1
		2ND	129	94.6	93.8	13.2	78.3	0.0	7.8
		3RD	43	93.0	93.0	0.0	83.7	0.0	16.3
		4TH+	20	55.0	65.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	30.0
		TOTAL	610	92.6	90.8	63.4	25.4	0.2	5.9
	TULARE	2ND	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TUOLUMNE	TUOLUMNE	1ST	169	98.8	10.7	60.4	1.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND	41	100.0	80.5	9.8	73.2	0.0	4.9
		3RD	17	94.1	88.2	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0
		4TH+	8	50.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	235	97.0	30.6	45.1	15.3	0.0	0.9
	TUOLUMNE JUV	1ST	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
VENTURA	SIMI VALLEY	1ST	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VENTURA JUV	1ST	14	78.6	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	14	78.6	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VENTURA	1ST	2033	98.0	97.8	94.0	2.1	0.1	3.6
		2ND	481	97.5	96.0	8.9	85.9	0.0	84.4
		3RD	115	90.4	95.7	0.9	80.0	0.0	86.1
		4TH+	41	58.5	97.6	0.0	48.8	0.0	53.7
		TOTAL	2670	97.0	97.4	73.2	21.3	0.1	22.5

TABLE B4: COURT SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT, AND DUI OFFENDER STATUS FOR DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017 – continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL *N*	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM %	18-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	30-MONTH DUI PROGRAM %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
YOLO	YOLO	1ST	324	98.1	96.6	90.4	1.5	0.0	1.9
		2ND	102	99.0	99.0	7.8	84.3	0.0	36.3
		3RD	26	84.6	96.2	3.8	65.4	0.0	34.6
		4TH+	3	33.3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	455	97.1	97.1	66.6	23.7	0.0	11.4
YUBA	YUBA	1ST	179	99.4	57.5	90.5	2.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND	59	98.3	71.2	25.4	61.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	10.0
		4TH+	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	249	99.2	62.7	71.1	20.9	0.0	0.4

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 3-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES FOR FIRST DUI OFFENDERS ARRESTED IN 2017

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	MEAN MONTHS IN STUDY	MEAN 3-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS				ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES			
						TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS
FDO													
3-month program	19,534 (72.7%)	27.8	34.1	1.0	19.2	0.47	0.25	0.007	0.70	0.1311	0.0330	0.0205	0.1000
9-month program	7,335 (27.3%)	29.4	37.7	1.1	19.4	0.56	0.37	0.007	0.51	0.1308	0.0336	0.0207	0.1010
		$X^2 = 6.9$*	$F = 408.0$*	$X^2 = 0.9$	$F = 16.6$*	$F = 91.4$*	$F = 340.5$*	$F = 0.1$	$F = 182.4$*	$F = 0.7$	$F = 21.1$*	$F = 1.8$	$F = 6.5$*

Note. FDO = First DUI offenders.

*$p < .05$.